

Quebec Office
725 Boulevard Lebourgneuf
Suite 312-313-317
Quebec (Quebec) G2J 0C4

Montreal Office
859 Boulevard Jean-Paul-Vincent
Suite 201
Longueuil (Quebec) J4G 1R3

Telephone: 819-874-0447
Toll free: 866-749-8140
Email: info@innovexplo.com
Website: www.innovexplo.com

NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend Property, Quebec, Canada

Prepared for



Wallbridge Mining Company Limited
129 Fielding Road
Lively (Ontario) P3Y 1L7

Project Location
Latitude: 50°00' North; Longitude: 78°54' West
Province of Quebec, Canada

Prepared by:

Carl Pelletier, P.Geo.
Vincent Nadeau-Benoit, P.Geo.
Simon Boudreau, P.Eng.
Marc R. Beauvais, P.Eng.

InnovExplo Inc.
Val-d'Or (Quebec)

Effective Date: March 3, 2023
Signature Date: March 3, 2023

SIGNATURE PAGE – INNOVEXPLO

**NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Detour-Fenelon Gold
Trend Property, Quebec, Canada**

Prepared for
Wallbridge Mining Company Limited
129 Fielding Road
Lively (Ontario) P3Y 1L7

Project Location
Latitude: 50°00' North; Longitude: 78°54' West
Province of Quebec, Canada

Effective Date: March 3, 2023

(Original signed and sealed)

Signed at Val-d'Or on March 3, 2023

Carl Pelletier, P.Geo.
InnovExplo Inc.
Val-d'Or (Quebec)

(Original signed and sealed)

Signed at Val-d'Or on March 3, 2023

Vincent Nadeau-Benoit, P.Geo.
InnovExplo Inc.
Val-d'Or (Quebec)

(Original signed and sealed)

Signed at Trois-Rivières on March 3, 2023

Simon Boudreau, P.Eng.
InnovExplo Inc.
Trois-Rivières (Quebec)

(Original signed and sealed)

Signed at Val-d'Or on March 3, 2023

Marc R. Beauvais, P.Eng.
InnovExplo Inc.
Val-d'Or (Quebec)

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHOR – CARL PELLETIER

I, Carl Pelletier, P.Geo. (OGQ No. 384, PGO No. 1713, EGBC No. 43167 and NAPEG No. L4160), do hereby certify that:

1. I am a professional geoscientist and Co-President Founder of InnovExplo Inc., located at 560 3^e Avenue, Val-d'Or, Quebec, Canada, J9P 1S4.
2. This certificate applies to the report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend Property, Quebec, Canada" (the "Technical Report") with an effective date of March 3, 2023, and a signature date of March 3, 2023, prepared for Wallbridge Mining Company Limited (the "issuer").
3. I graduated with a bachelor's degree in Geology (B.Sc.) from Université du Québec à Montréal (Montreal, Quebec) in 1992. I initiated a master's degree at the same university for which I completed the course program but not the thesis.
4. I am a member in good standing of the Ordre des Géologues du Québec (OGQ licence No. 384), the Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario (PGO No. 1713), the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia (EGBC No. 43167) and the Northwest Territories Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists (NAPEG No. L4160).
5. My relevant experience includes a total of 30 years since graduating from university. My mining expertise has been acquired at the Silidor, Sleeping Giant, Bousquet II, Sigma-Lamaque and Beaufor mines. My exploration experience has been acquired with Cambior Inc. and McWatters Mining Inc. I have been a consulting geologist for InnovExplo Inc. since February 2004.
6. I have read the definition of a "qualified person" set out in National Instrument 43-101/Regulation 43-101 ("NI 43-101") and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirements to be a qualified person for the purposes of that instrument.
7. I have visited the property on July 5, 2022, for the purpose of this Technical Report.
8. I am a co-author and share responsibility for all items of the Technical Report.
9. I have had prior involvement with the property that is the subject of the Technical Report as an independent qualified person for three (3) previous mineral resource estimates and the supporting NI 43-101 technical reports.
10. I am independent of the issuer in accordance with the application of section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
11. I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the items of the Technical Report for which I am responsible have been prepared in accordance with that instrument and form.
12. I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of the Technical Report that is not reflected in the Technical Report, the omission to disclose which makes the Technical Report misleading.

Signed this 3rd day of March 2023 in Val-d'Or, Quebec, Canada.

(Original signed and sealed)

Carl Pelletier, P.Geo.
InnovExplo Inc.
carl.pelletier@innovexplo.com.

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHOR – VINCENT NADEAU-BENOIT

I, Vincent Nadeau-Benoit, P.Geo. (OGQ No. 1535, EGBC No. 54427, NAPEG No. L4154), do hereby certify that:

1. I am a professional geoscientist, employed as Senior Geologist in Mineral Resources Estimation for InnovExplo Inc., located at 560, 3^e Avenue, Val-d'Or, Quebec, Canada, J9P 1S4.
2. This certificate applies to the report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend Property, Quebec, Canada" (the "Technical Report"), with an effective date of March 3, 2023 and a signature date of March 3, 2023, prepared for Wallbridge Mining Company Limited (the "issuer").
3. I graduated with a bachelor's degree in Earth and Atmospheric Sciences (Geology) from Université du Québec à Montréal (Montreal, Quebec) in 2010.
4. I am a member in good standing of the Ordre des Géologues du Québec (OGQ licence No. 1535), the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia (EGBC, No. 54427) and the Northwest Territories and Nunavut Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists (NAPEG No. L4154).
5. I have practiced my profession continuously as a geologist for a total of 10 years since graduating from university. During that time, I have been involved in mineral exploration and mine geology projects for precious and base metal properties in Canada. I acquired my expertise with Royal Nickel Corporation and Glencore and have been a consulting geologist for InnovExplo Inc. since August 2018.
6. I have read the definition of "qualified person" set out in National Instrument/Regulation 43-101 ("NI 43-101") and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirements to be a qualified person for the purposes of that instrument.
7. I visited the property on August 16 and 17, 2021, for the purpose of this Technical Report.
8. I am a co-author and share responsibility for all items of the Technical Report.
9. I have not had any prior involvement with the property that is the subject of this Technical Report.
10. I am independent of the issuer in accordance with the application of section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
11. I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the items of the Technical Report for which I am responsible have been prepared in accordance with that instrument and form.
12. I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of the Technical Report that is not reflected in the Technical Report, the omission to disclose which makes the Technical Report misleading.

Signed this 3rd day of March 2023 in Val-d'Or, Quebec, Canada.

(Original signed and sealed)

Vincent Nadeau-Benoit, P.Geo.
InnovExplo Inc.
vincent.nadeau-benoit@innovexplo.com

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHOR – SIMON BOUDREAU

I, Simon Boudreau, P. Eng. (OIQ No.132 338), do hereby certify that:

1. I am a Professional Engineer employed as Senior Mining Engineer with the firm InnovExplo Inc., located at 560, 3e Avenue, Val-d'Or, Québec, Canada, J9P 1S4.
2. This certificate applies to the report entitled "NI 43 101 Technical Report for the Detour Fenelon Gold Trend Property, Quebec, Canada" (the "Technical Report"), with an effective date of March 3, 2023 and a signature date of March 3, 2023, prepared for Wallbridge Mining Company Limited (the "issuer").
3. I graduated with a Bachelor's degree in mining engineering from Université Laval (Québec, Québec) in 2003.
4. I am a member in good standing of the Ordre des Ingénieurs du Québec (No:132338).
5. My relevant experience includes a total of nineteen (19) years since my graduation from university. I have been involved in mine engineering and production at Troilus mine for four (4) years, HRG Taparko mine for four (4) years, Dumas Contracting for three (3) years. I have also worked as independent consultant for the mining industry for five (5) years and with InnovExplo for three (3) year. As consultant I have been involved in many base metals and gold mining projects.
6. I have read the definition of "qualified person" set out in the NI 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") and certify that, by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association, and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirements to be a qualified person for the purposes of NI 43-101.
7. I have not visited the Detour Fenelon Gold Trend Property for the purpose of the Technical Report.
8. I am responsible for the preparation of section 14.12. I am also co-author of and share responsibility for sections 1, 2, 14, 25, 26 and 27.
9. I am independent of the issuer applying all the tests in section 1.5 of NI 43101.
10. I have had prior involvement with the property that is the subject of the Technical Report by overseeing engineering studies.
11. I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the items of the Technical Report for which I am responsible have been prepared in accordance with that instrument and form.
12. As of the effective date of the Technical Report, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the sections of the Technical Report for which I am responsible contain all scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed to make the Technical Report not misleading.

Signed this 3rd day of March 2023 in Trois-Rivières, Québec, Canada.

(Original signed and sealed)

Simon Boudreau, P.Eng.
InnovExplo Inc.
simon.boudreau@innovexplo.com

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHOR – MARC R. BEAUVAIS

I, Marc R. Beauvais, P.Eng., (OIQ No. 108195, PEO No. 100061114), do hereby certify that:

1. I am currently employed as a senior mining engineer with InnovExplo Inc., Consulting Firm in Mines and Exploration, 560, 3e Avenue, Val-d'Or, Québec, Canada, J9P 1S4.
2. This certificate applies to the report entitled "NI 43 101 Technical Report for the Detour Fenelon Gold Trend Property, Quebec, Canada" (the "Technical Report"), with an effective date of March 3, 2023 and a signature date of March 3, 2023, prepared for Wallbridge Mining Company Limited (the "issuer").
3. I have practiced my profession in mining operation, construction and management for more than 30 years. I have experience in gold, base metals and diamonds. I have worked for Aur Resources (1986, 1987, 1994-1998), Agnico-Eagle Mines Ltd (1993-94), McWatters Mines (1998- 2000), Promine Software Inc. (2000-2001). I have founded and operated my own consulting firm (Promine Consultant Inc.) from 2001 to 2005. I have been a Business Associate of Genivar Inc from 2005 to 2009 where I have supervised a staff of nearly 30 professionals directly involved in every aspect of the mineral industry. I have worked for a foreign mining company (Aimroc) in Azerbaijan from 2009 to 2010. In 2012, I have founded and managed Minrail Inc who developed a patented, fully integrated mining system designed specifically to extract the ore of shallow dipping deposit for underground mines. I have worked mostly in Canada and abroad. I have multiple specializations in computer modeling in mine planning and construction.
4. I am a member in good standing of the Orde des Ingénieurs du Québec (OIQ No. 108195) and the Professional Engineers of Ontario (PEO No. 100061114).
5. I have graduated in 1991, at Laval University located in Ste-Foy (Québec) with a B.Sc. in Mining Engineering.
6. I have visited the property on July 5, 2022, for the purpose of this Technical Report.
7. I am responsible for the preparation of section 14.12. I am also co-author of and share responsibility for sections 1, 2, 14, 25, 26 and 27.
8. I have had prior involvement with the property that is the subject of the Technical Report by overseeing engineering studies.
9. I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of the Technical Report that is not reflected in the Technical Report, the omission to disclose which makes the Technical Report misleading.
10. I am independent of the issuer in accordance with the application of Section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
11. I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the items of the Technical Report for which I am responsible have been prepared in accordance with that instrument and form. As of the date of this certificate, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the Technical Report contains all scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed to make the Technical Report not misleading.
12. I have read the definition of "qualified person" set out in Regulation 43-101 /NI 43-01 and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in Regulation 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirements to be a "qualified person" for the purposes of Regulation 43-101.

Signed this 3rd day of March 2023 in Val-d'Or, Quebec, Canada.

(Original signed and sealed)

Marc R. Beauvais, P.Eng.
InnovExplo Inc.
marc.beauvais@innovexplo.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| SIGNATURE PAGE – INNOVEXPLO | ii |
| CERTIFICATE OF AUTHOR – CARL PELLETIER | iii |
| CERTIFICATE OF AUTHOR – VINCENT NADEAU-BENOIT | iv |
| CERTIFICATE OF AUTHOR – MARC R. BEAUVAIS | vi |
| 1. SUMMARY | 14 |
| 1.1 Costs Estimate for Recommended Work | 22 |
| 2. INTRODUCTION | 23 |
| 2.1 Terms of Reference | 23 |
| 2.2 Report Responsibility and Qualified Persons | 24 |
| 2.3 Site Visit | 24 |
| 2.4 Effective Date | 25 |
| 2.5 Sources of Information | 25 |
| 2.6 Currency, Units of Measure, and Acronyms | 25 |
| 3. RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS | 33 |
| 4. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION | 34 |
| 4.1 Location | 34 |
| 4.2 Mining Title Status | 34 |
| 4.3 Acquisition of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend land package | 37 |
| 4.4 Sale of Nickel Assets to Archer Exploration Corp. | 37 |
| 4.5 Previous Agreements and Encumbrances – Mineral Royalties | 38 |
| 4.6 Permits | 38 |
| 4.7 Communication and Consultation with Communities | 39 |
| 5. ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY | 41 |
| 5.1 Accessibility | 41 |
| 5.2 Climate | 41 |
| 5.3 Local Resources | 41 |
| 5.4 Infrastructure | 43 |
| 5.5 Physiography | 43 |
| 6. HISTORY | 44 |
| 6.1 Fenelon Block | 44 |
| 6.2 Grasset Block | 49 |
| 6.3 Martiniere Block | 55 |
| 6.4 Doigt Block | 59 |
| 6.5 Harri Block | 60 |
| 6.6 Detour East Block | 62 |
| 6.7 Casault Block | 67 |
| 6.8 Nantel Block | 70 |
| 7. GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION | 71 |
| 7.1 Regional Geology | 71 |
| 7.2 Local Geology | 73 |
| 7.3 Geology of the Property | 75 |
| 7.3.1 Fenelon Block | 75 |
| 7.3.2 Martiniere Block | 78 |
| 7.4 Mineralization | 80 |
| 7.4.1 Fenelon Block | 80 |
| 7.4.2 Grasset Block | 86 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 7.4.3 | Martiniere Block | 86 |
| 7.4.4 | Other claim blocks | 90 |
| 8. | DEPOSIT TYPES | 92 |
| 8.1 | Orogenic Gold..... | 92 |
| 8.2 | VMS Cu-Zn-(Ag-Au) | 93 |
| 9. | EXPLORATION..... | 95 |
| 9.1 | Surface Exploration | 95 |
| 9.1.1 | Historical core resampling | 95 |
| 9.1.2 | Induced Polarization Survey..... | 95 |
| 9.1.3 | Fenelon, Casault, Harri and Grasset Airborne Magnetic Surveys | 96 |
| 9.1.4 | Fenelon, Grasset and Casault Biogeochemical Survey (Tree Bark Sampling) | 97 |
| 9.1.5 | Casault and Casault East Mapping Program | 98 |
| 9.1.6 | Casault East and Harri Till Sampling Program..... | 98 |
| 9.1.7 | Magnetic Gradiometer Survey | 99 |
| 9.1.8 | 2022 Field Program on Detour East Block (Completed by Agnico) | 100 |
| 9.2 | Underground Exploration..... | 100 |
| 9.2.1 | Bulk Sample..... | 100 |
| 9.2.2 | Exploration Drift | 101 |
| 9.2.3 | Underground Geological Mapping and Sampling..... | 102 |
| 10. | DRILLING..... | 103 |
| 10.1 | Drilling Methodology | 103 |
| 10.2 | Core Logging Procedures..... | 103 |
| 10.3 | 2017 to 2022 Drilling Programs | 104 |
| 10.3.1 | 2017 Drilling Program | 110 |
| 10.3.2 | 2018 Drilling Program | 110 |
| 10.3.3 | 2019 Drilling Program | 111 |
| 10.3.4 | 2020 Drilling Program | 113 |
| 10.3.5 | 2021 Drilling Program (Completed by the Issuer) | 114 |
| 10.3.6 | 2021 Drilling Program (Detour East Block - Completed by Kirkland Lake) | 115 |
| 10.3.7 | 2022 Drilling Program | 117 |
| 11. | SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSES AND SECURITY | 119 |
| 11.1 | Fenelon Block..... | 119 |
| 11.1.1 | Core Handling, Sampling and Security | 119 |
| 11.1.2 | Laboratory Accreditation and Certification | 120 |
| 11.1.3 | Laboratory Preparation and Assays | 120 |
| 11.1.4 | Quality Assurance and Quality Control | 121 |
| 11.2 | Martiniere Block | 125 |
| 11.2.1 | Core Handling, Sampling and Security | 125 |
| 11.2.2 | Laboratory Accreditation and Certification | 126 |
| 11.2.3 | Laboratory Preparation and Assays | 126 |
| 11.2.4 | Quality Assurance and Quality Control | 127 |
| 11.2.5 | Conclusions on QA/QC for the Martiniere Block | 131 |
| 12. | DATA VERIFICATION | 132 |
| 12.1 | Drill Hole Database..... | 132 |
| 12.2 | Site Visit..... | 132 |
| 12.3 | Comments | 135 |
| 13. | MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING | 136 |
| 13.1 | Fenelon Deposit..... | 136 |
| 13.1.1 | Treatment and results of the 2018 and 2019 bulk samples (Gabbro Zones)..... | 136 |
| 13.1.2 | Metallurgical testwork on Tabasco-Cayenne and Area 51 zones | 139 |
| 13.1.3 | Conclusions for the Fenelon Gold Mine Deposit | 141 |

| | | |
|------------|--|------------|
| 13.2 | Martiniere Deposit..... | 142 |
| 13.2.1 | 2012 and 2013 ALS Metallurgy | 142 |
| 13.2.2 | 2014 SGS Minerals Services..... | 143 |
| 13.2.3 | 2015 Blue Coast | 145 |
| 14. | MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATES | 148 |
| 14.1 | Methodology | 148 |
| 14.2 | Drill Hole Databases | 148 |
| 14.2.1 | Geological Model | 150 |
| 14.2.2 | Voids Model | 152 |
| 14.2.3 | High-grade Capping | 153 |
| 14.2.4 | Density | 158 |
| 14.2.5 | Compositing | 159 |
| 14.2.6 | Block Model | 161 |
| 14.2.7 | Variography and Search Ellipsoids..... | 162 |
| 14.2.8 | Grade Interpolation | 166 |
| 14.2.9 | Block Model Validation | 178 |
| 14.2.10 | Mineral Resource Classification | 182 |
| 14.2.11 | Economic Parameters and Cut-Off Grade | 182 |
| 14.2.12 | Mineral Resource Estimate | 184 |
| 15. | MINERAL RESERVE ESTIMATES | 192 |
| 16. | MINING METHODS..... | 192 |
| 17. | RECOVERY METHODS | 192 |
| 18. | PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE | 192 |
| 19. | MARKET STUDIES AND CONTRACTS | 192 |
| 20. | ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES, PERMITTING, AND SOCIAL OR COMMUNITY IMPACT | 192 |
| 21. | CAPITAL AND OPERATING COSTS | 192 |
| 22. | ECONOMIC ANALYSIS..... | 192 |
| 23. | ADJACENT PROPERTIES..... | 193 |
| 24. | OTHER RELEVANT DATA AND INFORMATION | 195 |
| 25. | INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS | 196 |
| 26. | RECOMMENDATIONS | 199 |
| 26.1 | Costs Estimate for Recommended Work | 200 |
| 27. | REFERENCES | 201 |
| 27.1 | GM (SIGÉOM) | 204 |
| | APPENDIX I – LIST OF MINING TITLES..... | 219 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 4.1 – Location of the Property in the Province of Quebec | 35 |
| Figure 4.2 – Map of claim blocks comprising the Property | 36 |
| Figure 5.1 – Access and waterways of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend Property and the surrounding region | 42 |
| Figure 7.1 – Stratigraphic map of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt..... | 72 |
| Figure 7.2 – Geology of the Harricana-Turgeon Belt, northwestern Abitibi Subprovince | 74 |
| Figure 7.3 – Geology of the Fenelon Block..... | 77 |
| Figure 7.4 – Geology of the Martiniere Block..... | 79 |
| Figure 7.5 – Geology and mineralized zones of the Fenelon Gold System | 81 |
| Figure 7.6 – Cross-section A-A' (looking west) of the Area 51 and Tabasco-Cayenne zones.... | 83 |
| Figure 7.7 – Cross-section B-B' (looking west) of the Area 51 and Tabasco-Cayenne zones.... | 85 |
| Figure 7.8 – Geology and mineralized zones of the Martiniere Gold System | 88 |
| Figure 7.9 – Long section of the Martiniere West Trend | 89 |
| Figure 8.1 – Types of gold deposits and their inferred deposit clan | 93 |
| Figure 8.2 – Types of VMS mineralization and tectonic settings | 94 |
| Figure 9.1 – 3D view and results of the 2018-2019 bulk sample..... | 101 |
| Figure 10.1 – Holes drilled on the Fenelon Block from 2017 to 2022 | 106 |
| Figure 10.2 – Holes drilled by Wallbridge on the Martiniere Block in 2021-2022..... | 107 |
| Figure 10.3 – Holes drilled by Wallbridge on the Casault Block in 2021-2022 | 108 |
| Figure 10.4 – Holes drilled by Wallbridge on the Grasset Block in 2021-2022 | 109 |
| Figure 10.5 – Holes drilled by Kirkland Lake on the Detour East Block in 2021 | 116 |
| Figure 11.1 – Chart detailing the results by SGS (ICP finish) of OREAS 231 from January 1, 2022, to December 14, 2022..... | 124 |
| Figure 11.2 – Chart detailing the results by Bureau Veritas of OREAS 238 from September 1, 2021 to December 14, 2022 | 129 |
| Figure 11.3 – Chart detailing the results (53) by SGS (umpire laboratory for Bureau Veritas original assay results) of duplicates taken at the pulverising stage (pulp duplicates) received between September 1, 2021 to December 14, 2022 | 131 |
| Figure 12.1 – QPs site visits to the Fenelon Camp | 135 |
| Figure 13.1 – Proposed flotation and cyanidation flowsheet for the Bug Composite | 147 |
| Figure 14.1 – Surface plan view of the Fenelon deposit showing the validated drill holes used for the 2023 MRE | 149 |
| Figure 14.2 – Surface plan view of the Martiniere deposit showing the validated drill hole used for the 2023 MRE | 150 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 14.3 – Inclined view of the Fenelon model looking north: envelopes (left) and high-grade zones (right) | 151 |
| Figure 14.4 – Inclined view of the Martiniere model looking north: envelopes (left) and high-grade zones (right) | 152 |
| Figure 14.5 – Longitudinal section of the voids for the Fenelon deposit, looking north..... | 153 |
| Figure 14.6 – Example of graphs (Cayenne 1) supporting the established capping value for the Fenelon deposit..... | 156 |
| Figure 14.7 – Example of graphs (BLS Lower Contact) supporting the established capping value for the Martiniere deposit..... | 157 |
| Figure 14.8 – Variograms for the Cayenne 1 HG Zone..... | 163 |
| Figure 14.9 – Long section of the ellipsoid radii and wireframe for the Cayenne 1 HG Zone ... | 164 |
| Figure 14.10 – Variograms for the BLS Lower Contact HG Zone | 165 |
| Figure 14.11 – Long Section of the ellipsoid radii and wireframe for the BLS Lower Contact HG Zone..... | 166 |
| Figure 14.12 – Visual validation comparing drill hole composites and block model grade values (example of Cayenne 1 HG Zone, Fenelon deposit)..... | 178 |
| Figure 14.13 – Visual validation comparing drill hole composites and block model grade values (example of BLS Lower Contact HG Zone, Martiniere deposit)..... | 179 |
| Figure 14.14 – High-grade zones swath plot comparison of block estimates along the X-axis (Fenelon deposit) | 180 |
| Figure 14.15 – High-grade zones swath plot comparison of block estimates along the X-axis (Martiniere deposit) | 181 |
| Figure 14.16 – Classified mineral resources within the constraining volumes for the Fenelon deposit | 185 |
| Figure 14.17 – Classified mineral resources within the constraining volumes for the Martiniere deposit | 186 |
| Figure 23.1 – Adjacent properties..... | 194 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 2.1 – List of Acronyms | 26 |
| Table 2.2 – List of units | 29 |
| Table 2.3 – Conversion Factors for Measurements | 32 |
| Table 6.1 – Historical work on the Fenelon Block | 44 |
| Table 6.2 – Historical work on the Grasset Block..... | 49 |
| Table 6.3 – Historical work on the Martiniere Block | 55 |
| Table 6.4 – Historical work on the Doigt Block..... | 59 |
| Table 6.5 – Historical work on the Harri Block | 60 |
| Table 6.6 – Historical work on the Detour-East Block | 63 |
| Table 6.7 – Historical work on the Casault Block..... | 67 |
| Table 7.1 – Summary of significant mineralization found on other claim blocks..... | 90 |
| Table 10.1 – Summary of 2017 to 2022 drilling programs..... | 105 |
| Table 10.2 – Significant results of the 2017 drilling program..... | 110 |
| Table 10.3 – Significant results of the 2018 drilling program..... | 111 |
| Table 10.4 – Significant results of the 2019 drilling program..... | 112 |
| Table 10.5 – Significant results of the 2020 drilling program..... | 113 |
| Table 10.6 – Significant results of the 2021 drilling program..... | 114 |
| Table 10.7 – Significant results of the 2022 drilling program..... | 117 |
| Table 11.1 – Results of standards received from September 1, 2021, to December 31, 2021 (SGS and Bureau Veritas) | 122 |
| Table 11.2 – Results of standards received from January 1, 2022, to December 14, 2022 (SGS and Bureau Veritas) | 123 |
| Table 11.3 – Results of blanks received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 | 125 |
| Table 11.4 – Results of standards received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 (SGS and Bureau Veritas) | 128 |
| Table 11.5 – Results of blanks received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 | 130 |
| Table 12.1 – Results of the independent re-sampling of material from the Fenelon deposit | 134 |
| Table 13.1 – Summary of the results for the 2018 and 2019 bulk samples..... | 137 |
| Table 13.2 – Average recovery per stage and average leach time | 137 |
| Table 13.3 – Results of SGS’ 2020 metallurgical testwork (Area 51 and Tabasco zones) | 139 |
| Table 13.4 – Results of SGS’ 2014 metallurgical testwork (from DiLauro and Dymov, 2014) .. | 144 |
| Table 13.5 – Flotation metallurgical balance summary | 144 |
| Table 13.6 – Metallurgical balance from separate concentrate and tails leach option (Martin, 2015) | 146 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 14.1 – Summary statistics for the drill hole raw and capped assays for the Fenelon deposit | 154 |
| Table 14.2 – Summary statistics for the drill hole raw and capped assays for the Martiniere deposit | 155 |
| Table 14.3 – Summary of density measurements for the Fenelon deposit..... | 158 |
| Table 14.4 – Summary of density measurements for the Martiniere deposit..... | 159 |
| Table 14.5 – Summary statistics for the composites of the Fenelon deposit | 160 |
| Table 14.6 – Summary statistics for the composites of the Martiniere deposit | 161 |
| Table 14.7 – Properties of block models..... | 162 |
| Table 14.8 – Estimation parameters for the Fenelon deposit..... | 167 |
| Table 14.9 – Estimation parameters for the Martiniere deposit | 172 |
| Table 14.10 – Input parameters used to calculate the cut-off grades..... | 183 |
| Table 14.11 – Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate (by deposit)..... | 186 |
| Table 14.12 – Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate –Fenelon deposit by zone | 187 |
| Table 14.13 – Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate –Martiniere deposit by zone | 188 |
| Table 14.14 – Gold price sensitivity analysis for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE (Fenelon Deposit)..... | 190 |
| Table 14.15 – Gold price sensitivity analysis for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE (Martiniere Deposit)..... | 191 |
| Table 24.1 – Bulk sample results..... | 195 |
| Table 25.1 – Risks for the Property | 197 |
| Table 25.2 – Opportunities for the Property | 198 |
| Table 26.1 – Estimated Costs for the Recommended Work Program | 200 |

1. SUMMARY

Introduction

Wallbridge Mining Company Limited (“Wallbridge” or the “issuer”) retained InnovExplo Inc. (“InnovExplo”) to prepare a technical report (the “Technical Report”) to support the results of the updated mineral resource estimates for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits (combined, the “Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE” or “2023 MRE”) on the issuer’s Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend land package (the “Property”). The Technical Report was prepared in accordance with Canadian Securities Administrators’ National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”) and Form 43-101F1. Attila Péntek, VP Exploration of Wallbridge, assigned the mandate.

InnovExplo is an independent mining and exploration consulting firm based in Val-d’Or, Quebec.

Wallbridge is a Canadian mining company trading publicly on the Toronto Stock Exchange (“TSX”) under the symbol WM and on the United States OTCQX Best Market (“OTCQX”) under the symbol WLBMF.

Contributors

This Technical Report was prepared by InnovExplo employees Carl Pelletier, (P.Geo.), Co-President Founder of InnovExplo, Vincent Nadeau-Benoit (P.Geo.), Senior Geologist in Mineral Resources Estimation, Simon Boudreau (P.Eng.), Senior Mining Engineer, Marc R. Beauvais (P. Eng.), Senior Mining Engineer. All are independent and qualified persons (“QPs”) as defined by NI 43-101.

Mr. Pelletier is a professional geologist in good standing with the OGQ (No. 384), PGO (No. 1713), EGBC (No. 43167) and NAPEG (No. L4160). He is co-author of the Technical Report and share responsibility for all items.

Mr. Nadeau-Benoit is a professional geologist in good standing with the OGQ (No. 1535), EGBC (No. 54427) and NAPEG (No. L4154). He is co-author of the Technical Report and share responsibility for all items.

Mr. Boudreau is a professional engineer in good standing with the OIQ (licence No. 132 388). He is responsible for the preparation of section 14.12. He is also co-author of and share responsibility for sections 1, 2, 3, 14, 25, 26 and 27.

Mr. Beauvais is a professional engineer in good standing with the OIQ (licence No. 108195) and the PEO (licence No. 100061114). He is responsible for the preparation of section 14.12. He is also co-author of and share responsibility for sections 1, 2, 3, 14, 25, 26 and 27.

Property Description and Location

The Property is located in the Nord-du-Québec administrative region of the Province of Quebec, Canada, approximately 75 km west-northwest of the town of Matagami.

The Property covers 830.82 km², extending 97 km east-west and 20 km north-south. The coordinates of the approximate centroid are 78°53'33"W and 49°59'49"N (UTM: 651048E and 5540489N, NAD 83, Zone 17). The Property overlies the townships of Manthet,

Martigny, La Martinière, Jérémie, Caumont, Du Tast, Massicotte, La Peltrie, Lanouillier, Gaudet, Fenelon, Subercase and Grasset on NTS map sheets 32L/01 to 04 and 32E/13 to 16.T

The main access to the Fenelon camp (in the eastern part of the Property) is via Highway 109 from Amos, which heads north. From this highway, the drive is 13 km west along the road leading to the former small mining town of Joutel, then 51 km northwest on the Selbaie paved road (N-810). Between the Km 122 and Km 123 markers, a year-round forestry road provides access to the Fenelon camp, 21 km from the junction.

The Property consists of eight (8) claim blocks: Fenelon, Grasset, Detour East, Doigt, Nantel, Martiniere, Harri and Casault. The Casault Block corresponds to Midland's Casault Property under option to Wallbridge. Part of the Detour East Block is under a joint venture ("JV") agreement with Kirkland Lake Gold Ltd ("Kirkland Lake"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Agnico Eagle Mines Limited ("Agnico") following business combination transaction in February 2022.

The combined claim blocks, including the JV area, comprise 1,524 claims staked by electronic map designation (map-designated cells or "CDC"), three (3) non-exclusive leases for surface mineral substances, and one (1) mining lease for an aggregate area of 83,082.11 ha.

Wallbridge acquired the Property through several transactions with Balmoral Resources Ltd ("Balmoral") and Midland Exploration Inc. ("Midland").

All claim blocks are subject to royalties payable to various beneficiaries, with the major holder being Franco-Nevada Corporation.

Geology

The Property is located in the northwestern Archean Abitibi Subprovince of the southern Superior Province in the Canadian Shield. The Property overlies a significant portion of the North Volcanic Zone or Harricana-Turgeon ("HT") volcano-sedimentary belt of the Abitibi Subprovince, near the boundary between the Abitibi and Opatica subprovinces.

The HT belt overlaps the Ontario-Quebec boundary. In Ontario, the HT belt is formed by the Deloro, Porcupine and Stoughton-Roquemare assemblages of Thurston et al. (2008). In Quebec, these assemblages are recognized as the Manthet Group, the Rivière Turgeon Formation and the Brouillan-Fenelon Group, each forming a distinct geological domain. The boundaries between the geological domains are delineated by high-strain zones that include the Lower Detour ("LDDZ") and Sunday Lake ("SLDZ") deformation zones. The SLDZ separates the Manthet and Matagami domains, whereas the LDDZ separates the Matagami and Brouillan-Fenelon domains.

The Manthet Group, to the north of the SLDZ, has been interpreted as the equivalent of the 2730-2724 Ma Deloro assemblage. It is characterized by abundant iron-rich tholeiitic basalts and coeval gabbroic sills and dykes with minor intercalated graphitic argillites, as well as mafic and felsic volcanoclastic rocks. Ultramafic flows and intrusions at the base of the volcanic sequence are also known near the Detour gold mine and between the Fenelon Block and the Opatica Subprovince. The volcanic sequence is coeval to the volcanic units of the Selbaie and Matagami base metal mining camps. The degree of metamorphism and deformation within the Manthet domain increases gradually northward toward the Opatica gneisses.

The Rivière Turgeon Formation is bound by the SLDZ in the north and the LDDZ in the south, bridging the Manthet and Brouillan-Fenelon groups, respectively. Rock types consist mostly of wackes and argillites, as well as tuffaceous units and iron formations. These sediments are interpreted to be deposited in a successor basin unconformably overlying the volcanic rocks. They are included in the Matagami Group and are considered equivalent to the Porcupine-type sediments of the southern Abitibi. The iron formations show strong lateral continuity along east-west trends. Other rock types include numerous mafic to ultramafic sill-like intrusions and at least one larger composite mafic-ultramafic intrusion. The contact between the Rivière Turgeon Formation and the Manthet Group is delineated by the SLDZ, which dips 70°-80° to the south-southwest.

The volcanic-dominated Brouillan-Fenelon Group lies to the south of the LDDZ and comprises mostly mafic volcanic rocks that are interpreted to be the equivalent of the 2723-2720 Ma Stoughton-Roquemaure Assemblage of Thurston et al. (2008). This geological domain contains a greater volume of felsic volcanic and intrusive rocks than the Manthet Group. It hosts the former-producing Selbaie volcanogenic massive sulphide ("VMS") deposit.

North of the SLDZ, the Fenelon claim block is underlain by NW-SE trending sedimentary rocks and lesser mafic to ultramafic volcanic rocks. These rocks have been intruded by intermediate to mafic/ultramafic sills and dykes. To the northwest, the sequence is intruded by the Jeremie Pluton, an ovoid-shaped, composite felsic to intermediate intrusive body. Diorite intrusions, such as the Jeremie Diorite, extend into the Fenelon deposit area and are interpreted to be earlier phases of the Jeremie Pluton.

Coarse-grained sedimentary rocks (greywacke, siltstone) are most abundant in the southwest, whereas finer-grained sedimentary rocks (argillite, graphitic argillite, and mudstone) dominate in the northeast. The Tabasco and Cayenne zones are hosted in this sedimentary package, mainly constrained to the finer sediments. Similarly, the Contact Zone is also mainly hosted in the sediments but formed along the margin of the Jeremie Diorite. The Area 51 vein network is largely hosted in the Jeremie Diorite.

The Main Gabbro is the largest intrusive body in area of the Fenelon deposit after the Jeremie Diorite. The Main Gabbro is the host of the Gabbro Zones, the only historically known (pre-Wallbridge) gold-bearing zones of the Fenelon deposit

Mineralization

The Property is well endowed with mineral occurrences and includes the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits.

The Fenelon deposit comprises four gold-bearing domains: the Gabbro Zones in the dyke swarm complex, the Tabasco-Cayenne-Contact zones in sedimentary rocks, the Area 51 Zone in the Jérémie Diorite and adjacent sedimentary rocks, and the Ripley-Reaper zones in the southern extension of the Jérémie Diorite along the northern contact of the SLDZ.

The Gabbro Zones (a.k.a. the Main Gabbro Zone or Discovery Gold Zone) were the only known mineralization of significance before the issuer discovered the Tabasco-Cayenne-Contact and Area 51 zones. The Gabbro Zones consist of seven (7) mineralized zones from northeast to southwest: Trinidad Scorpion, Fresno (formerly Zone B), Chipotle (formerly Zone C), Anaheim, Naga Viper (formerly zones D and E), Habanero and

Serrano. The mineralized zones are restricted to a wide corridor of intensely altered gabbro, pyroxenite and leucogabbro, typically focused along internal contacts between different intrusive pulses, between two panels of argillaceous sediments, except for the Habanero zones, which are partially hosted in sediments. The zones are primarily concentrated in a flexure where the gabbro direction changes from WNW-ESE to E-W. The zones are predominantly located at the inflection of shear zones, where the dip changes from 70° to vertical. The general rake of the Gabbro Zones is subparallel to the mineral stretching lineations. The thickness of the mineralized envelopes varies from a few centimetres to 15 m.

The Tabasco-Cayenne system was discovered in 2019. It is bounded by the Main Gabbro to the northeast and the Jérémie Diorite to the southwest (Figure 7.5). The three zones have similar geological characteristics, but the Contact Zone has a slightly different orientation. The Tabasco and Cayenne zones trend N110 and dip steeply between 70° and 90° to the south. The Contact Zone generally trends at N125 but becomes E-W where it coincides with the Jeremie Fault and dips moderately to steeply between 50° and 90° to the north. Together, they form an anastomosing and sheared mineralized system largely controlled by the stratigraphic units and Jérémie Diorite with numerous secondary splays. Along these shear zones, internal variations in dip define dilatational segments that accompany folded and boudinaged gold-bearing shear veins. These features may represent primary ore shoots. In some places, the zones follow dyke contacts.

The mineralization in the Area 51 Zone is dominantly hosted in the Jérémie Diorite but also extends into the sediments to the south and southwest. The zone is bounded by the JD Contact Zone to the north and northeast. The highest concentration of gold occurs where the Jérémie Diorite intrusion forms narrower stocks bounded by sediments or by sediments and a more mafic phase of the Jérémie Diorite. Gold mineralization is mainly associated with isolated or regularly spaced subparallel sheeted translucent grey quartz veins that are generally 1-2 cm thick and rarely up to 5 cm thick.

The Ripley-Reaper zones represent a southern parallel series of mineralized zones akin to the Area 51 system. The zones are located approximately 250 to 500 m south of the Area 51 system and straddle the contact of the SLDZ. The mineralization is preferentially hosted in the more felsic phase of the Jérémie intrusion, which is surrounded (and intercalated with) the more mafic phase; however, mineralization also occurs in the mafic phase and the adjacent sediments. It is associated with a pervasive replacement silica-sericite alteration of the Jérémie intrusion that yields a relatively consistent distribution of gold grades. Higher-grade zones are associated with quartz veins containing visible gold and moderate sulphide content and arsenopyrite-pyrite+/-chalcopyrite stockwork veins.

Diamond drilling on the Martiniere Block has defined several mineralized zones or showings along structural trends. Gold mineralization typically shows a close spatial association with greater amounts of: (1) disseminated to (rarely) semi-massive pyrite, (2) carbonate and/or quartz alteration and veining, and (3) brittle to ductile structures. Lithology and alteration are somewhat different on the Bug Lake and Martiniere West trends, resulting in a distinction between “Bug Lake-style” and “Martiniere West-style” mineralization.

At least three pyrite-dominant VMS systems also occur on the Martiniere Block, although generally with negligible base and precious metal contents.

Two other significant gold mineralized occurrences are present in the Detour East (Lynx-Rambo zones) and Casault (Vortex) claim blocks of the Property. In both cases, gold mineralization is reportedly structurally controlled and associated with major deformation zones or splays.

Data Verification

Data verification and the site visit demonstrated that the databases for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits are considered valid and of sufficient quality to be used for the mineral resource estimates.

Mineral Resource Estimates

The 2023 MRE comprises updated estimates for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits.

The updated mineral resource estimates for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits (combined, the “Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE” or “2023 MRE”) were prepared by QPs Carl Pelletier (P.Geo.), Vincent Nadeau-Benoit (P.Geo.), Simon Boudreau (P.Eng.) and Marc R. Beauvais (P. Eng.) all of InnovExplo, using all available information.

The effective date of the 2023 MRE is January 13, 2021.

The Fenelon area, which includes the mineral resource area of the Fenelon deposit, has a NW strike length of 3,000 m, a width of 2,000 m, and a vertical extent of 1,000 m below the surface. Located 30 km west of the Fenelon deposit, the mineral resource area of the Martiniere deposit has a NE strike length of 1,000 m, a width of 350 m and a vertical extent of 300 m (Martiniere West and Central Trend), and a NW strike length of 1,500 m, a width of 600 m and a vertical extent of 400 m (Bug Lake Trend).

The Fenelon model consists of 112 high-grade zones and 7 low-grade envelopes (Figure 14.3). The Martiniere model consists of 75 high-grade zones and 9 low-grade envelopes. For Fenelon, the high-grade zones were designed to the true thickness of the mineralization (on average down to a minimum thickness of 0.5 m but locally down to 0.2 m, depending on the assay length) and based on a cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t Au. For Martiniere, the high-grade zones were designed to the true thickness of the mineralization (on average down to a minimum thickness of 0.5 m but locally down to 0.2 m, depending on the assay length) and based on a cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t Au.

The resource database of the Fenelon deposit contains 1,350 drill holes (536,621.71m). This selection contains 312,123 sampled intervals taken from 377,729.50 m of drilled core. The resource database of the Martiniere deposit contains 596 drill holes (169,266.07m). This selection contains 122,312 sampled intervals taken from 126,791.00m of drilled core.

The 2023 MRE can be classified as Indicated and Inferred mineral resources based on geology, grade continuity, data density, search ellipse criteria, drill hole spacing and interpolation parameters. The requirement of reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction has been met by having a minimum width for the modelling of the mineralization zones and a cut-off grade, using reasonable inputs, both for potential open pit and underground extraction scenarios, and constraints consisting of a surface shape for the open-pit scenario and mineable shapes for the underground scenario.

The QPs consider the 2023 MRE reliable and based on quality data and geological knowledge. The estimate follows CIM Definition Standards and CIM MRMR Best Practice Guidelines.

The following table displays the results of the 2023 MRE at the official cut-off grades.

Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate (Table 14.11)

| Deposit | Category | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) | Total (oz Au) |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Fenelon | Indicated | In Pit > 0.45 | 727,400 | 4.46 | 104,400 | 2,369,600 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 20,931,700 | 3.37 | 2,265,200 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.45 | 303,900 | 4.08 | 39,800 | 1,718,400 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 18,181,400 | 2.87 | 1,678,500 | |
| Martiniere | Indicated | In Pit > 0.55 | 7,757,700 | 2.14 | 534,100 | 684,300 |
| | | UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 31,600 | 2.84 | 2,900 | |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 1,253,500 | 3.66 | 147,400 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.55 | 2,652,400 | 1.83 | 156,400 | 632,300 |
| | | UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 215,200 | 2.96 | 20,500 | |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 3,327,300 | 4.26 | 455,400 | |
| Total Indicated | | | 30,701,900 | 3.09 | | 3,054,000 |
| Total Inferred | | | 24,680,200 | 2.96 | | 2,350,700 |

Notes to the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate:

1. The independent and qualified persons ("QPs") for the 2023 MRE are Carl Pelletier (P.Geo.), Vincent Nadeau-Benoit (P.Geo.), Simon Boudreau (P.Eng.) and Marc R. Beauvais (P.Eng.), all of InnovExplo Inc. The 2023 RE follows CIM Definition Standards (2014) and CIM MRMR Guidelines (2019). The effective date of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE is January 13, 2023.
2. These mineral resources are not mineral reserves as they do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. The QPs are not aware of any known environmental, permitting, legal, title-related, taxation, sociopolitical or marketing issues, or any other relevant issue, that could materially affect the potential development of mineral resources other than those discussed in the 2023 MRE.
4. For Fenelon, 112 high-grade zones and seven (7) low-grade envelopes were modelled in 3D to the true thickness of the mineralization. Supported by measurements, a density value of 2.80 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the high-grade zones, and 2.81 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the low-grade envelopes. High-grade capping was done on raw assay data and established on a per-zone basis, ranging between 25 g/t and 100 g/t Au for the high-grade zones, except for Chipotle and Cayenne 3 for which capping was set at 330 g/t Au, and between 4 g/t and 10 g/t Au for the low-grade envelopes. Composites (1.0 m) were calculated within the zones and envelopes using the grade of the adjacent material when assayed or a value of zero when not assayed. A minimum mining width of 2 m was used for underground stope optimization.
5. For Martiniere, 75 high-grade zones and nine (9) low-grade envelopes were modelled in 3D to the true thickness of the mineralization. Supported by measurements, a density value of 2.83 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the high-grade zones, except for the high-grade zones associated with massive sulphide intersections where a value of 3.00 g/cm³ was applied, and 2.81 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the low-grade envelopes. High-grade capping was done on raw assay data and established on a per-zone basis, ranging between 25 g/t and 100 g/t Au for the high-grade zones and between 1 g/t and 6 g/t Au for the low-grade envelopes. Composites (1.0 m) were calculated within the zones and envelopes using the grade of the adjacent material when assayed or a value of zero when not assayed. A minimum mining width of 2 m was used for underground stope optimization.

6. The criterion of reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction has been met by having constraining volumes applied to blocks (potential surface and underground extraction scenario) using Whittle and DSO and by the application of cut-off grades. The cut-off grade for the Fenelon deposit was calculated using a gold price of US\$1,600 per ounce; a CAD:USD exchange rate of 1.30; a refining cost of \$5.00/t; a processing cost of \$18.15/t; a mining cost of \$5.50/t (bedrock) or \$2.15/t (overburden) for the surface portion, a mining cost of \$65.00/t for the underground portion and a G&A cost of \$9.20/t. Values of metallurgical recovery of 95.0% and royalty of 4.0% were applied during the cut-off grade calculation. The cut-off grade for the Martiniere deposit was calculated using a gold price of US\$1,600 per ounce; a CAD:USD exchange rate of 1.30; a refining cost of \$5.00/t; a processing cost of \$18.15/t; a mining cost of \$4.55/t (bedrock) or \$2.15/t (overburden) for the surface portion, a mining cost of \$118.80/t for the underground portion using the long-hole mining method (LH), a mining cost of \$130.70/t for the underground portion using the cut and fill mining method (C&F), a G&A cost of \$9.20/t and a transport-to-process cost of \$6.50/t. Values of metallurgical recovery of 96.0% and royalty of 2.0% were applied during the cut-off grade calculation. The cut-off grades should be re-evaluated in light of future prevailing market conditions (metal prices, exchange rate, mining cost, etc.).
7. Results are presented in situ. Ounce (troy) = metric tons x grade/31.10348. The number of tonnes and ounces was rounded to the nearest thousand. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding effects; rounding followed the recommendations as per NI 43-101.

Interpretation and Conclusions

The following conclusions were reached after conducting a detailed review of all pertinent information and completing the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE:

- The results demonstrate the geological and grade continuities for both gold deposits, Fenelon and Martiniere.
- The drill holes provide sufficient information for the mineral resource estimates of the 2 (two) deposits.
- In a combined scenario, the Fenelon deposit contains:
 - at a cut-off grade of 0.45 g/t Au for open-pit mining, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 727,400 t grading 4.46 g/t Au for 104,400 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 303,900 t grading 4.08 g/t Au for 39,800 oz Au,
 - at, a cut-off grade of 1.50 g/t Au for underground, using long-hole stoping, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 20,931,700 t grading 3.37 g/t Au for 2,265,200 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 18,181,400 t grading 2.87 g/t Au for 1,678,500 oz Au,
- In a combined scenario, the Martiniere deposit contains:
 - at a cut-off grade of 0.55 g/t Au for open-pit mining, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 7,757,700 t grading 2.14 g/t Au for 534,100 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 2,652,400 t grading 1.83 g/t Au for 156,400 oz Au,
 - at, a cut-off grade of 2.40 g/t Au for underground, using long-hole stoping, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 1,253,500 t grading 3.66 g/t Au for 147,400 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 3,327,300 t grading 4.26 g/t Au for 455,400 oz Au,
 - at, a cut-off grade of 2.60 g/t Au for underground, using the cut and fill mining method, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 31,600 t grading 2.84 g/t Au for 2,900 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 215,200 t grading 2.96 g/t Au for 20,500 oz Au,
- Additional diamond drilling could upgrade some of the Inferred mineral resource to the Indicated category and could identify additional mineral resources down-plunge and in the vicinity of the current identified mineralization.

Recommendations

Based on the results of the 2023 MRE, the QPs recommend advancing the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits to an advanced phase of exploration. The QPs also recommend continuing the property-scale exploration program, including compilation studies, drill target generation, and drilling brownfield targets on other claim blocks.

The recommended two-phase work program is detailed below:

Phase 1:

- Engineering studies:
 - Continue advancing the engineering studies to gather geotechnical, metallurgical, environmental, and hydrogeological information (Fenelon and Martiniere).
- Complete a preliminary economic assessment (“PEA”) using the 2023 MRE with (supported by) a NI 43-101 Technical Report. The purpose of the PEA will be to confirm, as a first step, the potential economic viability of the Fenelon Gold project, and it will also help prepare and prioritize the next steps (Phase 2) of the project.
- Exploration drilling – Fenelon:
 - Further drilling should focus on testing the extensions of known gold zones, main host rocks (Jeremie Diorite and Main Gabbro) and structures recognized in controlling gold mineralization (Sunday Lake Deformation Zone, Jeremie Fault, and other secondary fault zones) with large-spaced step-outs or grassroots drill targets (geophysical and geochemical anomalies or geological and structural trends).
- Exploration work – Martiniere:
 - Complete geophysical programs, field work, and technical studies to generate grassroots drill targets
- Exploration drilling – Martiniere:
 - Further drilling should focus on testing the known gold trends and ore-hosting environments with large-spaced step-outs, as well as testing some property-wide grassroots drill targets.
- Exploration work and drilling – Regional (other claim blocks of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend):
 - Further drilling should focus on testing some property-wide grassroots drill targets (geophysical and geochemical anomalies or geological and structural trends)

Phase 2 (contingent on the success of Phase 1):

- Infill and exploration drilling – Fenelon (provision for follow-up on Phase 1).
- Infill and exploration drilling – Martiniere (provision for follow-up on Phase 1).
- Complete an update of the MREs for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits that will include the results of the recommended drilling programs from Phase 2.
- Complete a pre-feasibility study (“PFS”) based on the updated mineral resource estimates. The purpose of the PFS will be to confirm the economic viability of the Fenelon Gold and Martiniere Gold projects (as a synergy) and summarized in an updated NI 43-101 Technical Report.

1.1 Costs Estimate for Recommended Work

The QPs have prepared a cost estimate (in Canadian dollars) for the recommended two-phase work program to serve as a guideline. The budget for the proposed program is presented in Table 26.1. Expenditures for Phase 1 are estimated at \$35.4 million (incl. 15% for contingencies). Expenditures for Phase 2 are estimated at \$39.3 million (incl. 15% for contingencies). The grand total is \$74.7 million (incl. 15% for contingencies). Phase 2 is contingent upon the success of Phase 1.

Estimated Costs for the Recommended Work Program

| Phase 1 | Work Program | Description | Budget Cost |
|---------|--|-------------|-------------|
| | Engineering studies | | \$3.0M |
| | PEA on the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend | | \$1.0M |
| | Exploration drilling – Fenelon | 15,000 m | \$6.0M |
| | Exploration work – Martiniere | | \$1.0M |
| | Exploration drilling – Martiniere | 23,500 m | \$9.4M |
| | Exploration work – Regional | | \$2.0M |
| | Exploration drilling – Regional | 11,000 m | \$4.4M |
| | <i>Contingencies (15%)</i> | | \$4.6M |
| | Phase 1 subtotal | | \$35.4M |
| Phase 2 | Work Program | Description | Budget Cost |
| | Infill and exploration drilling – Fenelon (provision for follow-up on Phase 1). | 40,000 m | \$16.0M |
| | Infill and exploration drilling – Martiniere (provision for follow-up on Phase 1). | 40,000 m | \$16.0M |
| | Update of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend MRE | | \$0.2M |
| | PFS on the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend | | \$2.0M |
| | <i>Contingencies (15%)</i> | | \$5.1M |
| | Phase 2 subtotal | | \$39.3M |
| | TOTAL (Phase 1 and Phase 2) | | \$74.7M |

2. INTRODUCTION

Wallbridge Mining Company Limited (“Wallbridge” or the “issuer”) retained InnovExplo Inc. (“InnovExplo”) to prepare a technical report (the “Technical Report”) to support the results of the updated mineral resource estimates for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits (combined, the “Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE” or “2023 MRE”) on the issuer’s Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend land package (the “Property”). The Technical Report was prepared in accordance with Canadian Securities Administrators’ *National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* (“NI 43-101”) and Form 43-101F1. Attila Péntek, VP Exploration of Wallbridge, assigned the mandate.

InnovExplo is an independent mining and exploration consulting firm based in Val-d’Or, Quebec.

Wallbridge is a Canadian mining company trading publicly on the Toronto Stock Exchange (“TSX”) under the symbol WM and on the United States OTCQX Best Market (“OTCQX”) under the symbol WLBMF..

2.1 Terms of Reference

Wallbridge was incorporated in the Province of Ontario under the Business Corporations Act (Ontario) by filing articles of incorporation effective June 3, 1996.

The head office, registered office and principal place of business are in the city of Greater Sudbury at 129 Fielding Road, Lively, Ontario, P3Y 1L7. The issuer also maintains an office at 80 Richmond Street West, 18th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, M5H 2A4.

The issuer acquired the Property through several transactions with Balmoral Resources Ltd (“Balmoral”) and Midland Exploration Inc. (“Midland”).

The Property consists of eight (8) claim blocks covering 83,082.11 ha: Fenelon, Grasset, Detour East, Doigt, Nantel, Martiniere, Harri and Casault. The Casault Block corresponds to Midland’s Casault Property under option to Wallbridge. Part of the Detour East Block is under a joint venture (“JV”) agreement with Kirkland Lake Gold Ltd (“Kirkland Lake”), now Agnico Eagle Mines Limited (“Agnico”) following the merger of equals transaction in February 2022. In this Technical Report, all eight (8) claim blocks are collectively referred to as the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend land package (the “Property”).

In October 2016, the issuer purchased Balmoral’s Discovery Zone Property, host to the Discovery Zone deposit (a.k.a. the “Discovery Gold Zone”) and a 10.5-km² subdivision of Balmoral’s larger Fenelon Property (Wallbridge press releases of May 25, 2016, and October 19, 2016). The Fenelon Property has also been called the “Fenelon A Property” or the “Fenelon Project” by past operators. Wallbridge renamed the property and deposit the Fenelon Gold Property and the Fenelon Deposit (a.k.a. the “Fenelon Gold System”).

Wallbridge acquired Balmoral on May 22, 2020, by way of a plan of arrangement, thereby adding the remainder of Balmoral’s Fenelon Property and six (6) other of the company’s properties to its portfolio (Wallbridge press release of May 22, 2020).

On June 18, 2020, Wallbridge announced it had entered into an option agreement with Midland to acquire an interest of up to 65% in the Casault Property.

Finally, on September 14, 2020, Wallbridge announced it had entered into a non-binding term sheet with respect to a JV on its Detour East Block with Kirkland Lake. Under the

terms of this JV, Kirkland Lake (now Agnico) can earn a 75% interest in Detour East by incurring \$35 million in expenditures on the claim block.

On November 18, 2022, the issuer announced that it had completed the sale of all of the property, assets, rights, and obligations related to Wallbridge's portfolio of nickel assets to Archer Exploration Corp ("Archer"). The nickel assets included a 100% interest in the Grasset nickel sulphide project located in Quebec.

The Property provides Wallbridge with a district-scale (roughly 830 km²) land position along the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend, a major mineralized corridor in the Sunday Lake Deformation Zone ("SLDZ"). The trend extends westward to include the open-pit Detour Lake gold mine (Agnico) in Ontario, 15 km from the issuer's property limit.

The Property hosts the Fenelon Deposit (the Gabbro, Tabasco-Cayenne, Area 51 and Ripley-Reaper zones) and the Martiniere Deposit (Bug Lake, Martiniere West and other zones).

The Property is an advanced-stage project with near-term production potential. Drill intersections suggest an exploration potential for mineral resource expansion.

2.2 Report Responsibility and Qualified Persons

This Technical Report was prepared by InnovExplo employees Carl Pelletier, (P.Geo.), Co-President Founder of InnovExplo, Vincent Nadeau-Benoit (P.Geo.), Senior Geologist in Mineral Resources Estimation, Simon Boudreau (P.Eng.), Senior Mining Engineer, Marc R. Beauvais (P. Eng.), Senior Mining Engineer. All are independent and qualified persons ("QPs") as defined by NI 43 101.

Mr. Pelletier is a professional geologist in good standing with the OGQ (No. 384), PGO (No. 1713), EGBC (No. 43167) and NAPEG (No. L4160). He is co-author of the Technical Report and share responsibility for all items.

Mr. Nadeau-Benoit is a professional geologist in good standing with the OGQ (No. 1535), EGBC (No. 54427) and NAPEG (No. L4154). He is co-author of the Technical Report and share responsibility for all items.

Mr. Boudreau is a professional engineer in good standing with the OIQ (licence No. 132 388). He is responsible for the preparation of section 14.12. He is also co-author of and share responsibility for sections 1, 2, 3, 14, 25, 26 and 27.

Mr. Beauvais is a professional engineer in good standing with the OIQ (licence No. 108195) and the PEO (licence No. 100061114). He is responsible for the preparation of section 14.12. He is also co-author of and share responsibility for sections 1, 2, 3, 14, 25, 26 and 27.

2.3 Site Visit

Mr. Nadeau-Benoit visited the Property on November 3, 2022, for the purpose of this Technical Report. The site visit included a review of the access to the Property, visual checks of the Fenelon camp, the core facilities (including core storage and sawing and sampling rooms) and a general assessment of the site's overall condition, an examination of mineralized intervals from recent holes drilled on the Fenelon Block and the Martiniere Block, a review of the core logging and sampling procedures with the Issuer's employees, onsite data verification, and personal inspection of the application of the core logging,

sawing and sampling procedures. He has also visited the property in the past for the previous Technical Report.

Mr. Pelletier and Mr. Beauvais have visited the Property for the purpose of this Technical Report on July 5, 2022. The visit included an underground tour of the ramp access and drift developed in Area 51, a review of the access to the Property, visual checks of the Fenelon camp, the core facilities (including core storage and sawing and sampling rooms) and a general assessment of the site's overall condition. Mr. Pelletier has also visited the property in the past for the previous Technical Report.

2.4 Effective Date

The effective date of this report is March 3, 2023.

2.5 Sources of Information

This Technical Report is supported by the information described in Item 3 and the documents listed in Item 27. Excerpts or summaries from documents authored by other consultants are indicated in the text.

The authors' assessment of the Project was based on published material in addition to the data, professional opinions and unpublished material submitted by the issuer. The authors reviewed all the relevant data provided by the issuer and/or by its agents.

The author also consulted other sources of information, mainly the Government of Quebec's online claim management and assessment work databases (GESTIM and SIGEOM, respectively), as well as documents published on SEDAR (www.sedar.com) under the issuer's profile, including technical reports, annual information forms, MD&A reports and press releases.

The authors reviewed and appraised the information used to prepare this Technical Report and believe that such information is valid and appropriate considering the status of the project and the purpose for which this Technical Report is prepared. The authors have fully researched and documented the conclusions and recommendations made in this Technical Report.

2.6 Currency, Units of Measure, and Acronyms

The abbreviations, acronyms and units used in this report are provided in Table 2.1 and Table 2.2. All currency amounts are stated in Canadian Dollars (\$, C\$, CAD) or US dollars (US\$, USD). Quantities are stated in metric units, as per standard Canadian and international practice, including metric tons (tonnes, t) and kilograms (kg) for weight, kilometres (km) or metres (m) for distance, hectares (ha) for area, percentage (%) for copper and nickel grades, and gram per metric ton (g/t) for precious metal grades. Wherever applicable, imperial units have been converted to the International System of Units (SI units) for consistency (Table 2.3).

Table 2.1 – List of Acronyms

| Acronyms | Term |
|--------------------------|--|
| 43-101 | National Instrument 43-101 (Regulation 43-101 in Quebec) |
| 5DL | Five times the Detection Limit |
| AA or AAS | Atomic absorption spectroscopy |
| Ag | Silver |
| Ai | Abrasion index |
| Au | Gold |
| BLFZ | Bug Lake Fault Zone |
| BLN | Bug Lake North |
| BLS | Bug Lake South |
| CA | Certificate of authorization |
| CAD:USD | Canadian-American exchange rate |
| CIM | Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum |
| CIM Definition Standards | CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (2014) |
| CIM MRMR Guidelines | CIM Estimation of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves Best Practice Guidelines (2019) |
| CL | Core length |
| Co | Cobalt |
| COG | Cut-off grade |
| COV | Coefficient of variation |
| COVAVG | Average coefficient of variation |
| CRM | Certified reference material |
| CSA | Canadian Securities Administrators |
| CSS | Contact support services |
| Cu | Copper |
| CV | Coefficient of variation |
| DDH | Diamond drill hole |
| DDZ | Lac du Doigt Deformation Zone |
| DL | Detection Limit |
| DSM | Digital Surface Model |
| DSO | Deswik stope optimizer |
| EA | Environmental assessment |
| EGBC | Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia |
| EM | Electromagnetic |
| ESIA | Environmental and social impact assessment |
| F ₁₀₀ | 100% passing - Feed |
| FA | Fire assay |

| Acronyms | Term |
|-----------|---|
| FS | Feasibility study |
| G&A | General and administration |
| GESTIM | Gestion des titres miniers (the MERN's online claim management system) |
| GM | Assessment report in the SIGEOM database |
| GPR | Ground penetrating radar |
| GRAV | Gravimetric analysis |
| HT | Harricana-Turgeon |
| ICP-AES | Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy |
| ICP-ES | Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission Spectroscopy |
| ICP-MS | Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy |
| ID2 | Inverse distance squared |
| IP | Induced Polarization |
| ISO | International Organization for Standardization |
| JV | Joint venture |
| JVA | Joint venture agreement |
| LDDZ | Lower Detour Deformation Zone |
| LGDZ | Lac Gignac Deformation Zone |
| LOI | Letter of intent |
| Mag | Magnetics (or magnetometer) |
| MERN | Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources Naturelles du Québec (Quebec's Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources) |
| mesh | US mesh |
| MFFP | Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs (Quebec's Ministry of Forests, Wildlife and Parks) |
| MMI | Mobile metal ion |
| MRE | Mineral resource estimate |
| MRN | Former name of MERN |
| NAD 83 | North American Datum of 1983 |
| NAPEG | Northwest Territories and Nunavut Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists |
| nd | Not determined |
| Ni | Nickel |
| NI 43-101 | National Instrument 43-101 (Regulation 43-101 in Quebec) |
| NN | Nearest neighbour |
| NSR | Net smelter return |
| NTS | National Topographic System |
| OGQ | Ordre des Géologues du Québec |
| OIQ | Ordre des Ingénieurs du Québec |

| Acronyms | Term |
|-------------------|---|
| OK | Ordinary kriging |
| P80 | 80% passing – Product |
| PA | Preliminary Assessment Study |
| PAG | Potentially acid generating |
| Pb | Lead |
| Pd | Palladium |
| PEA | Preliminary Economic Assessment |
| PEO | Professional Engineers of Ontario |
| PFS | Prefeasibility study |
| PGE | Platinum group elements |
| PGM | Platinum group metals |
| PGO | Professional Geoscientists Ontario |
| Pt | Platinum |
| QA | Quality assurance |
| QA/QC | Quality assurance/quality control |
| QC | Quality control |
| QDL | Quartz-Dolomite±Sulphide Veins |
| QP | Qualified person (as defined in National Instrument 43-101) |
| RC | Reverse circulation (drilling) |
| Regulation 43-101 | National Instrument 43-101 (name in Quebec) |
| RQD | Rock quality designation |
| RQI | Rock quality index |
| RWi | Rod work index |
| SCC | Standards Council of Canada |
| SD | Standard deviation |
| SG | Specific gravity |
| SIGÉOM | Système d'information géominière (the MERN's online spatial reference geomining information system) |
| SLDZ | Sunday Lake Deformation Zone |
| SMU | Selective mining unit |
| SPLP | Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure |
| SSZ | Silicified Shear Zones |
| TDS | Total dissolved solids |
| TSX | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| UAV | Unmanned aerial vehicle |
| UG | Underground |
| UTM | Universal Transverse Mercator coordinate system |

| Acronyms | Term |
|----------|---------------------------------------|
| VMS | Volcanogenic Massive Sulphide |
| VTEM | Versatile time domain electromagnetic |
| Zn | Zinc |

Table 2.2 – List of units

| Symbol | Unit |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| % | Percent |
| % solids | Percent solids by weight |
| \$, C\$ | Canadian dollar |
| \$/t | Dollars per metric ton |
| ° | Angular degree |
| °C | Degree Celsius |
| µm | Micron (micrometre) |
| µS/cm | Micro-siemens per centimetre |
| A | Ampere |
| avdp | Avoirdupois |
| cfm | Cubic feet per minute |
| cfs | Cubic feet per second |
| cm | Centimetre |
| cm ² | Square centimetre |
| cm ² /d | Square centimetre per day |
| cm ³ | Cubic centimetre |
| cP | Centipoise (viscosity) |
| d | Day (24 hours) |
| dm | Decametre |
| ft | Foot (12 inches) |
| g | Gram |
| G | Billion |
| Ga | Billion years |
| gal/min | Gallon per minute |
| g-Cal | Gram-calories |
| g/cm ³ | Gram per cubic centimetre |
| g/L | Gram per litre |
| g/t | Gram per metric ton (tonne) |
| GW | Gigawatt |
| h | Hour (60 minutes) |

| Symbol | Unit |
|---------------------|------------------------------|
| ha | Hectare |
| hp | Horsepower |
| Hz | Hertz |
| in | Inch |
| k | Thousand (000) |
| ka | Thousand years |
| kbar | Kilobar |
| kg | Kilogram |
| kg/h | Kilogram per hour |
| kg/t | Kilogram per metric ton |
| kj | Kilojoule |
| km | Kilometre |
| km ² | Square kilometre |
| km/h | Kilometres per hour |
| koz | Thousand ounces |
| kPa | Kilopascal |
| kW | Kilowatt |
| kWh | Kilowatt-hour |
| kWh/t | Kilowatt-hour per metric ton |
| kVA | Kilo-volt-ampere |
| L | Litre |
| lb | Pound |
| lb/gal | Pounds per gallon |
| lb/st | Pounds per short ton |
| L/h | Litre per hour |
| L/min | Litre per minute |
| lbs NiEq | Nickel equivalent pounds |
| M | Million |
| m | Metre |
| m ² | Square metre |
| m ³ | Cubic metre |
| m/d | Metre per day |
| m ³ /h | Cubic metres per hour |
| m ³ /min | Cubic metres per minute |
| m/s | Metre per second |
| m ³ /s | Cubic metres per second |
| Ma | Million years (annum) |

| Symbol | Unit |
|-----------------|--|
| masl | Metres above mean sea level |
| Mbgs | Metres below ground surface |
| Mbps | Megabits per second |
| MBtu | Million British thermal units |
| mi | Mile |
| min | Minute (60 seconds) |
| Mlbs | Million pounds |
| ML/d | Million litres per day |
| mm | Millimetre |
| mm ² | Square millimetres |
| mm Hg | Millimetres of mercury |
| mm WC | Millimetres water column |
| Moz | Million (troy) ounces |
| mph | Mile per hour |
| Mt | Million metric tons |
| MW | Megawatt |
| ng | Nanogram |
| NiEq | Nickel equivalent |
| oz | Troy ounce |
| oz/t | Ounce (troy) per short ton (2,000 lbs) |
| ppb | Parts per billion |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| psf | Pounds per square foot |
| psi | Pounds per square inch |
| rpm | Revolutions per minute |
| s | Second |
| s ² | Second squared |
| scfm | Standard cubic feet per minute |
| st/d | Short tons per day |
| st/h | Short tons per hour |
| t | Metric tonne (1,000 kg) |
| ton | Short ton (2,000 lbs) |
| tpy | Metric tonnes per year |
| tpd | Metric tonnes per day |
| tph | Metric tonnes per hour |
| US\$ | American dollar |
| usgpm | US gallons per minute |

| Symbol | Unit |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| V | Volt |
| vol% | Percent by volume |
| wt% | Weight percent |
| y | Year (365 days) |
| yd ³ | Cubic yard |

Table 2.3 – Conversion Factors for Measurements

| Imperial Unit | Multiplied by | Metric Unit |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1 inch | 25.4 | mm |
| 1 foot | 0.3048 | m |
| 1 acre | 0.405 | ha |
| 1 ounce (troy) | 31.1035 | g |
| 1 pound (avdp) | 0.4535 | kg |
| 1 ton (short) | 0.9072 | t |
| 1 ounce (troy) / ton (short) | 34.2857 | g/t |

3. RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS

The authors did not rely on other experts to prepare this Technical Report.

The QPs relied on the issuer's information regarding mining titles, option agreements, royalty agreements, environmental liabilities and permits. Neither the QPs nor InnovExplo are qualified to express any legal opinion with respect to property titles, current ownership or possible litigation.

4. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

4.1 Location

The Property is located in the Nord-du-Québec administrative region of the Province of Quebec, Canada, approximately 75 km west-northwest of the town of Matagami (Figure 4.1).

The Property covers 830.82 km², extending 97 km east-west and 20 km north-south. The coordinates of the approximate centroid are 78°53'33"W and 49°59'49"N (UTM: 651048E and 5540489N, NAD 83, Zone 17). The Property overlies the townships of Manthet, Martigny, La Martinière, Jérémie, Caumont, Du Tast, Massicotte, La Peltrie, Lanouillier, Gaudet, Fenelon, Subercase and Grasset on NTS map sheets 32L/01 to 04 and 32E/13 to 16.

4.2 Mining Title Status

The issuer supplied mineral title status. The QPs verified the status of all mining titles using GESTIM, the Government of Quebec's online claim management system (gestim.mines.gouv.qc.ca).

The Property consists of eight (8) claim blocks: Fenelon, Grasset, Detour East, Doigt, Nantel, Martiniere, Harri and Casault. The Casault Block corresponds to Midland's Casault Property under option to Wallbridge. Part of the Detour East Block is under a joint venture ("JV") agreement with Kirkland Lake Gold Ltd ("Kirkland Lake"), now Agnico Eagle Mines Limited ("Agnico") following a merger of equals transaction in February 2022.

The combined claim blocks, including the JV area, comprise 1,524 claims staked by electronic map designation (map-designated cells or "CDC"), three (3) non-exclusive leases for surface mineral substances, and one (1) mining lease for an aggregate area of 83,082.11 ha (Figure 4.2).

The issuer holds all mineral titles for the Fenelon, Grasset, Detour East, Doigt, Nantel, Martiniere and Harri blocks. Midland owns the Casault Block, for which the issuer has an option agreement to acquire an interest of up to 65%. All claims are in good standing as of January 6, 2023. Four (4) claims have an expiration date before November 22, 2022, work report was filed and the MERN is currently processing their renewal.

Appendix I presents a list of mineral titles with ownership details, royalties and expiration dates.




Figure 4.1 – Location of the Property in the Province of Quebec




Figure 4.2 – Map of claim blocks comprising the Property

4.3 Acquisition of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend land package

Wallbridge acquired the Property through several transactions with Balmoral and Midland.

On May 25, 2016, Wallbridge announced it had entered into a binding agreement through a letter of intent (“LOI”) dated May 24, 2016, to acquire the former Discovery Zone Property from Balmoral for a purchase price of \$3.6 million. The property represented a 10.5-km² subdivision of Balmoral’s larger Fenelon Property. Wallbridge now refers to the mineralization on the former Discovery Zone Property as the “Fenelon Gold System” or the “Fenelon Deposit”.

On October 19, 2016, Wallbridge announced it had completed the purchase by making the final payment. It renamed the acquired property the Fenelon Gold Property.

On March 2, 2020, Wallbridge and Balmoral announced they had entered into a definitive agreement following the signing of an LOI on February 14, 2020, whereby Wallbridge would acquire all the issued and outstanding shares of Balmoral in an all-stock transaction. On May 22, 2020, Wallbridge and Balmoral announced the completion of the agreement, with which Wallbridge had acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of Balmoral in exchange for consideration of 0.71 of a common share of Wallbridge for each Balmoral share. As a result of the transaction, Balmoral became a wholly owned subsidiary of Wallbridge.

On June 18, 2020, Wallbridge announced that it had increased its holdings in the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend by entering into an option agreement to acquire an interest of up to 65% in the Casault Property from Midland. For the first option of the two-stage agreement, Wallbridge can acquire an undivided 50% interest in the Casault Property by making an initial expenditure before the end of June 2021 and subsequently incurring aggregate expenditures by the end of June 2024. Upon exercising the first option, Wallbridge may increase its undivided interest in the Casault Property to 65% (the second option) by incurring additional expenditures and/or cash payments within two years from the date of exercise of the first option.

On September 14, 2020, the issuer announced it had entered into a non-binding term sheet with respect to a JV of its Detour East Block with Kirkland Lake Gold now Agnico Eagle Mines Limited (“Agnico”). Under the terms of this JV, Kirkland Lake Gold (now Agnico) can acquire, during Phase 1 (the option), an undivided 50% interest with a minimum expenditure of \$2 million within the first two years. Upon exercising the first option, a JV will be formed, and Kirkland Lake Gold (now Agnico) will hold an additional 25% interest in the claim block by incurring additional expenditures within five (5) years of the formation of the JV. Under the terms of this JV, Kirkland Lake Gold (now Agnico) can earn a 75% interest in the Detour East Block by making expenditures totalling \$35 million on the claim block.

4.4 Sale of Nickel Assets to Archer Exploration Corp.

On November 18, 2022, Wallbridge announced that it had completed the sale of all of the property, assets, rights, and obligations related to its portfolio of nickel assets to Archer Exploration Corp (“Archer”). The nickel assets included a 100% interest in the Grasset nickel sulphide project located in Quebec. According to Wallbridge’s press release dated November 18, 2022, under the terms of the transaction, it has received 66,211,929

common shares of Archer. Additional consideration included retaining a 2% NSR royalty on production from the Grasset nickel sulphide project. As part of this agreement, Wallbridge retained the rights to explore for gold on the divested claim blocks, which are governed by an Exploration Agreement.

4.5 Previous Agreements and Encumbrances – Mineral Royalties

All eight (8) claim blocks are subject to royalties payable to various beneficiaries, with the major holder being Franco-Nevada Corporation. Details of the NSR royalties applicable to the Property are presented in Appendix I.

4.6 Permits

In addition to the mandatory exploration permits for tree cutting to provide road access for the drill rig or to conduct drilling and stripping work, the issuer acquired, in early 2018, a permit for dewatering the open pit and old underground workings of the Fenelon deposit (including water treatment and discharge), as well as for commencing underground exploration activities.

In 2019, the issuer submitted a project description for mining the Gabbro Zone. As the Property is located on territory regulated by the James Bay and Northern Quebec Agreement, the project description was provided to an evaluation committee composed of representatives from the Cree First Nations and the provincial and federal authorities. The evaluation committee determined that the project must complete an environmental and social impact assessment (“ESIA”). The MELCC sent the ESIA guidelines in October 2019, and Wallbridge submitted the ESIA in Q3 2020.

After the 2020 drilling program, Wallbridge opted to pause the MELCC’s evaluation of the ESIA in order to provide an updated project description and ESIA that would include the Area 51 and Tabasco shear zones. As such, the issuer is focusing on exploration work until sufficient detail has been acquired for an updated project description to be submitted.

The issuer has all the necessary permits and amendments to the existing certificate of authorization (“CA”) to support exploration programs and underground development in the Area 51 and Tabasco shear zones. On April 8, 2021, the MELCC approved an amendment to the CA to add Area 51 bulk sample material, increase the in-pit waste by an additional 180,600 t and add a temporary in-pit ore pad of 25,000 t. The request for the proposed 25,000 t bulk sample in the Area 51 sector was submitted to the MERN on July 12, 2021 and approval for a 5,000 t bulk sample was received on December 22, 2021. The issuer also received an exemption from the ESIA process on March 31, 2021, for the development work in Area 51 and the proposed bulk sample.

In 2021, the issuer updated the previous (2017) site restoration plan and associated costs according to regulatory timelines. The updated restoration plan was approved by the MERN on August 12, 2021. The estimated closure cost in the updated plan is \$2,908,600, which takes into consideration the 2021 activities.

Also in 2021, the issuer received the potable water well permit for the mine site, and in September 2022, the issuer received the potable water treatment and distribution permit (installation not done yet, internal communication, December 2022).

4.7 Communication and Consultation with Communities

Wallbridge conducts consultation activities with the Cree communities of Waskaganish and Washaw Sibi, and the Cree Nation Government. It also consults with the Algonquin Abitibiwinini First Nation through weekly meetings, site visits and monthly bulletins. In addition, Wallbridge follows a formal consultation plan and schedule developed as part of the 2019 ESIA process. The plan aims to identify and communicate with potentially interested and/or impacted First Nations and stakeholders. The First Nations consultation activities include:

- Meetings and traditional knowledge workshops with the Tallymen;
- Meetings with the First Nation leaders;
- Participating in a mining workshop and community feast in Waskaganish;
- Project update bulletins;
- Weekly scheduled meetings with each community and other frequent discussions as needed;
- Assisting with business development and employment opportunities;
- Site visits; and
- Assisting local Tallymen by providing assistance or accommodation when needed.

Wallbridge's hiring and contracting policy is to hire First Nations and local community members or service providers when possible.

Consultation activities with the municipalities, associations, organizations and political stakeholders have included project update correspondence, meetings with the municipalities and their chambers of commerce, and meetings with interested organizations.

Wallbridge actively collaborates with the town of Matagami, the Société de Développement de la Baie-James, the Société du Plan Nord and the Cree Nation Development Corporation to identify opportunities for employment and infrastructure development projects in the vicinity of the Property. On March 1, 2021, the issuer committed to funding up to \$1.5 million (subject to conditions) for improvements on the access road from Matagami. The total road improvement project cost is estimated to be \$6,500,000, with the balance of the costs to be contributed by the Government of Quebec. Wallbridge made the first payment of approximately \$60,000 in 2022, with the balance of the commitment expected to be paid in 2023. The project is carried out by the Société du Plan Nord and the Société de Développement de la Baie-James.

In 2021, Wallbridge also began constructing a Cultural Centre designed to recognize the differences between the three Indigenous communities with whom Wallbridge works closely. The CSAP was carefully designed and constructed in partnership with Cree and Algonquin community members to include key elements. Wallbridge introduced several awareness initiatives, including a Cultural Sensitivity and Awareness Program ("CSAP").

On August 3, 2022, Wallbridge signed a Pre-Development Agreement ("PDA") with the Cree Nation of Waskaganish, the Cree Nation of Washaw Sibi, the Grand Council of the Crees (Eeyou Istchee) and the Cree Nation Government. This agreement notably provides for enhanced Cree involvement in business and employment opportunities flowing from the Fenelon Gold Project, the implementation of a jointly developed Cultural Sensitivity Awareness Program, and the establishment of a cultural centre at the Fenelon

camp to sensitize workers to Indigenous realities and culture and to promote a working environment characterized by mutual respect.

In addition, Wallbridge also published its inaugural sustainability report in 2022. The aim was to provide transparency on how it approaches the environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) matters that are important to its employees, communities, shareholders and other stakeholders.

In 2022, Wallbridge’s community engagements included:

- Significant employment and contracting opportunities for all three communities
- A signed PDA with Washaw Sibi & Waskaganish
- PDA discussions with Pikogan
- Timely consultations on proposed mineral exploration programs
- A CSAP to present historical and current aspects of Indigenous life, including print and online instruction and various cultural events at the cultural centre.

5. ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

5.1 Accessibility

The main access to the eastern part of the Property (Figure 5.1) is via Highway 109 from Amos, which heads north to Matagami. From this highway, the drive is 13 km west along the road leading to the former small mining town of Joutel, then 51 km northwest on the Selbaie paved road (N-810). Between the Km 122 and Km 123 markers, a year-round forestry road provides access to the Fenelon camp on the Property, 21 km from the junction. The old open pit and decline ramp are located 6 km west of the Fenelon camp.

In 2021, Wallbridge appointed Norinfra to conduct a preliminary study on the repairs needed for the existing 19.7 km access road between the camp and the paved road N-810. The mandate included the 5-km access road between the camp and the mine site. At the effective date of this report, Wallbridge was still reviewing the study (internal communication, December 2022).

The western part of the Property is accessible via Highway 393 from Rouyn-Noranda, heading north to LaSarre and continuing on Route des Conquérants and Highway 810. Different parts of the land package are accessible via logging roads that spur off Highway 810.

5.2 Climate

The region experiences a typical continental-style climate, with cold winters and warm summers. Climate data from the nearest weather station in Matagami indicate that daily average temperatures range from -20°C in January to 16°C in July (Environment Canada, 2012). The coldest months are December to March, during which temperatures are often below -30°C and can fall below -40°C. During summer, temperatures can exceed 30°C. Snow accumulation begins in October or November, and snow cover generally remains until the spring thaw in mid-March to May. The average monthly snowfall peaks at 65 cm in February, and the yearly average is 314 cm (Environment Canada, 2012).

Exploration, mining and drilling operations may be generally carried out year-round with some limitations in specific areas. Surface exploration work (mapping, channel sampling) should be planned from mid-May to mid-October. Lakes are usually frozen and suitable for drilling from January to April. The thick overburden can make conditions difficult when the snow melts in May.

5.3 Local Resources

The Property area is well-served by the mining supply sector and processing facilities. Matagami, about 75 km east-southeast of the Property, is the closest municipality, with a population of 1,400 (2016). It also has the nearest hospital, an airstrip and access to the CN rail line. The town of Amos is a major supply and service centre, with a population of 12,800 (2016). It also has a regional hospital. The nearest helicopter base is in La Sarre, located 140 km south of the Property. The nearest regional airport is in Val-d'Or, with daily flights to various destinations.

Qualified personnel can be found throughout the Abitibi and Nord-du-Québec regions (Val-d'Or, Rouyn-Noranda, La Sarre, and Chibougamau) due to its rich history of forestry, mineral exploration and mining production.




Figure 5.1 – Access and waterways of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend Property and the surrounding region

5.4 Infrastructure

The nearest high-voltage power line is at the former Selbaie mine, approximately 20 km south of the Property. Two generators are used on the site (1200 kW and 800 kW). In 2021, the issuer entered into discussions concerning its future interest in connecting the Fenelon mine site to Hydro-Quebec's transmission grid. At the effective date of this report, the discussions are still under review (internal communication, December 2022).

There is ample water on or near the Property to supply a mining operation. The water is non-potable. On October 6, 2021, the issuer received a Certificate of Authorization ("CA") for water withdrawal (20.6 m³/day). At the effective date of this report, the issuer is preparing the application for a water distribution permit.

The Fenelon camp can accommodate up to 155 people. Wallbridge currently has 140 people, on average, working at the site. The facilities include a dry that can accommodate 200 people, a kitchen and dining room, a recreation facility and a nurse's office. An onsite septic system was built in the summer and fall of 2021 following an amendment to the CA to manage the camp's sewage system received on May 17, 2021.

Other infrastructure includes trailers housing the administration office, a foldaway garage, a core shack, a propane and fuel farm, a ventilation and heating system, and a water treatment facility.

The historical Fenelon open pit is used as an ore pad and waste pad area. The site does not have an ore processing facility, heap leach pads or a tailings storage area.

An exploration camp on the Martinier Block dates back to the Balmoral period. It sits where the historical Martinier drill core is stored. The helicopter pad is still being used. The core shack and prospector tents (for accommodation and offices) would need investment and repairs to be functional for daily use. However, this should not be necessary as all activities are coordinated from the Fenelon camp.

No infrastructure is present on the other claim blocks.

5.5 Physiography

The Property has an extensive cover of Pleistocene glacial sediments ranging from 5 to 117 m thick. Most of the area is covered by swamps and forests composed of spruce, fir and pine. Some areas of the Property have recently been logged and partly revegetated. The minimum and maximum elevations on the Property are 250 masl and 320 masl, respectively.

6. HISTORY

The history of the Property stretches over a 60-year period, from the late 1950s to the present. The Property consists of eight (8) claim blocks representing former mining properties. The boundaries and names of those properties have changed over time following ownership (and/or option) changes, the abandonment and/or addition of claims, or changes to mining title status when claims were converted into mining leases.

All the claim blocks have been the subject of multiple exploration programs, including prospecting and geological mapping, geophysics, geochemistry and drilling. Drilling has ranged from the exploration-stage to mineral resource definition. At Fenelon, the drilling programs were conducted from both surface and underground. The Property has also been the subject of a great number of geological studies and reports covering a wide array of topics ranging from local mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates to engineering studies to regional geological surveys and synthesis.

The issuer's exploration work and drilling is presented in item 9 and item 10, respectively.

6.1 Fenelon Block

This review summarizes all work and activities completed on the Fenelon claim block by the previous owners. Most of the information in this section was obtained from Richard et al. (2017) and Faure et al. (2020) and from assessment ("GM") reports in the SIGEOM database.

Table 6.1 summarizes the most relevant historical work.

Table 6.1 – Historical work on the Fenelon Block

| Year | Owner | Description of work | Highlights / Significant results | Reference |
|------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1981-1982 | Teck Explorations Ltd | Ground Pulse EM survey and MaxMin II HLEM; Mag survey; DIGHEM survey; drilling | Evaluation of conductivity areas and possible follow-up drill targets. Drill Hole GB-68-1 (105.16m): best intersection was 0.58 g/t Au over 0.51 m. | Thorsen 1981a, 1981b, 1982a, 1982b |
| 1986 -1991 | Morrison Minerals Limited | Heliborne Mag and EM surveys (251 line-km, incl. the current Fenelon Mine Property); Ground EM and Mag surveys; Ground Max-Min and Total Mag (16.1 line-km) | Several interpreted EM conductors. Follow-up on Mag and EM anomalies from the 1986 survey. Strong conductor identified on the flank of a strong Mag anomaly; deemed a favourable gold target. | Boustead, 1988; Turcotte and Gauthier, 1989; Kenwood, 1991 |
| 1993 | Cyprus Canada Inc. | Follow-up drilling (1 drill hole) on HLEM conductor | Most significant result of 2.84 g/t Au over 0.95 m (185 m) in drill hole FA93-1. Pyritic sediments returned anomalous values for As (up to 1,800 ppm), Cu (537 ppm) and Zn (3,840 ppm). | Broughton, 1993 |
| 1994 | | Ground Mag survey and HLEM survey | Survey data helped identify new drill targets. | Guy, 1994 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work | Highlights / Significant results | Reference |
|-----------|---------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1994 | | Follow-up drilling (8 drill holes) on 1993 drill results | Drilling confirmed a favourable geological environment for gold mineralization. Most significant drill result: FA94-4 (Discovery Zone): 42.6 g/t Au over 6.7 m (uncut), including 144.5 g/t Au over 2.1 m (uncut); anomalous Cu also present (0.2%-1% Cu). Other results included: FA94-5: 40.73 g/t Au over 0.5 m; FA94-8: 19.8 g/t Au over 5.2 m; FA94-6: 5.94 g/t Au over 0.5 m; FA94-7: 3.74 g/t Au over 1.5 m | |
| 1995 | | Drilling (57 drill holes for 13,374m) | Visible gold observed in 18 drill holes. Best results: FA-95-10: 14.24 g/t Au over 13.9 m; FA-95-13 : 9.78 g/t Au over 7.2 m; FA-95-23 : 13.74 g/t Au over 6.8 m; FA-95-60 : 37.48 g/t Au over 6.99 m. | Needham and Nemcsok, 1995 |
| 1995 | | Borehole gyroscopic survey | Survey found to be unreliable in establishing drill holes deviation due to host rock magnetics. | |
| 1995 | | IP orientation survey on Discovery Zone: 3.5 line-km | Discovery Zone interpreted to be associated with a "shoot" running off a strong resistivity high adjacent to a strong chargeability anomaly; correlates with a moderate magnetic low break in both ground and airborne Mag surveys. | Lortie, 1995 |
| 1995-1996 | | IP survey (183 line-km), HLEM survey (31 line-km), Mag and VLF surveys (241.7 line-km); Drilling (33 drill holes for 9,234.4 m; 2 drill holes for 540.4 m outside the Discovery Zone) | Objective was to define new targets similar to the Discovery Zone. Best result from the drill program: 48.56 g/t Au over 0.59 m. | Needham and Nemcsok, 1996; Boileau and Lapointe, 1996 |
| 1996-1997 | Fairstar Exploration Inc. | 1996-1997 drilling: 71 drill holes totalling 14,410 m | Best results: FA-97-104: 83.4 g/t Au over 0.70 m FA-97-105 : 74.2 g/t Au over 0.60 m FA-97-112 :17.5 g/t Au over 1.75 m FA-97-123 :124.7 g/t Au over 1.60 m FA-97-135 : 109.5 g/t Au over 4.30 m | Kelly et al., 1997 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work | Highlights / Significant results | Reference |
|------|---|--|--|--|
| 1997 | | Geotechnical work Detailed seismic refraction survey 5 drill holes to test the physical characteristics of the overburden | The new model of the Discovery Zone greatly enhanced the understanding of its structure and geology. It was thought it would facilitate the future task of extending the zone at depth and along strike. | Kelly and al., 1997; Poulin and Goupil, 1996 |
| 1997 | | MAG survey IP survey Drilling (39 drill holes for 9,426.6 m). | Tested the potential of other areas in the FAJV. | Boileau, 1997 |
| 1997 | | PFS report on Discovery Zone by CHIM International | | Fairstar press release of Nov. 13, 1997 |
| 1997 | | Metallurgical testing (20 kg representative samples) | Gold recovery between 96.5% and 99.1% | |
| 1998 | | Drilling (6 drill holes, 191 m). | FA-98-202 : 31.6 g/t Au over 2.4 m; FA-98-203 : 9.55 g/t Au over 1.8 m; FA-98-204 : 44.83 g/t Au over 3.65 m and 94.9 g/t Au over 5.8 m; FA-98-205B : 22.7 g/t Au over 0.8 m. | Guy and Tims, 2000 |
| 2000 | Drilling 24 NQ-size drill holes, 992 m. | Results indicated highly erratic; all veins indicated a lack of continuity. Drilling on vein structures between drill holes failed to intersect the vein as predicted in the proposed model. | | |
| 2001 | International Taurus Resources Inc. | Bulk sampling program, including overburden pad preparation and overburden stripping. | 18,966 t of ore blasted; 13,835 wet metric tons (13,752 dry metric tons) milled at Camflo for 132,039 g (4,245 oz) of gold produced for a recovery grade of 9.60 g/t Au (recovery of 97%). | Veilleux, 2001; Guy, 2001 |
| | | Mapping and sampling (74 surface channel samples). | 1S zone: channel samples grading as high as 187.96 g/t Au and averaging 111 g/t Au. 0S, VI and 2S zones: channel samples with higher gold values of up to 926.75 g/t Au, averaging 537 g/t Au. | Veilleux, 2001; Guy, 2001 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work | Highlights / Significant results | Reference |
|------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | MRE and scoping study. | | Poos et al., 2002 |
| 2001 | | Structural study and survey of the stripped and open pit area; 964 channel samples (1,000 m). | Some anomalous zones with gold values from 100 ppb to 1,228.6 g/t Au. | |
| 2002 | | Drilling program. 41 NQ short drill holes (FA-02-207 to FA-02-248) for 2,354 m. | FA-02-207: 46.71 g/t Au over 2.0 m; FA-02-213: 6.40 g/t Au over 4.04 m; FA-02-208: 41.09 g/t Au over 1.48 m; FA-02-212: 3.34 g/t Au over 1.63 m | Desrosiers, 2003 |
| 2003 | International Taurus Resources Inc.; Fairstar Exploration Inc. | Updated geological model and MRE (SRK). Technical report filed (NI 43-101). | | Couture and Michaud, 2003 |
| 2003 | | Preliminary Assessment Study ("PA") non-compliant with NI 43-101 | PA was used to generate possible scenarios for internal planning and budgeting purposes. | Drips and Bryce, 2003, 2004 |
| 2003 | International Taurus Resources Inc. | Exploration program: portal and decline (326 m) >745 m of drifts and crosscuts developed, and 254 m of raises driven in ore; Samples: 359 from faces, 258 from test drill holes, 149 from muck. Drilling: 54 NQ-size DDH (3,966 m) drilled from the northern access drift on level 5213; 8 DDH (BZ-04-001 to BZ-04-029; 78 m) drilled from production drifts. | Development in mineralized material generated a volume of 5,374 t at 16 g/t Au (mostly muck from sills and breasts) over widths of at least 1.5 m. Lower-grade material also recovered (800 t at 3.0 g/t Au) in cross-cuts averaging 4.5 m wide. | Pelletier and Gagnon, 2004 |
| 2004 | | InnovExplo produced an updated MRE for Central Discovery Zone. | | Pelletier and Gagnon, 2004 |
| 2004 | | Bulk sample at Camflo Mill facility: 8,169 t of underground material was milled. | High-grade material represents 5,764 t at 12.41 g/t Au; low-grade material 2,405 t at 5.07 g/t Au. Four (4) bricks cast: 3,427.6 oz | St-Jean, 2004 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work | Highlights / Significant results | Reference |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | containing 2,595.5 oz of gold. After casting the last brick, Camflo Mill recovered a 922 g button and a 207 g button after cleaning the furnace. Mill malfunction on Sept. 11 caused gold loss (about 90 oz) over 6 hrs. Mill feed grade was estimated at 10.25 g/t Au, with a recovery of 95.5%. After the final inventory, the calculated grade was 10.70 g/t Au, including gold lost in tails during milling. If the 90 oz lost to mill malfunction is included in mill reconciliation, total gold recovery is close to 97%. | |
| 2005 | American Bonanza Gold Corp. | Publication of NI 43-101 compliant technical report to present the updated MRE. | | Pelletier and Gagnon, 2005 |
| 2005 | | Independent (InnovExplo) relogging and drill core sampling program. | Results of a geological review and sampling program were combined with geophysical survey data (Mag, EM and IP) and incorporated into MapInfo (GIS database) at the property scale to completely revise the surface geological map of Fenelon A Property (lithologies, favourable areas, faults, fold structures). | Théberge et al., 2006 |
| 2005-2006 | | Drilling and sampling program: 42 NQ-size drill holes (12,831.8 m); 2,008 mineralized samples. Lithogeochemical study: 359 whole-rock samples. | Confirmation of epithermal setting for the Discovery deposit in the southern part of the property. Significant gold results obtained: FA-05-255 with 4.44 g/t Au over 0.80 m, 4.25 g/t Au over 3.90 m and 3.40 g/t Au over 0.95m FA-06-256 with 10.75 g/t Au over 0.50 m and 42.80 g/t Au over 0.50 m FA-05-258 with 9.70 g/t Au over 1.90 m Discovery and confirmation of a VHMS setting in the northeastern part of the property. | Brousseau et al., 2007; Le Grand, 2008 |
| 2006-2007 | | Exploration drilling program 4 drill holes (959 m) | No significant values. | Le Grand, 2008 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work | Highlights / Significant results | Reference |
|------|---------------------------|--|--|---|
| 2011 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | 41 drill holes (8,580 m): 35 drill holes to test lateral and down-dip/plunge extensions of Discovery Zone; 6 drill holes at eastern and northern ends of Discovery Zone. | Several high-grade gold intercepts confirmed the high grades of the Discovery Zone. Drilling extended some mineralized veins in the zone along strike and to a vertical depth of 250 m. | Balmoral press release dated January 2, 2012 |
| 2012 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | 2 drill holes totalling 753 m (GR-12-11 and GR-12-12) | Holes tested for Grasset-style mineralization at the intersection of major WNW-ESE shears and along the contact between sedimentary and mafic volcanic and intrusive rocks. The highest value was 0.343 g/t Au over 0.99 m in hole GR-12-11. | Perk and al, 2012 |
| 2019 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | 13 drill holes (4588.7 m): company's first drill testing of the Area 52 gold target. | The discovery of a new, near-surface, high-grade gold zone located proximal to the SLDZ. Best result was drill hole A52-19-03 5.00 g/t Au over 9.65 m, including 14.03 g/t Au over 3.29 m. | Balmoral press release dated September 16, 2019 |
| 2020 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | 8 drill holes (3535.0 m): new, very high-grade gold discovery on the Fenelon Property: the Reaper Zone | Several high-grade gold intercepts confirmed the new Reaper Zone. Best result was 307.89 g/t Au over 2.97 m, including 858.00 g/t Au over 1.06 m. | Balmoral press release dated April 30, 2020 |
| 2021 | Wallbridge Mining Co. Ltd | | Publication of NI 43-101 compliant technical report to present the maiden MRE. | Pelletier and Nadeau-Benoit, 2021 |

6.2 Grasset Block

The information for the Grasset claim block was obtained from Richard and al. (2017). A summary of the relevant historical work is presented in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2 – Historical work on the Grasset Block

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|---------------------|---|----------|
| 1938-1939 | Ministère des Mines | Filed mapping and sampling, discovery of a gold-copper showing: 1 grab sample of 5.55 g/t Au. | RG 012 |
| 1956 | Subercase Syndicate | A 0.9-m pit was blasted to expose the gold-copper showing. 4 drill holes (290.8 m) to test lateral and depth extensions. Best result: S-2: 0.37% Cu over 0.5 m. | GM 05226 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|--|---|--|
| 1957-1958 | Orchan Mines Ltd | An aeromagnetic survey and a ground geophysical survey using a McPahr R.E.M. and a radar magnetometer carried out by Federal Department of Mines and Technical Surveys, outlining 2 zones of magnetic highs and 2 zones of electrical conductivity. | GM 07808 |
| 1959 | | A dual-frequency EM survey and Mag traverses carried out by the Federal Department of Mines and Technical Surveys, outlining 5 conductors. | GM 09009-A |
| 1959 | Andersen Prospecting Trust; United New Fortune Mines Ltd; A. D Hellens; St-Mary's Explorations Ltd; Grasset Lake Mines Ltd; Nordex Development Company Ltd; Nipiron Mines Ltd; Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company of Canada Ltd; Head of Lakes Iron Ltd; Westfield Minerals Ltd; Daniel Mining Company Ltd; Norsyncomague Mining Ltd; St-Mary's Explorations Ltd; Newlund Mines Limited; Noranda Exploration Company Ltd | Interest in the gold-copper showing and new geophysical data (Federal Department of Mines and Technical Surveys) resulted in the staking of many mining titles by several companies. Several airborne and ground geophysical surveys (Mag and EM) were carried out on many parts of the current Grasset claim block by different companies. | GM07722; GM 08620-A; GM 09352; GM 11467; GM 10351; GM 09266; GM 09183-A; GM 09183-B; GM 09078; GM 09036; GM 09007; GM 08926; GM 08823; GM 08881; GM 08878; GM 08818 |
| 1959 | Grasset Lake Mines Ltd | Drilling: 5 drill holes (GL-1 to GL-5, 894 m) to test geophysical anomalies. Mineralized zones of massive to disseminated pyrite, some pyrrhotite and specks of chalcopyrite were observed in tuff. | GM 08917 |
| 1959 | Orchan Mines | Drilling: 6 drill holes (K-1 to K-6, 508.3 m) to test geophysical anomalies. No assay results are available. | GM 09009-B |
| 1959 | Newlund Mines Ltd | Drilling: 2 drill holes (NE-1 to NE-2, 321.9 m): 2 sulphide-rich horizons (4.5m thick) carrying 50% pyrrhotite and pyrite with specks of chalcopyrite, and 2 samples sent to Swastika Laboratories Ltd, returning up to 2 g/t Ag, 0.11% Cu and 0.05% Zn, no nickel or gold. | GM 09119 |
| 1960 | Nipiron Mines Ltd | Drilling: 4 drill holes (NP-1 to NP-4, 486.5 m) to test geophysical anomalies. Drill hole NP-4 2.06 g/t Au over 1.1 m. | GM 10231-A; GM 10231-B |
| 1959 | Noranda Exploration Company Ltd | Drilling: 4 drill holes (G-2 to G-4) totalling 549.3 m. No mineralization was reported. | GM 10165-E |
| 1960 | Hudson Bay Exploration and Development Ltd (optioned) | Drilling: 5 drill holes (Pete-1 to Pete-5) totalling 492.5 m near Peter Lake. Many | GM 50912; GM 10848 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|----------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | by Northwoods Exploration Ltd) | shear zones accompanied by quartz veining were reported. Disseminated to massive pyrite and pyrrhotite with rare specks of chalcopyrite were observed in volcanic rocks. No assay results reported or available. | |
| 1964 | John I. Cummings | A ground EM and Mag survey was performed. The results indicated that the mineralized zone could have an apparent length of approximately 120 m and a maximum width of 6 m. | GM 15869 |
| 1974 | Musto Explorations Ltd | Ground EM and Mag surveys performed. EM survey outlined three conductors coincident with Mag anomalies. | GM 30181 |
| | | 4 drill holes (MU-1 to MU-4) totalling 591.1 m to test previously identified geophysical anomalies. No significant assay results were reported. | GM 30182 |
| 1974/ 1975 | Selco Mining Corporation Ltd | A ground Mag and EM survey was performed over 6 grids. Results defined conductors on 3 grids. Drilling: 2 drill holes (G-20-1 and G-18-1) totalling 218.9 m, both passing through a sequence of felsic and intermediate tuff. A mineralized zone was encountered, corresponding to disseminated to massive pyrite and pyrrhotite with minor flecks of chalcopyrite. This zone assayed anomalous values for zinc, copper and silver over 6.1 m, but no gold values. 2 drill holes (G-17-1 and G-11-1) totalling 214.3 m. A horizon of massive sulphide was encountered in G-17-1, containing pyrrhotite and pyrite with traces of chalcopyrite. No significant assay results. G-11-1 cut a sequence of andesite and sericite schist. No mineralized zones were identified. | GM 30031, GM 30889; GM 30888, GM 30884; GM 31192 |
| 1977 / 1978 | Amoco Canada Petroleum Company Ltd | A ground Mag and EM survey was performed to follow up on an anomaly identified by an airborne survey carried out in 1977; 4 drill holes totalling 552 m. Minor horizons with up to 40% pyrite pyrrhotite and minor chalcopyrite were observed in MQ-78-13-1 and MQ-78-13-2. These horizons returned anomalous values for zinc, copper and silver, but no gold. MQ-78-32-1 intersected a horizon of massive sulphide (80% sulphide (pyrite-pyrrhotite)) with anomalous values for zinc, copper and silver, but no gold. | GM 33676, GM 36103 |
| March 1981 | Teck Exploration Ltd | 1 drill hole (SU-4-1) totalling 91.4 m. No significant mineralized zone was observed. One graphitic argillite horizon was reported. | GM 37923; GM 37924; GM 37925; GM 37541; GM 40603; GM 40493 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 1984 | Detour Syndicate Ltd | <p>Re-sampling of cores from Nipiron Mines Ltd, Grasset Lakes Mines and on the Discovery gold-copper showing.</p> <p>NP-4 (2.06g/t Au over 1.1 m) was confirmed. Re-sampling results returned 2.57g/t Au over 0.9 m.</p> <p>The presence of a major zone of semi-massive to massive pyrite-pyrrhotite mineralization was noted in altered tuffaceous rocks. 11 grab samples of heavy sulphide mineralization were analyzed, but the gold values only reached 51 ppm Au.</p> <p>Unable to duplicate the previously reported gold values of up to 5.5 g/t Au.</p> | GM 42312 |
| 1986 | Minerex Resources Ltd | Ground Mag and HEM surveys were performed. The surveys outlined 6 conductors, of which, 5 correlated with Mag anomalies. | GM 43327 |
| | Aiguebelles Resources Inc. | Ground Mag and HEM surveys were performed. The surveys identified many Mag and EM anomalies. | GM 44450; |
| | Ram Petroleums Ltd | A compilation of past exploration work was carried out. The most significant conclusion derived from the study was that the property contained a major interpreted "structural break" based on geophysical results. The structure was considered to possibly be a major structure associated with gold-bearing systems. A combined heliborne Mag and EM survey was performed, identifying both types of anomalies. | GM 44449 |
| 1986 | Nodle Peak Resources Ltd | Airborne total field Mag and an MK VI Input surveys. Based on the results, one grid was cut and Mag and EM (MaxMin II HLEM) surveys were carried out to locate the EM conductors identified. | GM 44883; GM 44882 |
| | | A drilling program was designed on the basis of the above surveys to test linear EM conductors. A total of 1,629.2 m was drilled in 9 drill holes (N-1 to N-8, and N8A). Drilling intersected two structural zones characterized by graphitic fault gouge with graphitic microcrystalline quartz, sericite and chlorite schists, shearing, and brecciation. Gold values associated with these structures were low (up to 420 ppb). | GM 44525 |
| | | The results of 4 RC drill holes indicated that Max-Min II HLEM anomalies from previous surveys were primarily due to conductive overburden effects and not to bedrock sources. Only 4 abraded gold grains were observed in the till samples. | GM 48294 |
| 1988 | | | |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | Morrison Minerals Ltd | A combined heliborne Mag and EM survey was performed. EM and Mag anomalies were outlined by this survey, and some conductors were interpreted to be of bedrock origin. | GM 46741 |
| 1989 | Noranda Explorations | A ground Mag and HEM survey was performed on two grids. Ground geophysical anomalies were noted. | GM 48781 |
| 1995 | Globex Mining Enterprises Inc. | Ground Mag and IP-resistivity surveys were performed. | GM 53934; GM 53933; GM 53935 |
| | | 8 drill holes (S-96-1 to S-96-8) totalling 1,444.1m to test the defined IP targets. The drilling program indicated the property hosts a series of fault systems and that a significant regional-scale iron carbonate alteration was present. No significant gold-bearing mineralization was intersected. The best result was 76 ppb Au. | GM 53934 |
| 1996 | Cyprus Canada Inc. and Fairstar Explorations Inc. | Ground total field Mag, EM (HLEM) and IP-resistivity surveys were performed. | GM 54040; GM 54041 |
| | | 3 drill holes (SC96-1, DT96-1, and DT96-2) totalling 647m to test geophysical targets. Moderate to strong shearing was encountered in 4 of the 5 drill holes. The highest gold value obtained was 55 ppb Au. DT96-2 intersected 209 g/t Ag over 0.3m within a quartz vein. Anomalous copper and zinc values were reported in drill hole DT96-1 and DT96-2. | GM 54040 |
| 1998 | | Magnetic and EM surveys (HLEM) were performed. | GM 58336; GM 55992; GM 56062 |
| 2010 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | Staking of what is now the Grasset claim block. | |
| 2011 | | Heliborne EM survey was performed. Several strong Mag and conductive trends identified. | GM 66705; GM 66706 |
| | | 5 drill holes (GR-11-01 to GR-11-05). The 2011 drill program intersected an undiscovered gold-bearing zone and confirmed the location of a major shear zone along geological domain boundaries. Drill hole GR-11-01 returned 33 m grading 1.66g/t Au, including 4.04 m grading 6.15g/t Au and 5.00 m grading 4.18g/t Au. The gold mineralization is located along the SLDZ. | GM 66784 |
| | | 2 drill holes totalling 741 m (GR-12-06 and GR-12-07) were drilled along the SLDZ GR-12-07 intersected 9.47 g/t Au over 0.55 m. | GM 67198 |
| 2012 | | Soil sampling program: 225 samples collected. | GM 67158 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|-------|---|---------------------|
| 2013 | | Ground-based IP-resistivity and Mag surveys were performed. The results of the survey show a large chargeability high at depth over much of the survey grid with an accompanying magnetic high trending roughly east-west. | |
| | | Soil sampling program: 349 samples collected. | GM 67765 |
| | | 7 drill holes totalling 2,005.15m on Grasset (GR-14-21, GRX-14-02 to GRX-14-07). GR-14-21 tested gold mineralization 50m down dip and 20m to the west of the mineralized zones intersected in GR-11-01 proximal to the interpreted boundary of the Sunday Lake deformation zone. The drill hole intersected an 11.01m zone of 0.79 g/t Au. | GM 69006 |
| | | An airborne survey was performed over portions of the property that had not previously been surveyed, and a Nickel Test grid was flown over the area of the Grasset Discovery. Magnetic trends on the Grasset North and Grasset Gap grids display parallel curved linear total field magnetic highs that follow a pattern consistent with the regional-scale folding of mafic members of the Manthet Group. | Venter et al., 2014 |
| | | A ground-based IP-resistivity survey was performed. The survey consisted of a small addition to the 2013 grid and a separate survey on the eastern part of the property near Lac Grasset, covering an area identified by the 2011 airborne survey as hosting both Mag and EM anomalies. Several chargeability anomalies of potential interest were identified by this survey. A well-defined east-west-trending chargeability high is present along the southern margin of the grid and has been interpreted by Balmoral to be a potential sulphide-rich horizon. | GM 69007 |
| | | An IP survey covering a series of very strongly folded and highly magnetic rocks located approximately 12 to 17km east of the Grasset deposit was performed. A large number of very strong IP responses have been detected, associated both with the conductive zones and elsewhere along this trend. | GM 69257 |
| | | 10 drill holes totalling 2,435.7m (GRX-15-11 to GRX-15-20): 6 drill holes on the Grasset Gap VMS target area and 3 on the Grasset Hinge area. The Grasset Gap area is marked by a 7.0 km trend of stratiform airborne EM conductors located 14 to 21 km east of the Grasset deposit. Drilling intersected broad | |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|-------|---|----------|
| | | zones of massive to semi-massive sulphide mineralization, locally associated with anomalous levels of copper, lead, zinc and silver. Geologically, the Grasset Gap Trend exhibits similarities to the West Camp in the nearby Matagami VMS district. The Grasset Hinge area is a strongly folded sequence dominated by mafic intrusive and extrusive rocks located northeast of the H3 horizon. All samples (163) collected from 2 of the 3 drill holes in this area, GRX-15-19 and GRX-15-20, returned gold values above detection limits. | |
| 2017 | | 4 drill holes totalling 1,030.8m (GRX-17-25 to GRX-17-28). Drilling took place mainly proximal to the Lower Detour Deformation Zone and on identified conductive geophysical anomalies. No significant alteration or mineralization were intercepted. | GM 70311 |

6.3 Martiniere Block

The information in this section is mainly based on the 2017 NI 43-101 report by Equity Exploration Consultants Ltd (Mumford and Voordouw, 2017).

The current amalgamated Martiniere claim block was first established by Cyprus Canada Inc. in 1994. Pre-1994 exploration work in the area completely to partially overlapped the current claim block boundaries. In 1998, Cyprus Canada Inc. optioned the claim block to International Taurus Resources Inc. and was subsequently purchased by them. A merger in 2004 changed the ownership to American Bonanza Gold Corp. In November 2010, Balmoral purchased the rights to acquire a 100% interest in the Martiniere claim block from American Bonanza Gold Corp., and the purchase was completed in 2013.

Table 6.3 summarizes the most significant historical work on the Martiniere Block.

Table 6.3 – Historical work on the Martiniere Block

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1959 | Kateri Mining Co. | Airborne EM and 2 drill holes totalling 155 m. One drill hole intersected a diorite sill with disseminated pyrite and quartz stringers that returned trace Au. | GM 08217-A; RP458 |
| | Monpre Mining Co. | Ground EM and 3 drill holes. The drill holes were collared 6.5 km NE of the current Martiniere claim block boundary and intersected sheared mafic volcanic and graphitic schist with 2-3% sulphide, with no Au returned in the assays. | GM 08704, GM 09755; GM 10898 |
| | Paudash Lake Uranium Mines Ltd | Airborne EM, ground EM, Mag, gravity. | GM 09563; GM 13018 |
| 1975/1977 | Noranda Exploration Co. | Ground EM, Mag. Geological mapping. 1 drill hole (77-1) drilled in what is currently the NW corner of the | GM 31645, GM 32173; |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | Ltd | Martiniere claim block. This drill hole encountered only quartz gabbro with a few specks of chalcopyrite near the end of the drill hole. | GM 33366; GM 33119 |
| 1981/ 1984 | Teck Exploration Ltd | Ground EM, Mag. Several holes were drilled, one of which (GB-60-1) is located within the current boundaries of the Martiniere claim block and another (GB-61-1), which is collared just south of what is now the Bug Lake Trend. GB-60-1 tested an EM conductor and intercepted altered, carbonatized, mafic volcanic intercalated with pyritic graphitic argillite and minor tuffaceous horizons. GB-61-1 cut through mafic volcanic and argillite but failed to intersect gold mineralization. | GM 37880, GM 37882, GM 39439, GM 39438; GM 40023, GM 41127; GM 41438 |
| 1982/ 1987 | Queenston Mines Ltd | Mapping, ground EM, Mag. Identification of a series of NW/SE-trending EM anomalies on the Lac du Doigt Deformation Zone. 26 drill holes drilled to the south of the Martiniere claim block, with the exception of DL-86-20. The latter was collared near the center of the Martiniere claim block and intersected mafic volcanic and graphitic argillite with local sulphide enrichment (pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, arsenopyrite) and up to 0.3 g/t Au over 1.0 m. Airborne gravity, Mag, VLF. | GM 39928, GM 42172; GM 44767; GM 46476 |
| 1984 | Noranda Exploration Co. Ltd | Mapping, soils | GM 41575 |
| 1984/ 1985 | | Ground EM, Mag | GM 41440, GM 42382 |
| 1985/ 1988 | | Ground IP, Mag | GM 42421, GM 46279 |
| 1985 | | 5 drill holes (LAM-85-01 to -05) on the Bug Lake prospect (NW part of the Martiniere Block). Several irregular, NW-trending, veins and shear zones hosted in fine-grained gabbroic rocks were identified. Best result returned 2.1 g/t Au over 1.1 m | GM 42615 |
| 1988 | | 5 drill holes (LAM-88-06 to -10) on the Bug Lake prospect (NW part of the Martiniere Block). Best result returned 3.6 g/t Au over 1.5 m. | GM 46833 |
| 1987 | | Ground gravity, Mag | GM 46076 |
| 1996/ 1998 | Cyprus Canada Inc. | Ground IP, Mag. Identification of a series of NE to EW trending structures on and around the Martiniere claim block | GM 54042, GM 54647, GM 55489, GM 55538, GM 55622 |
| 1997 | | 4 drill holes (MT97-01 to -04) in the northern half of what is now the Martiniere claim block. No significant mineralization was intersected. | GM 55537 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1997 | | 8 drill holes (MD-97-01 to -08) in the southern half of what is now the Martiniere claim block. MD-97-06 hit 12.44 g/t Au over 2.5 m and 1.07 g/t Au over 12.0 m, the most significant discovery of gold on the claim block at that time. This mineralization was hosted in chloritic shear zones with 10-30% quartz + carbonate + pyrite veining and strong silica + carbonate ± sericite ± fuchsite alteration. MD-97-02 intersected a pyrite-dominant massive to semi-massive sulphide body with negligible gold and base metal contents. | GM 55490, GM 54648, GM 54818, GM 54701 |
| 1997 | | Soil sampling, mapping | |
| 1999 | International Taurus Resources Inc. | 9 drill holes (MD-99-09 to -17) followed-up on the gold discovery made by Cyprus in drill hole MD-97-06. This program intersected quartz + carbonate veins in the southern part of the claim block, with 5.91 g/t Au over 6.45 m in MD-99-11 and 14.55 g/t Au over 4.2 m in MD-99-13 | GM 56816 |
| 2000 | | 20 drill holes (MD-00-18 to -29): MD-00-19 intersected 11.12 g/t Au over 1.5 m and MD-00-28 intersected 12.80 g/t Au over 1.5 m and 3.45 g/t Au over 1.0 m | GM 58073 |
| 2006 | American Bonanza Gold Corp. | 9 drill holes (MD-06-01 to -09) to test the high-grade gold intercepts returned by Cyprus and International Taurus. This program extended the MD-00-28 discovery on what became known as the Martiniere West Trend ("MW"), and confirmed the gold intercepts returned from MD-97-06, MD-99-13 and MD-99-14 in the Martiniere Central area | GM 62862 |
| 2007 | | 13 drill holes (MD-07-10 to -22) to test for extensions to the mineralized zones and to test IP and Mag anomalies. Almost all drill holes intercepted gold mineralization; best results were returned by MD-07-12 with 7.15 g/t Au over 3.0 m and MD-07-14 with 5.09 g/t over 5.0 m | GM 64281 |
| 2012 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | 106 drill holes totaling 20,728 m. Drilling expanded the MW trend and discovered the larger Bug Lake ("BL") Trend. The highlight of this program was the discovery of very high-grade mineralization within the BL Footwall Zone ("BLFZ") with an intercept of 1,25 g/t Au over 0.55 m. The Upper and Lower BL zones were also discovered and returned 5.7 g/t over 42.5 m, 2.9 g/t over 67.0 m and 1.7 g/t over 51.7 m. | GM 67653 |
| 2013 | | Diamond drilling was performed on the MW and BL trends, in addition to 33 wildcat drill holes spread across the claim block. Results extended mineralization on the BL Trend along a minimum 700 m strike length and depth of 320 m below the surface. Drilling on the MW Trend returned an intercept of 7.99 g/t Au over 28.45 m but otherwise failed to extend high-grade mineralization. Results from these 33 drill holes included 2.25 g/t Au over 24.14 m in MDX-13-13, 12.90 g/t Au over 2.45 m in MDX-13-17 and 2.28 g/t Au over 6.21 m in MDX-13-26. | GM 69210 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|-------|---|----------|
| 2014 | | 41 drill holes on the BL Trend and 6 wildcat drill holes. Highlights of this program include the best assay result from the BLFZ, grading 8330 g/t over 0.57 m, in addition to the highest grade returned from the lower steep of the BLFZ (7.71 g/t over 15.56 m), suggesting mineralization stretches at depth. Other significant results include 2.33 g/t Au over 42.01 m from the southern part of the BL Trend and discovery of the mineralized and E-W trending North Swamp–Lac du Doigt fault zones. Wildcat drilling returned several intersections of pyrite-rich massive sulphide with low base metal values. | GM 69087 |
| | | A 17.8 km IP survey yielded mixed results, with work on the "VMS1" grid essentially reviving a target that returned negative results the year before, work on the "VMS2" grid confirming the stacked nature and IP response of sulphide lenses and, survey on the conceptual "AU" grid returning essentially no chargeability response whatsoever. | GM 69087 |
| 2015 | | 32 infill drill holes, 200 m along the BL trend. This drilling returned a number of mineralized intercepts, including 18.13 g/t Au over 44.45 m in MDE-15-166, 7.07 g/t over 34.44 m in MDE-15-170 and 3.55 g/t over 64.55 m in MDE-15-173. 7 drill holes were also drilled with the aim of expanding mineralization on the BL trend. One such hole drilled at the northern end (MDE-15-200) encountered the anomalously broad and calcite-rich Hanging Wall Zone, returning 0.69 g/t Au over 96.1 m with sub-intervals of 27.3 g/t over 0.81 m, 9.03 g/t over 1.03 m and 12.4 g/t over 0.60 m. Two other holes drilled just south of the infill area (MDE-15-201, 202) returned 2.33 g/t over 11.44 m and 18.85 g/t over 1.28 m. | GM 69310 |
| | | An IP survey delineated several chargeability and resistivity anomalies north of the Lac du Doigt area. | GM 69696 |
| 2016 | | 37 drill holes (11,879.66 m): the program confirmed continuity and grade within the 240-m-long segment of the Bug South Sub-trend; discovered a high-grade Zn-Pb-Ag zone east of the Bug South Subtrend; and discovered the new Southeast Zone past the southern end of the Bug Southeast Subtrend. Best results were obtained by MDE-16-234A with 64.20 g/t Au over 1.08 m and MDE-16-247 with 13.54 g/t Au over 5.34m. | GM 70684 |
| 2017 | | 78 drill holes (27,224.38 m). Discoveries of the BL NW zone which returned gold values (Best result: MDE-17-297A returned 1.02 g/t Au over 67.40m) and extended the BL porphyry to the north. The Horsefly zone was expanded further east. The Lower Detour Deformation Trend was expanded to the west with MDX-16-69 returning 0.73 g/t Au over 26.33 m. The BL north porphyry was expanded 130 m further down plunge. The BL south mineralized zone was expanded to 460 m vertical depth. | GM 70683 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 2018 | | A geological mapping and soil sampling program was performed north of the Lac du Doigt area. | GM 71230 |
| | | 23 drill holes totalling 7,389.60 m. Holes drilled intersected broad veining, alteration corridors and anomalous Au concentrations but assay results did not return anything higher than 1.98 g/t Au over 1.53 m. Drill holes MDE-18-320 and MDE-18-321 confirmed the extension of the Horsefly Zone at depth, with anomalous gold mineralization being intercepted in both drill holes. At BL South, MDE-18-324 and MDE-18-325 intersected broad gold mineralization associated with cruciform-carbonate veining in the footwall portion of the South Zone at vertical depths of approximately 375 and 410 m. | GM 71308 |
| 2018 | | Publication of NI 43-101 compliant technical report to present the maiden MRE | Voordouw and Jutras, 2018 |
| 2021 | Wallbridge Mining Co. Ltd | Publication of NI 43-101 compliant technical report to present the updated MRE | Pelletier and Nadeau-Benoit, 2021 |

6.4 Doigt Block

The significant historical exploration work on the Doigt claim block consists of geophysical surveys, soil surveys and drilling. A summary of the work is presented in Table 6.4.

Table 6.4 – Historical work on the Doigt Block

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|------------------------|--|-------------------|
| 1959-1960 | Monpre Mining Co Ltd | 6 drill holes (2086 ft, 625 m) tested EM anomalies in the east central part of La Martinière Township and the SE corner of Martigny Township. Best drill hole intersections: 0.02 to 0.08% Cu, 0.00 to 0.05% Zn (DDH1); and 0.04 to 0.14 oz/t Ag/t and 0.12 to 0.15% oz Cu (DDH4). | GM 10850 |
| 1975 | Selco Mining Corp. Ltd | Ground EM in the Detour-Turgeon area. There were no bedrock conductors detected. | GM 31185, GM31186 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|------------------------|--|----------|
| 2011 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | A heliborne VTEM Plus survey was flown over the East Doigt Property. The total survey area was 22.11 km ² and the total survey line coverage was 131.6-line km. | GM 66714 |
| 2012 | | Mobile metal ion ("MMI") soil sampling program conducted on two E-W trending lines in late 2012 by Equity Exploration Consultants Ltd. ("Equity") on behalf of Balmoral (Perk and Swanton, 2013c). Results of the survey indicate that there is a moderate gold-in-soil anomaly at the east end of both sampling lines. | GM 67654 |
| 2013 | | Equity conducted a soil sampling program, on behalf of Balmoral that covered parts of the Detour East, Doigt, Martiniere and Harri properties. A total of 36 poly-metallic soil anomalies were identified, 2 of them on Doigt. | GM 67745 |
| 2013 | | IP/Mag survey (20,175-line km) delineated 5 zones of weak to strong chargeability, the survey showed the presence of an elongated NE-trending coincident Mag, and resistivity high located centrally on the Doigt Property. | GM 68182 |
| 2013 | | 2 drill holes (523 m) completed in the northern part of the Doigt Property. The 2013 drilling program successfully identified the first mineralization found on the property. Best drill hole intersections: 0.81 g/t Au over 0.47 m (DOT-13-02); 0.546 g/t Au over 0.92 m in DOT-13-01, and 10,150 ppm Zn, 2 g/t Ag and 689 ppm Cu over 0.38 m (DOT-13-02). | GM 68187 |

6.5 Harri Block

The significant historical exploration work on the Harri claim block consists of geophysical surveys, soil surveys and drilling. A summary is presented in Table 6.5.

Table 6.5 – Historical work on the Harri Block

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| 1959-1963 | Monpre Mining Co Ltd, Paudash Mines Ltd (Claims Martin, Monpre Mining Co Ltd), Paudash Lake Uranium Mines Ltd | Ground Mag, EM surveys and airborne Mag and gravimetry surveys yielded various geophysical anomalies. | GM 08704, GM 09563, GM 11087-B, GM 13018, GM 09754, GM 08217-B |
| 1975 | Selco Mining Corp. Ltd | Ground EM and Mag surveys and diamond drilling. Various geophysical anomalies. No significant drilling results. | GM 31185, GM 31186, GM 31244, GM 31246, GM 31586 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| 1976-1977 | Hudson Bay Exploration & Development Co. Ltd and Selco Mining Corp Ltd | EM surveying (various anomalies) and 12 drill holes (no significant results). | GM 31958, GM 31959, GM 31960, GM 32274, GM 32806 |
| 1981-1984 | Teck Exploration Ltd | Ground EM and Mag surveys (various anomalies) and 32 drill holes (no significant results). | GM 37799, GM 37877, GM 37887, GM 37931, GM 37932, GM 37935, GM 37936, GM 39413, GM 39424, GM 39425, GM 39426, GM 39437, GM 39438, GM 39441, GM 40020, GM 40021, GM 41127, GM 41438 |
| 1986-1988 | Exploration Min Golden triangle Inc., Xanaro Technologies Inc., Claims Matthew and Claims Ottereyes | Ground Mag, EM, HEM, IP and airborne EM and Mag surveys yielding various geophysical anomalies. RC drilling yielded significantly anomalous trace element assays (Au, Ag, Cu, Zn, As). Diamond drilling failed to produce significant results. | GM 43386, GM 43451, GM 44045, GM 44468, GM 44469, GM 45309, GM 45979, GM 45981, GM 46137, GM 46175, GM 46855, GM 47615 |
| 1991 | Minéraux Morrison Ltée, Total Energold Corp. | Ground Mag and EM surveys; various geophysical anomalies. | GM 50524, GM 50567, GM 50673 |
| 1993-1996 | Cyprus Canada Inc. | Geophysical surveying (ground Mag, EM, HEM and IP/resistivity) and diamond drilling. Various geophysical anomalies. Best drill hole intersections: Drill hole GC-93-1 (288 m) 580 ppb Au in graphitic sediments (GM 52352), drill hole GC95-06 70.10-77.45m 10 to 100 ppb Au and drill hole GC95-07, 155.2-158.5m, 60-160 ppb Au (GM 53674), drill hole GC-93-1, 860 ppb Au in sediments (GM 53923) | GM 52352, GM 53653, GM 53674, GM 53923, GM 53992 |
| 1996 | Billiton Metals Canada Inc. | Line cutting (7.3 km), IP (6.2 km), 1 drill hole and Pulse EM. No significant values. | GM 54064 |
| 1997-1998 | Claims Frigon, Explorations Minières du Nord Ltée, Fairstar Explorations Inc. | Geophysical surveying (Mag, IP, IP/resistivity) and 6 drill holes (1178 m). Various geophysical anomalies. Minor pyrite and pyrrhotite explained the IP anomalies. The sulphides were barren of gold. | GM 54906, GM 54907, GM 55422, GM 55617 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|--|---|----------|
| 2006 | American Bonanza Gold Corporation | 54 drill holes (18,113.9 m). | GM 62991 |
| 2008 | Claims Tremblay, Exploration MetauxDic | Airborne Mag and EM over two blocks (B and C). | GM 64010 |
| 2011 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | A heliborne VTEM Plus survey was flown over the Harricana Property. Total area coverage for all properties covered by the survey is 60.55 km ² . Total survey line coverage is 684 line-km. | GM 66710 |
| 2011 | | A heliborne EM survey (1216.2 line-km), including 227 km over Harri. | GM 67280 |
| 2013 | | Soil sampling program (1,854 soil samples). A total of 36 poly-metallic soil anomalies were identified in this way, 26 of which occur on Detour East, 5 on Harri, 3 on Martiniere and 2 on Doigt. | GM 67745 |
| 2013 | | IP and Mag survey over three roughly N-S lines with an aggregate length of 18.1 km. Several other apparently planar IP anomalies are also present. While the data collected from this survey is not sufficient to demonstrate the existence of any mineralized systems on the property, it does outline several features of interest which may be worthy of follow-up work. | GM 67644 |
| 2014 | | A heliborne VTEM geophysical survey has been completed over the Lac Fleuri, Nantel, Grasset Gap, Grasset North, Jeremie-Fenelon and Nickel Test survey areas. Based on the geophysical results obtained, a number of TEM anomalous zones are identified across the properties. | GM 68603 |
| 2015 | | Geochemical MMI survey (128 samples), which focussed on Detour East, Harri and Jérémie properties. Anomaly 2014-H-02 is observed on the western line of the Harri Property. It shows 4 to 6 samples anomalous in Cu, Pd, Ag, and to some extent Au over a distance of 250 m. | GM 68959 |
| 2018 | | 2 drill holes (610.6 m) on the Harri Property. These drill holes tested for gold and base metal mineralization, testing geologic and geophysical targets in proximity to the SLDZ. Drill hole HAR-18-02 intersected 1.13 m of 1.5% Zn. | GM 70895 |

6.6 Detour East Block

The significant historical exploration work on the Detour East claim block consists of more than 218 drill holes for at least 50,000 m of drilling. Other historical work includes several airborne and ground-based geophysical surveys (EM, IP, Mag, gravity) and a lesser amount of surface work that includes mapping, prospecting and soil sampling. The bulk of this historical work focused on two regionally prominent areas of high EM conductivity referred to herein as the Southern EM and Northern EM trends. These trends are located

along boundaries between lithological domains. A summary of the relevant work is presented in Table 6.6.

Table 6.6 – Historical work on the Detour-East Block

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|----------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 1959 | Kesagami Syndicate | 3 drill holes totalling 277 m along the Northern EM trend. Most of the drill holes hit short intervals of massive to semi-massive pyrite and/or pyrrhotite with, or without, minor to trace Cu and Zn (Groupe Kesagami-Fox showing). | GM 18183 |
| 1959- 61 | Paudash Lake Uranium Mines Ltd | EM, Mag and gravity surveys; 11 drill holes drilled on the Southern EM. Intersection of several sulphide-rich layers with mostly low base and precious metal values, with the exception of a 1.0 m intercept running 8.2% Zn and 1.45% Cu (Paudash showing). | GM 11354 |
| 1969 | Pennaroya Canada Ltd | 4 drill holes totalling 664 m on the Southern EM targeting the Paudash showing. Intersection of 1.8 m of massive pyrite + chalcopyrite + marcasite in drill hole 887-23. | GM 24929 |
| 1971 | Canadian Nickel Co. Ltd | 1 drill hole for 162 m on the Southern EM. Intersection of a weakly mineralized schist. | GM 27181 |
| 1975-76 | Noranda Exploration Co. Ltd | Mapping; 2 drill holes totalling 261 m on the Northern EM. Drill holes 76-2 returned three 1-2 m wide zones with trace Au and Cu + Zn and M-77-1 intersected several 0.5-1.0 m wide layers of semi-massive sulphide | GM 31660, GM 32507, GM 35999 |
| 1979-80 | Selco Mining Corp. Ltd | 3 drill holes totalling 294 m on the Southern EM. Best assay was 0.07 g/t Au over 60 cm from a chloritized intermediate volcanic in drill hole D-100-1 just west of the yet-to-be-discovered Lynx Zone. Drill hole D-105-2 intersected 18.8 m of iron formation. | GM 36209, GM 37078 |
| 1975 | | Airborne and ground Mag surveys on the Northern EM followed by 1 drill hole of 103 m that intersected a conductive unit of pyrite-bearing argillite. | GM 31965 |
| 1980 | | Geophysical survey and 3 drill holes totalling 205 m on the Manthet Domain. Drilling intersected 9.2 m of massive to semi-massive sulphide in drill hole D-107-1. | GM 37361, GM 36766 |
| 1980 | Westmin Resources Ltd | Regional air photo interpretation. | GM 38110 |
| 1981-82 | | Mapping, soil sampling and ground-based geophysics at the Southern EM followed up on 5 drill holes totalling 891 m. Best results comprised 4.0 m of massive to semi-massive sulphide grading up to 18% Zn over 0.6 m in drill hole LB-81-1, which was collared near the Paudash showing. | GM 38109, GM 39941, GM 38976 |
| 1982 | | 1 drill hole for 206 m on the Northern EM. | GM 40106 |
| 1988-93 | | Mapping; soil sampling; LF-EM survey and drilling of 8 drill holes totalling 1,710 m on the Southern EM. Most of the drill holes tested geophysical anomalies (IP, EM, Mag) that, after drilling, appeared to be mostly explained by graphitic sedimentary units. Follow-up | GM 47836, GM 50997, GM 52046 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|---------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| | | drilling on the Paudash showing returned 0.24% Zn and 0.034% Cu over 4.57 m. | |
| 1981 | Canadian Merrill Ltd | Ground-based EM survey followed by 2 drill holes totalling 248 m on the Southern EM. FOP-1 returned a 63 m interval with 5-20% pyrrhotite and/or pyrite and assays of up to 1.16% Zn over 1.6 m (the FOP-1 showing). | GM 37394 |
| 1982-86 | Queenston Gold Mines Ltd | Geophysical surveys and 3 drill holes totalling 337 m at the Manthet Domain. Highlights included 14 m of sulphide and graphitic argillite near the end of DL-85-1 and an assay of 0.135 g/t Au over 1.0 m in drill hole 86-31. | GM 42183 |
| 1982 | Anaconda Canada Exploration Ltd | Remote sensing surveys over the Manthet Domain. | GM 39226 |
| 1984 | Ingamar Explorations Ltd JVs | Compilation, geological mapping of the Matagami area. | GM 41656, GM 41657 |
| 1984-87 | | Compilation, geological mapping of the Southern EM. | GM 44282 GM 44283 GM 44284 |
| 1987 | Mineta Resources Ltd | Airborne geophysical surveys with 114 km of ground-based Mag, 24 km of HLEM and 14.5 km of IP survey on the Southern EM. | GM 45304; GM 46083 |
| 1986 | Exploration Eссор Inc. | 2 drill holes totalling 314 m on the Southern EM trend. KA-86-2 intersected significant stretches of pyrite bearing graphitic argillite and pyrite mineralization hosted within volcanic rocks but returned no significant assays. | GM 44258 |
| 1986 | Rambo Exploration Inc. | 9 drill holes led to the discovery of the Rambo Zone. Assay results included 6.3 g/t Au over 2.7 m (TU-86-1), 6.51 g/t over 0.7 m (TU-86-2), 7.6 g/t over 0.6 m (TU-86-6), 3.4 g/t over 1.2 m (TU-86-3), 2.45 g/t Au over 1.5 m (TU-86-8) and 4.35 g/t over 0.3 m (TU-86-9). | GM 45607 |
| 1987 | | 7 drill holes. The program was unsuccessful in extending the "Rambo Zone" along strike or at depth. | GM 45607 |
| 1988 | Rambo Exploration Inc.; Coleraine Mining Resources Inc. | 14 drill holes on the Rambo Zone. No significant assay results. | GM 48553 |
| 1994 | Coleraine Mining Resources Inc. | Drilling of a 402-m drill hole on the Rambo Zone. No significant assay results. | GM 52701 |
| 1988 | Exploration Lynx Canada Ltée | Ground Mag, EM and IP surveys followed by 8 drill holes totalling 1,828 m led to the discovery of the Lynx Zone. MS-87-06 intersected a vein with visible gold that returned 3.44 g/t Au over 1.00 m, and MS-87-07 returned 11.96 g/t Au over 1.35 m. | GM 46540 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|---------|--|--|------------------------------|
| 1987-88 | Exploration Minière Golden Triangle Inc.; Explorations Noramco Inc. | 9 drill holes totalling 2241 m on the Southern EM. drill hole 001 intersected 19 m of pyrite-bearing graphitic argillite that assayed 0.1 g/t Au over 18.7 m with a sub-interval grading 2.2 g/t over 1.0 m. Drill holes H-1428-017, -23, -25 and -31 intersected at least one 1.0-1.5 m interval grading 0.3-0.5 g/t Au (Rivière Théo–Rivière Turgeon showing). | GM 45982, GM 47623 |
| 1988 | Glen Auden Resources Ltd; Golden Dragon Resources Ltd; Royex Gold Mining Corp. | 7 drill holes totalling 1,292 m west of the Rambo discovery, hitting mostly barren sedimentary rocks with maximum grades of 150 ppb Au over 0.45 m. | GM 47225 |
| | | 5 drill holes totalling 1,159 m on the Northern EM trend returned weakly anomalous base metal values that include: 0.25% Zn over 1.46 m (GD-88-01), 0.28% Zn over 1.37 m (GD-88-02) and 0.105% Cu over 0.91 m (GD-88-01). | GM 47226 |
| | | 37 RC drill holes totalling 1,118 m on the Matagami area, with 14 of the RC drill holes returning significant gold grain counts (>5 grains) in basal till and 8 RC drill holes returning anomalous gold values (15-120 ppb Au) in bedrock (the RC Trend). | GM 47447 |
| 1989 | Glen Auden Resources Ltd | 3 drill holes totalling 811 m. No significant gold assay results | GM 48757 |
| 1991 | Total Energold Corp. | Geophysical surveys and 4 drill holes totalling 812 m on the Southern EM. Drill hole LA-3, collared 1 km west of the Rivière Théo-Turgeon showing, intercepted 24.1 g/t Au over 2.48 m (the LA-3 showing). | GM 50596 |
| 1993 | Cyprus Canada Inc. | 6 drill holes totalling 1,476 m across the claim block. Drilling on the Lynx Zone yielded a composite of 4.81 g/t Au over 13.34 m in drill hole LX-93-12 and 3.32 g/t Au over 5.65 m in drill hole LX-93-15. Follow-up drilling on the LA-3 showing results yielded few results of significance. | GM 52083; GM 51785; GM 52084 |
| 1994 | | 6 drill holes totalling 2006 m to test the down-dip and strike extensions of the Lynx Prospect were unsuccessful in doing so. | GM 52617 |
| 1997 | | 2 drill holes totalling 313 m at the Manthet Domain. These drill holes intersected a set of quartz + calcite + pyrrhotite + pyrite veins that were interpreted to be linked to an IP anomaly but carried no significant gold or base metal values. | GM 55499 |
| 1995 | Ressources Minières Radisson Inc. | Geophysical surveys and 5 drill holes totalling 2,178 m on the Lynx Zone. Drill hole MS-95-29 returned assays of 1.71 g/t Au over 0.34 m and 1.30 g/t Au over 0.38 (the Lac Geoffrion East showing). Drill hole LG-95-01, drilled on the Lac Gignac Deformation Zone ("LGDZ"), returned an assay of 0.73 g/t Au over 1.18 m. | GM 53010 |
| 1996 | | Geophysical surveys and drilling of 21 drill holes totalling 5,478 m on the Lynx Zone and LGDZ. No notable precious or base metal values were intersected. | GM 55564 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|---------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1997-98 | | Geophysical surveys and drilling of 12 drill holes totalling 2,887 m on the LGDZ. Drill hole LG98-28 returned assays values of 1.92 g/t Au over 0.33 m, and drill hole LG98-17 returned weakly anomalous gold (-0.05 g/t) over 149 m and 0.4% Zn over 3 m (the Lac Gignac West and LG98-17 showings). | GM 56041 |
| 2001 | | 8 drill holes totalling 2,878 m on the LGDZ returned 1.93 g/t Au over 1.0 m from the Lac Gignac West showing. | GM 59037 |
| 1996 | Billiton Metals Canada Inc. | 3 drill holes totalling 597 m on the Northern EM. Best assays: 0.36 g/t Au over 1.6 m in B01-01 and 0.036% Cu over 6.4 m in B01-06. Follow-up downhole EM surveys had limited success due to the intersection of pyrite- and/or graphite-rich conductors. | GM 54144, GM 55411 |
| 1998 | Gowest Amalgamated Resources Ltd | 3 drill holes totalling 758 m on the Northern EM. These drill holes targeted a chargeability anomaly and returned broad intervals of disseminated pyrite mineralization with only weakly anomalous gold values. | GM 55878 |
| 1998 | SOQUEM | 5 drill holes totalling 1,225 m on the Southern EM. Intersection of 1.17 g/t Au over 0.75 m in drill hole 1197-98-01 and 1.24 g/t Au over 1.0 m in drill hole 1197-98-2. | GM 56103 |
| 2008 | Ressources d'Arianne Inc. | Airborne VTEM, mobile metal ion sampling and drilling of 2 drill holes totalling 318 m on the Southern EM. Neither drill hole returned grades exceeding 12 ppb Au. | GM 64141 |
| 2011 | Balmoral Resources Ltd | Geological mapping on the Southern EM and IP/Resistivity surveying and 7 drill holes on the eastward trend of the SLDZ. No significant results. | GM 66026 |
| 2011-12 | | Soil sampling (800 samples) and drilling of 8 drill holes totalling 2,654 m on the Northern EM and LGDZ. Drilling highlights including assays of 3.06 g/t Au over 0.60 m in drill hole DTE-12-08 as well as 1.725 g/t Au over 1.0 m in DTE-12-12. | GM 66719, GM 66348, GM 67370 |
| 2015 | | 1 drill holes (DTE-15-16) for 279.4 m on the Eastern part of the claim block (La Peltrie Township). The drill hole returned no significant assay result. | GM 69163 |
| 2016 | | 6 drill holes totalling 1,559 m mainly focused on confirming and expanding the Lynx and Rambo gold zones. The program extended the Lynx Zone down plunge to the west intersecting two zones of gold mineralization in DTE-16-18 (1.27 g/t over 0.5 m and 5.69 g/t over 1.58 m). Two drill holes tested for extensions of the Rambo Zone failed to intersect any significant gold mineralization. The exploration drilling along the RC trend discovered in 1988, northwest of the Lynx Zone, failed to identify a potential source that would explain the results of previous RC drilling. | GM 70057 |
| 2017 | | 15 drill holes totalling 4,695 m tested for gold and base metal mineralization. Drill hole DTE-17-23 returned three individual intervals with significant results (>1 g/t Au): 1.10 g/t Au over 4.00 m, 1.62 g/t Au over | GM 70591 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|-------|---|----------|
| | | 0.92 m and 1.28 g/t Au over 0.54 m. Drill hole DTE 17-33 returned 815 ppm Ni over 6.53 m. Drill hole DTE-17-34 and drill hole DTE-17-35 tested a single conductor target on the margin of a magnetic high. Drill hole DTE-17-34 intersected 699 ppm Ni over 88.76 m, and drill hole DTE-17-35 intersected 745 ppm Ni and 662 ppm Ni over 10.93 m and 72.66 m. | |
| 2018 | | 6 drill holes totalling 1,889 m tested for gold and base metal mineralization on the DTE area. Drill hole DTE-18-42A returned two individual intervals with significant results (>1 g/t Au): 0.25 g/t Au over 7.92 m and 1.60 g/t Au over 7.00 m. | GM 70894 |

6.7 Casault Block

The relevant historical work on the Casault claim block consists of geophysical surveys and drilling. A summary is presented in Table 6.7.

Table 6.7 – Historical work on the Casault Block

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|--|--|-----------------------|
| 1959 | Kesagami Syndicate | 2 Drill holes (60-1 and 4-1). Both drill holes intersected several intervals with 10 to 50% pyrite. Drill hole 4-1 intersected an iron formation. No assay results available. | GM 18183 |
| 1975 | Selco Mining | Mag and EM survey followed by an IP survey, mapping, and drilling of several drill holes to test some anomalies. | GM 31185, GM 31186 |
| | | Drill hole D-52-1. Intersection of a quartz sericite schist with an interval of 5-10% disseminated pyrite over 42 m. No assay results available. | GM 31188 |
| 1980-1981 | SDBJ (Société de Développement de la Baie-James) | VLF and magnetometric surveys, sampling, and mapping. Several VLF anomalies were identified. | GM 37488; GM 8959 |
| 1982 | Queenston Mining | Geophysical and geological data compilation. 2 zones of interest identified: a highly magnetic zone interpreted as an iron formation and another corresponding to an unidentified conductor. | GM 39929 |
| 1983 | | Field exploration and an airborne geophysical survey. Various features were identified, including EM conductors and geological contacts. | GM 39931 |
| 1984-1985 | | Mag and EM survey. 3 conductors identified. | GM 42169 |
| 1986 | | 3 drill holes (DL-85-8, DL-85-9 et DL-85-13). Drill hole DL-85-13 intersected 0,57 g/t Au over 1.0 m in mafic volcanics. | GM 43413; GM 44072 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|-----------|---|--|-----------------------|
| 1986-1988 | | 13 drill holes (DL-86-24 to -30 and DL-87-48 to -53). Best results: 0.73 g/t Au over 3 m in drill hole DL-86-24; 0.89 g/t Au over 1.2 m, 0.41 g/t Au over 3.1 m and 0.25 g/t Au over 9.2 m in drill hole DL-86-25; 1.85 g/t Au over 9.0 m in drill hole DL-87-50; 1,955 g/t Au over 1.0 m in drill hole DL-87-51. | GM 44767; GM 46412 |
| 1987 | | Mag and EM survey. Many EM conductors detected and interpreted as coming from the bedrock. | GM 46476 |
| 1995 | Placer Dome | An airborne geophysical survey and an IP survey were performed. Many typical sulphide response anomalies were detected, | GM 54177; GM 54178 |
| 1995 | Billiton Metals Canada Inc. | 4 drill holes. Best results: 0.29% Zn over 4.5 m in drill hole B01-02; 0.14% Zn over 3.65 m in drill hole B01-04; and 0.26% Zn over 2.6 m in drill hole B01-05. | GM 54144 |
| 2008 | Ressources D'Arianne Inc. | Structural study based on LANDSAT ETM+ images and ortho-rectified aerial photographs. | GM 63647 |
| 2010-2011 | Midland Exploration Inc. | Geophysical surveys performed: VTEM and Mag. | GM 66346; GM 66347 |
| | | 3 drill holes totalling 669 m. Some intervals of pyrite, pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite were intercepted (trace to up to 5% exceptionally). Best result was 0.85 g/t Au over 1.5 m | GM 66345 |
| 2012 | Midland Exploration Inc.; Osisko Mining Corporation | 20 drill holes totalling 4,562 m. Discovery of a new zone with CAS-12-07 returning 10.4 g/t Au over 1.45 m and CAS-12-010 (collared 2 km to the east) returning 1.86 g/t Au over 1.50 m. Discovery of a new zone with drill hole CAS-12-07 returning 10.4 g/t Au over 1.45 m and drill hole CAS-12-010 (collared 2 km to the east) returning 1.86 g/t Au over 1.50 m. CAS-12-020 and CAS-12-022, drilled in the northern part of the claim block, intersected a major fault zone locally anomalous in gold, now interpreted as the SLDZ. Drill hole CAS-12-020 and drill hole CAS-12-022 completed in the northern part of the claim block intersected a major fault zone locally anomalous in gold, interpreted as the SLDZ. Drill hole CAS-12-020 returned 0.22 g/t Au over 3.0 m and drill hole CAS-12-022 returned 0.79 g/t Au over 1.5 m. | GM 66854 |
| | | VTEM survey. | GM 67664; GM 67665 |
| 2013 | | Magnetic and IP surveys. | GM 67617; GM 67738 |
| | | 14 drill holes totalling 2,992.8 m. Only weakly anomalous gold values were intersected. | GM 67737 |
| 2014 | Midland Exploration Inc. | Mag, IP and TDEM surveys. | GM 68447; GM 68909 |
| 2015-2016 | | Mag, resistivity/IP and OreVision surveys. | GM 69063; GM 69064 |
| | | High-resolution Mag-gradiometry survey. 2 magnetic | GM 69229 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | Midland Exploration Inc.; SOQUEM Inc. | domains identified. | |
| | | 15 drill holes totalling 3,332 m (CAS-15-038 to -52). Drill hole CAS-15-044 intersected several continuous anomalous gold intervals (> 100 ppb Au) over 100 m, with a best grade of 0.47 g/t Au over 1.0 m. Gold values are associated with strong silica, sericite and hematite alteration, as well as quartz-carbonate stockworks and QFPs. CAS-15-041 and -042 intersected 1.19 g/t Au over 2.5 m and 0.331 g/t Au over 6.55 m, respectively. | GM 68987; GM 69778 |
| | | Mag and OreVision surveys. | GM 69554 |
| | | 34 drill holes totalling 10,690 m (CAS-15-053 to -075 and CAS-16-078 to -083). CAS-15-053 confirmed the continuity of the gold-bearing veins discovered, intersecting 6.89 g/t Au over 1.10 m and 5.41 g/t Au over 1.00 m. CAS-15-068 (2.90 g/t Au over 0.4 m), CAS-15-069 (0.69 g/t Au over 0.55m) and CAS-15-070 (3.34 g/t Au over 0.40 m and 0.87 g/t Au over 2.85 m) confirmed the extension of those gold-bearing veins to the NW. CAS-15-071 intersected 0.31 g/t Au over 12.3 m and CAS-16-080 intersected 0.29 g/t Au over 1.00 m. CAS-16-082 intersected anomalous gold values with 0.29 g/t Au over 1.00 m associated with a QFP mineralized with pyrite and pyrrhotite. | GM 70013; GM 69701 |
| | | OreVision survey: 5 low intensity polarizable sources interpreted, all oriented NW. Several appear to be, at least in part, due to the uplift of the bedrock. | GM 69779 |
| 2017 | | Mag and OreVision surveys: 3 anomalies interpreted. | GM 70339; GM 70674 |
| | | 13 drill holes totalling 3,889 m (CAS-17-084 to -096). Discovery of a new zone, "Zone 450", with drill hole CAS-17-086 returning 3.1 g/t Au over 1.40 m. The next 5 drill holes tested the extensions of the zone, intersecting mineralization. Best results: CAS-17-096 returning 1.38 g/t Au over 26.20 m; CAS-17-095 returning 1.30 g/t Au over 23.50 m and CAS-17-094 returning 1.88 g/t Au over 7.20 m. Zone 450 is characterized by breccia and banded albite, ankerite, hematite, sericite, chlorite, quartz and calcite. This new auriferous sector was named "Vortex" and comprised zones 475,450, 435 and 425. | GM 71352 |

| Year | Owner | Description of work / Highlights / Significant results | Ref. |
|------|--------------------------|--|----------|
| 2018 | | 25 drill holes totalling 8770.5 m (CAS-18-097 to -122). Results showed the Vortex gold system comprises 6 parallel mineralized zones (550, 525, 475, 450, 435 and 425) contained in a corridor 2 km long and 50-150 m wide. Zone 450 (the most important in terms of width and gold values) had been identified between a depth of 75 to 250 m in all drill holes between CAS-18-116 and CAS-18-117. Those 2 drill holes marked the western and eastern limits of the corridor. Zones 550 and 525 are new zones discovered in 2018: Zone 550 (associated with quartz-calcite injections and some pyrite) returned 0.385 g/t Au over 3.80 m in CAS-18-098, and Zone 525 returned 0.1 g/t Au over 6.50 m at a contact between mafic volcanics and a gabbro unit. | GM 71351 |
| | | OreVision survey: identification of 9 weakly polarizable lineaments, globally oriented E-W. | GM 70908 |
| 2019 | Midland Exploration Inc. | IP survey: Delineation of 4 polarizable IP axes highlighting moderate to strong chargeability anomalies, partially correlated with resistivity lows. The 2D inversion models suggest they are indicative of quite broad or closely spaced bodies/structures with steep dips. They could be the potential markers of disseminated to sulphide-rich mineralization (\pm graphite), hosted along faults and/or altered and sheared bands of rock along geological contacts. | GM 71473 |

6.8 Nantel Block

The only relevant historical work completed on the Nantel Block is a heliborne VTEM geophysical survey, flown in 2014 over the Nantel claims and the Lac Fleuri, Grasset Gap, Grasset North, Jeremie-Fenelon and Nickel Test areas (GM 68603) with no formal interpretation reported by Balmoral.

7. GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION

The information presented in this item is based on Faure et al. (2020), Myers and Wagner (2020), Richard and Turcotte (2016), Perk (2015), and Voordow and Jutras (2018). Other references are duly indicated where applicable.

7.1 Regional Geology

The Property is located in the northwestern Archean Abitibi Subprovince of the southern Superior Province in the Canadian Shield (Figure 7.1).


The Abitibi Subprovince is a greenstone belt composed of east-trending synclines of largely volcanic rocks and intervening domes cored by synvolcanic and/or syntectonic plutonic rocks (gabbro-diorite, tonalite, and granite in composition) alternating with east-trending bands of turbiditic wackes. Most volcanic and sedimentary strata dip vertically and are generally separated by abrupt, east-trending trans-crustal faults with variable dips. Some of these faults, such as the Cadillac–Larder Lake and Porcupine-Destor faults, display evidence of overprinting deformation events, including early thrusting, later strike-slip and extension events. Two ages of unconformable successor basins, producing widely distributed Porcupine-style basins of fine-grained clastic rocks, followed by Timiskaming-style basins of coarser clastic and minor volcanic rocks which are largely proximal to major strike-slip faults, such as the Porcupine-Destor, Cadillac–Larder Lake, and similar faults in the northern Abitibi Greenstone Belt. In addition, the Abitibi Greenstone Belt is cut by numerous late-tectonic plutons from syenite and gabbro to granite, with lesser dykes of lamprophyre and carbonatite.

The Abitibi Greenstone Belt is subdivided into seven volcanic stratigraphic episodes based on groupings of numerous U-Pb zircon ages. These episodes denote a geochronologically constrained stratigraphy (from oldest to youngest):

- Pre-2750 Ma volcanic episode 1
- Pacaud Assemblage (2750-2735 Ma)
- Deloro Assemblage (2734-2724 Ma)
- Stoughton-Roquemaure Assemblage (2723-2720 Ma)
- Kidd-Munro Assemblage (2719-2711 Ma)
- Tisdale Assemblage (2710-2704 Ma)
- Blake River Assemblage (2704-2695 Ma)

The U-Pb zircon ages and recent mapping show similarity in the timing of volcanic episodes and ages of plutonic activity between the northern and southern Abitibi Greenstone Belt, as indicated in Figure 7.1. Therefore, this geographic limit has only stratigraphic and structural significance.

The Abitibi Subprovince is bounded to the south by the Cadillac–Larder Lake Fault Zone, a major crustal structure separating the Abitibi and Pontiac subprovinces (Figure 7.1).



Modified after Thurston et al. (2008)

Figure 7.1 – Stratigraphic map of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt

The Abitibi Subprovince is bound to the north by the Opatoca Subprovince (Figure 7.1), a complex plutonic-gneiss belt formed between 2800 and 2702 Ma.

The metamorphic grade in the greenstone belt displays sub-greenschist to greenschist facies, except around plutons or approaching the Opatoca and Pontiac subprovinces and the Grenville Province, where amphibolite grade prevails.

7.2 Local Geology


The Property is located in the Northern Volcanic Zone or Harricana-Turgeon (“HT”) volcano-sedimentary belt of the Abitibi Subprovince, near the boundary between the Abitibi and Opatoca subprovinces (Figure 7.2). The HT belt overlaps the Ontario-Quebec boundary. In Ontario, the HT belt is formed by the Deloro, Porcupine and Stoughton-Roquemare assemblages of Thurston et al. (2008). In Quebec, these assemblages are recognized as the Manthet Group, the Rivière Turgeon Formation and the Brouillan-Fenelon Group, each forming a distinct geological domain. The boundaries between the geological domains are delineated by high-strain zones that include the Lower Detour (“LDDZ”) and Sunday Lake (“SLDZ”) deformation zones. The SLDZ separates the Manthet and Matagami domains, whereas the LDDZ separates the Matagami and Brouillan-Fenelon domains.

The Manthet Group, to the north of the SLDZ, has been interpreted as the equivalent of the 2730-2724 Ma Deloro assemblage. It is characterized by abundant iron-rich tholeiitic basalts and coeval gabbroic sills and dykes with minor intercalated graphitic argillites, as well as mafic and felsic volcanoclastic rocks. Ultramafic flows and intrusions at the base of the volcanic sequence are also known near the Detour gold mine and between the Fenelon claim block and the Opatoca Subprovince. The volcanic sequence is coeval to the volcanic units of the Selbaie and Matagami base metal mining camps. The degree of metamorphism and deformation within the Manthet domain increases gradually northward toward the Opatoca gneisses.

The Rivière Turgeon Formation is bound by the SLDZ in the north and the LDDZ in the south, bridging the Manthet and Brouillan-Fenelon groups, respectively. Rock types consist mostly of wackes and argillites, as well as tuffaceous units and iron formations. These sediments are interpreted to be deposited in a successor basin unconformably overlying the volcanic rocks. They are included in the Matagami Group and are considered equivalent to the Porcupine-type sediments of the southern Abitibi. The iron formations show strong lateral continuity along east-west trends. Other rock types include numerous mafic to ultramafic sill-like intrusions and at least one larger composite mafic-ultramafic intrusion. The contact between the Rivière Turgeon Formation and the Manthet Group is delineated by the SLDZ, which dips 70°-80° to the south-southwest.

The volcanic-dominated Brouillan-Fenelon Group lies to the south of the LDDZ and comprises mostly mafic volcanic rocks that are interpreted to be the equivalent of the 2723-2720 Ma Stoughton-Roquemaure Assemblage of Thurston et al. (2008). This geological domain contains a greater volume of felsic volcanic and intrusive rocks than the Manthet Group. It hosts the former-producing Selbaie volcanogenic massive sulphide (“VMS”) deposit.

The Property also encloses the southeastern edge of the Jérémie Pluton, the largest multiphase intermediate to felsic intrusion of the Harricana-Turgeon volcanic segment.



From Wallbridge (February 07, 2023): Detour Lake Mine and Zone 58N mineral resources and reserves are from Agnico second quarter results (Agnico, 2022) and from Leite (2020). The information on these adjacent properties obtained from the public domain has not been verified by the QPs. The claims owned by Archer Exploration Corp (with a 19.9% Wallbridge ownership) are not covered by this technical report. Nearby mineralized occurrences are not necessarily indicative that the Property hosts similar types of mineralization.

Figure 7.2 – Geology of the Harricana-Turgeon Belt, northwestern Abitibi Subprovince

7.3 Geology of the Property

Due to the thick glacial cover, the geology of the Property is mainly known through interpretation from drill core or mapping of the open pit and underground development on the Fenelon claim block, and the interpretation of geophysical survey results. The claim blocks that saw the bulk of the drilling on the Property are Fenelon and Martiniere.

7.3.1 Fenelon Block

The Fenelon Block is almost entirely covered by overburden, with depths ranging from 5 m to over 117 m (20 to 35 m on average). The block covers approximately 14 km of the SLDZ (Figure 7.3).

North of the SLDZ, the Fenelon Block is underlain by NW-SE trending sedimentary rocks and lesser mafic to ultramafic volcanic rocks. These rocks have been intruded by intermediate to mafic/ultramafic sills and dykes. To the northwest, the sequence is intruded by the Jérémie Pluton, an ovoid-shaped, composite felsic to intermediate intrusive body. Diorite intrusions, such as the Jérémie Diorite, extend into the Fenelon deposit area and are interpreted to be earlier phases of the Jérémie Pluton. Two distinct phases of the Jérémie Diorite have been identified to date, both of which fall within a diorite composition, but one being more mafic. One of these phases has been recently dated at 2697.11 ± 0.96 Ma (Carter, 2020) and is interpreted to be syn-tectonic. Structural zones that developed within or along the margins of these intrusive rocks have served as common focal points for gold accumulation (e.g., the Fenelon deposit).

The area of the Fenelon deposit is located within 2 km north of the SLDZ and is also covered with approximately 20-30 m of glacial overburden. The area is mainly underlain by a turbiditic sedimentary basin and the eastern margin of the Jérémie Pluton (Figure 7.3).

The sedimentary sequence consists of greywacke, siltstone, mudstone, as well as minor conglomerate (interpreted to have been deposited from turbidite flows) transitioning to argillite and graphitic argillite. Coarse-grained sedimentary rocks (greywacke, siltstone) are most abundant in the southwest, whereas finer-grained sedimentary rocks (argillite, graphitic argillite, and mudstone) dominate in the northeast. The Tabasco and Cayenne zones are hosted in this sedimentary package, mainly constrained to the finer sediments. Similarly, the Contact Zone is also mainly hosted in the sediments but formed along the margin of the Jérémie Diorite.

The Jérémie Pluton is a mesocratic medium- to coarse-grained intrusion. The pluton is not magnetic and varies in composition from diorite to granodiorite. Mafic xenoliths are often observed. The pluton contact with the sediments is not sharp; it represents a transitional zone affected by ductile deformation. The Area 51 vein network is largely hosted in the Jérémie Diorite.

The Main Gabbro is the largest intrusive body in area of the Fenelon deposit after the Jérémie Pluton. It is a multiphase ultramafic to intermediate sill complex, which is interpreted as synvolcanic differentiated sills injected into a sedimentary sequence, tilted by regional deformation; dipping steeply to the south. Ultramafic rocks are concentrated in the northeastern side of the dyke swarm, whereas intermediate to felsic, medium-grained and equigranular massive granodiorite occurs along the southwestern margin. The Main Gabbro is the host of the Gabbro Zones, the only historically known (pre-


Wallbridge) gold-bearing zones of the Fenelon deposit: Fresno, Chipotle, Anaheim, Naga Viper, Habanero and Serrano.

The sedimentary units are cut by numerous metre-scale porphyry dykes. Almost all the logged occurrences of the dykes are found cutting the sedimentary rocks adjacent to the Main Gabbro and Jérémie Diorite, with only a few inconclusive instances near the outer contact of the Main Gabbro and Jérémie diorite where the dykes maybe seen as cutting these lithologies. The dykes are characterised by mm to cm sized plagioclase and/or quartz crystals in a fine-grained, medium to dark gray matrix. The porphyry dykes form what appear to be discontinuous bodies that are mainly steeply dipping to the southwest and south, subparallel to the mineralized zones of the Tabasco/Cayenne, Gabbro and Area 51 zones. The age relationship of the porphyry dykes, the Main Gabbro and the Jérémie Diorite is unclear, each unit are currently being dated by researchers.

To the south of the Main Gabbro is a ubiquitous mafic to ultramafic dyke swarm consisting of dozens of subparallel dykes ranging from centimetres to decametres thick. These dykes are oriented oblique to the Main Gabbro, with an average dip of about 45° to the south. The Main Gabbro and mafic dyke swarm intrusive suite cross-cuts the Jérémie Diorite and is interpreted to be younger than the pluton. These mafic dykes also cut the porphyritic intrusions in the Gabbro Zones. Most mafic dykes on the Property are foliated or folded, and contacts are sheared with frequent quartz-carbonate veins. Intermediate to felsic porphyries are more competent and have sharper contacts in the sediments. To date, no post-mineralization dykes have been observed, and gold zones appear to cut across all lithologies.

Alluvial-fluvial Timiskaming-type sedimentary rocks occur within the SLDZ and consists of interbedded pebble-cobble conglomerate and greywacke that were deposited unconformably on older sedimentary units.

South of the SLDZ, the stratigraphy is dominated by E-W trending sedimentary rocks of the Rivière Turgeon Formation. Little geological information is available on this sequence due to the low level of exploration activity in this area.



From Wallbridge (2023)

Figure 7.3 – Geology of the Fenelon Block


7.3.2 Martiniere Block

The Martiniere Block is mostly flat and covered by glacial overburden that averages 22.5 m thick. Only a few outcrops are present along the Martigny River and on higher ground in the northwest part of the claim block, consisting mostly of mafic volcanic and/or intrusive rocks. The geophysical interpretation (by the MRNF) of the boundaries between lithological units suggests that most of the Property is underlain by mafic volcanics and gabbro of the Manthet Group (Figure 7.4), with lesser sedimentary rocks, felsic tuff and younger diabase dykes. Granitoid gneiss of the Opatica Subprovince underlies the northwest corner of the claim block.

Recent interpretations by the issuer, also based on work by the MERN and CONSOREM, indicate that the volcano-sedimentary package is openly folded in the deposit area. Rock types consist mostly of mafic volcanics and gabbroic sills, with minor felsic intrusions, graphitic argillite, and massive sulphides. Sulphide minerals consist almost entirely of pyrite. A younger generation of quartz porphyry intrusions locally forms subvertical dykes that play an important role in localizing gold mineralization.

The most prominent structures in the Martiniere Block area are E-W striking, possibly crustal-scale, deformation corridors like the SLDZ, which passes through the southern part of the claim block, and the smaller and more recently discovered Lac du Doigt Deformation Zone (“DDZ”), WNW-striking, cutting through the centre of the Property. Another important structure on the Property is the NNW-trending Bug Lake Fault Zone (“BLFZ”) that hosts the Bug Lake deposit. The BLFZ dips approximately 60-80° to the east and has a planar to sigmoidal form in cross-section, showing steeply dipping ramps (or “steeps”) and shallower flats. The BLFZ hosts the Bug Lake quartz porphyry and is characterized by a strong deformation fabric with silica-sericite-carbonate alteration, increased disseminated pyrite content and fault breccia texture. Alteration is associated with a set of diffuse quartz-carbonate ± pyrite veins that locally exhibit coliform texture. Movement along the BLFZ appears to have included: (1) ductile shearing as marked by increased penetrative deformation fabric in volcano-sedimentary rocks, (2) brittle shearing represented by re-healed breccia (typically with calcite in-fill), and (3) brittle faulting marked by broken ground, with clay coatings on fracture surfaces and rare fault gouge.

The Martiniere West and Central zones are hosted within the Martiniere West Trend, a more diffuse, stratiform structure marked by a weak penetrative deformation fabric, with around 1-5% disseminated pyrite and localized silicification. The Martiniere West Trend is developed within a gabbroic sill and oriented at an angle of around 60° to the BLFZ.



From Wallbridge (2023)

Figure 7.4 – Geology of the Martiniere Block

7.4 Mineralization

7.4.1 Fenelon Block

7.4.1.1 Gold

The Fenelon deposit comprises four gold-bearing domains: the Gabbro Zones in the gabbro sill complex, the Tabasco and Cayenne and Contact zones in sedimentary rocks, the Area 51 Zone in the Jérémie Diorite and adjacent sedimentary rocks, and the Ripley-Reaper zones in the southern extension of the Jérémie Diorite along the northern contact of the SLDZ (Figure 7.5).


Gabbro Zones

The Gabbro Zones (a.k.a. the Main Gabbro Zone or Discovery Gold Zone) were the only known mineralization of significance before the issuer discovered the Tabasco-Cayenne-Contact and Area 51 zones. The Gabbro Zones consist of seven (7) mineralized zones from northeast to southwest: Trinidad Scorpion, Fresno (formerly Zone B), Chipotle (formerly Zone C), Anaheim, Cayenne 3 (formerly zones D and E and Naga Viper), Habanero and Serrano. The mineralized zones are restricted to a wide corridor of intensely altered gabbro, pyroxenite and leucogabbro, typically focused along internal contacts between different intrusive pulses, between two panels of argillaceous sediments, except for the Habanero zones, which are partially hosted in sediments. The zones are primarily concentrated in a flexure where the gabbro direction changes from WNW-ESE to E-W. The zones are predominantly located at the inflection of shear zones, where the dip changes from 70° to vertical. The general rake of the Gabbro Zones is subparallel to the mineral stretching lineations. The thickness of the mineralized envelopes varies from a few centimetres to 15 m.

Two different types of mineralization are distinguished: 1) massive, laminated or brecciated silica-sulphide zones along mafic dyke contacts or as isolated, irregular, metre-scale lens-shaped bodies inside the mafic dyke complex, and 2) narrow, lenticular or commonly tabular zones of silica-sulphide sericite alteration associated with small-scale (1-30 cm) shear zones primarily positioned along narrow dyke contacts.

Silicification, the dominant alteration, serves as a guide for exploration and is the key feature in guiding underground development. The general attitude of the silicified and mineralized envelopes is subparallel to the contact between the sediments and the coarse-grained mafic intrusive.

Gold mineralization is concentrated in the silicified envelopes and is associated with pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite and pyrite. Sulphides are mainly disseminated, although where silicification is locally more intense, they are contained in quartz veins. Pyrrhotite is the dominant sulphide, accounting for up to 30% of the silicified envelopes by volume, with intervals of massive pyrrhotite up to several centimetres wide. Chalcopyrite content generally varies from trace amounts to 15%, locally up to 40%. When present, pyrite occurs in trace amounts or up to 2%. Marcasite has been observed in drill core and is locally associated with gold mineralization. Native gold is common in drill hole intersections and the wall rock of underground workings. The grain size of visible gold can reach 4 mm.



From Wallbridge (2023)

Figure 7.5 – Geology and mineralized zones of the Fenelon Gold System

Tabasco, Cayenne, and Contact zones


The Tabasco-Cayenne-Contact system was discovered in 2019. It is bounded by the Main Gabbro to the northeast and the Jérémie Diorite to the southwest (Figure 7.5). The three zones have similar geological characteristics, but the Contact Zone has a slightly different orientation. The Tabasco and Cayenne zones trend N110 and dip steeply between 70° and 90° to the south. The Contact Zone generally trends at N125 but becomes E-W where it coincides with the Jeremie Fault and dips moderately to steeply

between 50° and 90° to the north. Together, they form an anastomosing and sheared mineralized system largely controlled by the stratigraphic units and Jérémie Diorite with numerous secondary splays. Along these shear zones, internal variations in dip define dilatational segments that accompany folded and boudinaged gold-bearing shear veins. These features may represent primary ore shoots. In some places, the zones follow dyke contacts.

The dips of the Tabasco and Cayenne zones become shallower at a depth of 500 m, producing a thickening of the mineralized envelopes over a roughly 200-m vertical interval. This zone of shallower dips can be traced from section to section, plunging toward the northwest. Mineralization occurs mainly in the sediments, but the Contact Zone follows the Jérémie Diorite contact. The zones have now been traced to approximately 1200 m vertical depth (Figure 7.6 and Figure 7.7).

The mineralization is discrete with a low sulphide content (<5%) and weak quartz veining. It is mainly associated with silicification and sericitization. Gold intervals are associated with a pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite-sphalerite-arsenopyrite-pyrite-galena assemblage. Pyrrhotite alone often reflects barren intervals, indicating that gold was carried with chalcopyrite. Sulphides appear as disseminated blebs in the matrix or are found in quartz veins and as isolated stringers or semi-massive to massive veinlets and veins less than 10 cm thick. The sulphide content is generally proportional to gold grade. Arsenopyrite and pyrite appear early in the paragenesis. Free gold is common and is observed in quartz veins and the adjacent wall rock along fractures or at sulphide boundaries. The highest-grade intervals are associated with zones of massive to semi-massive sulphides, intense silica and sericite alteration, and quartz veins.

Most of the mineralization is pre- to syn-ductile deformation. Gold-sulphide-bearing veinlets, strings and blebs are sheared and stretched parallel to the foliation and stretching lineation. Sulphides have been observed in the axial planes of isoclinal folds and within the pressure shadows in boudinage necks. Chalcopyrite and free gold occasionally occur in brittle fractures perpendicular to sheared veins, indicating that part of the mineralization was remobilized late in the deformation history.



From Wallbridge (2023). Section A-A' in Figure 7.5.

Figure 7.6 – Cross-section A-A' (looking west) of the Area 51 and Tabasco-Cayenne zones

Area 51 zone

The mineralization in the Area 51 Zone (Figure 7.5) is dominantly hosted in the Jérémie Diorite but also extends into the sediments to the south and southwest. The zone is bounded by the Contact Zone to the north and northeast. The highest concentration of gold occurs where the Jérémie Diorite intrusion forms narrower stocks bounded by sediments or by sediments and a more mafic phase of the Jérémie Diorite.

Gold mineralization is mainly associated with isolated or regularly spaced subparallel sheeted translucent grey quartz veins that are generally 1-2 cm thick and rarely up to 5 cm thick. It is uncertain under what structural conditions these veins formed. The current interpretation is that the vein formed in response to stresses during the emplacement of the Jérémie Diorite or the early stages of deformation and foliation development. Subsequent deformation events (local foliation and shearing) may have localized along the inherent anisotropies caused by the sheeted veins within the Jérémie Diorite. Vein contacts are usually sharp and sheared, with chlorite selvages. The veins have also been observed to be overprinted by a sulphide-rich stage, forming composite veins. The sulphide content in the veins is generally less than 3%, although some are dominated by sulphides.

Gold-bearing sulphides also occur as dissemination or as veinlets with chlorite selvages. Pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite are the major sulphides, followed by pyrite, sphalerite, arsenopyrite, marcasite and galena. Pyrite is more common in Area 51 than in other zones. Visible gold is commonly observed as isolated blebs in quartz veins or vein selvages. It is also found at sulphide grain boundaries or in fractures inside grains. White quartz-carbonate veins are late and unmineralized.

The Area 51 model contains 75 mineralized zones consisting of clusters of gold-bearing sheeted veins occupying corridors approximately 1-50 m wide and oriented parallel to the vein orientation: striking east-northeast and steeply dipping to the southeast. The Area 51 mineralization extends from the bedrock surface to a vertical depth of 1,200 m (Figure 7.7). Additional Area 51 style mineralization was intersected at 1,600 m by one drill hole testing the system at depth, it suggests that the system is deeper than the currently outlined footprint.

Alteration minerals within the zone include sericite, chlorite, silica, biotite, and albite. Local alteration characterized by K-feldspar or iron-carbonate with hematite is also present but is likely unrelated to the gold mineralization. Alteration is moderate, selectively replacing the matrix, or strong and pervasive, destroying the primary igneous textures. The transition is gradational between altered zones and relatively fresh intrusive rock.


Ripley-Reaper gold zones

The Ripley-Reaper zones represent a southern parallel series of mineralized zones akin to the Area 51 system. The zones are located approximately 250 to 500 m south of the Area 51 system and straddle the contact of the SLDZ (Figure 7.5)

The mineralization is preferentially hosted in the more felsic phase of the Jérémie intrusion, which is surrounded (and intercalated with) the more mafic phase; however, mineralization also occurs in the mafic phase and the adjacent sediments. It is associated with a pervasive replacement silica-sericite alteration of the Jérémie intrusion that yields

a relatively consistent distribution of gold grades. Higher-grade zones are associated with quartz veins containing visible gold and moderate sulphide content and arsenopyrite-pyrite+/-chalcopyrite stockwork veins.

The overall geometry of the Ripley ore zones is interpreted to be sub-parallel to the felsic phase of the Jérémie intrusion (Ripley West), which trends N050 and dips moderately between 40° and 50° to the south or controlled by shearing (Ripley East and Reaper), which trends N240 and dips steeply between 80° and 90° to the north.



From Wallbridge (2023). Section B-B' in Figure 7.5.

Figure 7.7 – Cross-section B-B' (looking west) of the Area 51 and Tabasco-Cayenne zones

7.4.2 Grasset Block

Gold mineralization on the Grasset claim block is associated with the SLDZ.

7.4.2.1 Gold

The Grasset gold discovery was outlined by drilling (2011–2014) at the contact between strongly deformed Timiskaming-type conglomerates and a mafic intrusive of the Manthet Group in the footwall of the SLDZ. The first drill hole intersected 33.00 m grading 1.66 g/t Au, including two higher-grade intervals of 6.15 g/t Au over 4.04 m and 4.18 g/t Au over 5.00 m. The mineralization is hosted in an anastomosing quartz-carbonate vein system along the contact and is open laterally and at depth.

7.4.3 Martiniere Block

Diamond drilling on the Martiniere claim block has defined several mineralized zones or showings along structural trends. At least three pyrite-dominant VMS systems also occur on the Martiniere claim block, although generally with negligible base and precious metal contents.

7.4.3.1 Gold

Gold mineralization typically shows a close spatial association with greater amounts of: (1) disseminated to (rarely) semi-massive pyrite, (2) carbonate and/or quartz alteration and veining, and (3) brittle to ductile structures. Lithology and alteration are somewhat different on the Bug Lake and Martiniere West trends, resulting in a distinction between “Bug Lake-style” and “Martiniere West-style” mineralization.

The Bug Lake zones (Figure 7.4) cover approximately 1 km of the Bug Lake Trend, which follows the brittle-ductile BLFZ. The BLFZ occurs at a high angle across stratigraphy and hosts the Bug Lake quartz porphyry. This porphyry is one of the few known non-stratiform Archean units on the Property.

The Bug Lake zones are divided into North and South zones (Figure 7.8), both centred on the Bug Lake porphyry and the BLFZ. The fault and porphyry dip an average of 60° to 80° to the east, exhibiting a ramp-flat structure in the North Zone and a more planar structure in the South Zone. Gold mineralization occurs adjacent to both the upper and lower contacts of the Bug Lake porphyry. The contact zones consist of ankerite- and/or dolomite-altered greenstone with 1-5% disseminated pyrite. They include one or more of 1) 0.1 to 10 m wide intervals of carbonate-quartz flooding; 2) veins and/or vein breccias; and/or 3) 0.1 to 1 m intercepts with 30-70% pyrite. Accessory minerals include tourmaline, telluride, arsenopyrite, chalcopyrite, galena and sphalerite. Vein breccias comprise angular fragments of coliform-textured carbonate-quartz veins, suggesting an upper crustal setting. Gold grades are highest in pyrite-rich intervals and strongly sulphidized wall rock. Veining is likely contemporaneous with alteration.

Within the ramp-flat structure of the North zone, gold mineralization is best developed along the steeper (i.e., ramp) parts of the structure. In the South Zone, the Bug Lake porphyry exhibits a more planar morphology with mineralization along the HWSZ and FWSZ of the BLFZ. The North and South zones also show gold mineralization along lithological contacts away from the deposit, suggesting that competency contrasts

between host rocks played a role in controlling gold mineralization. Pyrite-enriched graphitic argillite and semi-massive to massive sulphide typically contain anomalous gold, but the pyrite is most likely of a different generation than that associated with the Bug Lake and Martiniere West Trends.


Narrow mineralized shear zones also occur further outboard of the Bug Lake lower and upper contact zones, or the Footwall subzones and Hanging Wall subzones (the “FWSZ” and the “HWSZ”). These narrow outlying subzones have returned some of the highest grades on the Property, with the FWSZ from the North Zone returning 8,330 g/t Au over 0.57 m and 1,255 g/t Au over 0.55 m. Examples of high-grade HWSZ include 195.5 g/t Au over 1.0 m and 36.0 g/t Au over 2.1 m.

Gold-to-silver ratios in the North and South zones indicate that mineralization is characteristic of orogenic gold deposits. Multi-element data shows a moderate positive rank correlation for gold with Ag and As ($0.6 > p > 0.3$).

The Martiniere West deposit is centred around a steep high-grade zone trending NNE comprising a series of mineralized, shallow-dipping subzones positioned obliquely to the steep trend (Figure 7.9). The Martiniere West shallow zones continue to the NE into the Martiniere Central zone. Both the Martiniere West and Central zones are hosted within the Martiniere West Trend. The Martiniere West Trend is stratigraphically concordant, 200 to 300 m wide, and defined by a weak deformation fabric, localized silicification and veining, and 1-5% disseminated pyrite. Elevated gold occurs throughout the Martiniere West Trend, but the highest grades occur within shoots hosted by silicified shear zones (“SSZ”) and/or sets of quartz-dolomite \pm sulphide veins (“QDL”). The SSZs and individual veins range from 0.1 to 10 m and 1 to 40 cm wide, respectively. Gabbro within the Martiniere West Trend is markedly non-magnetic, providing a useful marker for rocks that could host anomalous gold. Individual SSZs consist of quartz gabbro that is weakly to moderately sheared and silicified \pm sericite-altered, hosting up to 20% disseminated pyrite with trace arsenopyrite \pm chalcopyrite \pm sphalerite. The mineralogy of the QDL veins suggests that they were derived from the same fluid flow event that produced the SSZs. Grades within the SSZ and QDL intervals range from >10 g/t Au over a few metres to 1 g/t Au over several tens of metres.


Multi-element geochemistry shows that the Au:Ag ratios at Martiniere West are characteristic of orogenic gold deposits. Gold shows moderate to strong positive rank correlation with Ag, As and Pb, with average As contents (1534 ppm) significantly higher than the Bug deposit (~300-900 ppm).

Several zones are considered extensions to known mineralized areas, such as the NW Extension, also referred to as the Martiniere North, East and Southeast zones in the Bug Lake Trend. Although some of the areas have shown promising results, follow-up drilling was unable to establish continuity for the mineralization.



From Wallbridge (2023)

Figure 7.8 – Geology and mineralized zones of the Martiniere Gold System



From Wallbridge (2023). Section A-A' in Figure 7.8.

Figure 7.9 – Long section of the Martiniere West Trend

7.4.3.2 Polymetallic

There are at least three pyrite-rich VMS systems on the Martiniere claim block. Martiniere East (Figure 7.8) is located immediately east of the BLFZ. The two other occurrences are in Grid #2 and Grid #3 towards the eastern limit of the claim block. All three systems are similar, with intercepts up to 50 m (core length) of massive (>60%) to semi-massive (25-60%) sulphides. The sulphide mineralogy typically comprises >99% pyrite. The mafic volcanic host rock is strongly altered to chlorite and calcite. Massive sulphide mineralization typically grades outwards, in both directions, into semi-massive sulphide and then pyrite-rich basalt (<25% sulphide). The exceptions are the so-called 'outlying' massive sulphide layers with sharp contacts and core widths of 1 to 5 m, usually occurring at an appreciable distance from the larger massive sulphide zone.

Mean gold contents are <0.3 g/t Au for the larger systems but can average up to 1 g/t Au for the outlying layers. Base metal enrichment is generally negligible, with the highest average grade returned from the Grid #2 VMS prospect at 0.14% Zn. An exception is drill hole MDE-15-172, which intersected 2.1 m of massive sulphide that averaged 1.52% Cu and 4.2% Zn in addition to 2.8 g/t Au and 29 g/t Ag. However, nearby drill holes returned only barren intervals in massive and semi-massive sulphides.

7.4.4 Other claim blocks

Significant gold mineralization has also been found on the Detour East and Casault claim blocks (Figure 7.2). Table 7.1 summarizes the mineralization encountered during past exploration programs.

Table 7.1 – Summary of significant mineralization found on other claim blocks

| Claim Block | Mineralized Zones | Significant Results |
|-------------|----------------------|---|
| Detour East | Lynx and Rambo zones | <p>Both zones are approximately 2.2 km apart along an E-W trending deformation zone. The Lynx Zone is the westernmost of the two.</p> <p>Notable assay results for diamond drilling on Lynx include 7.78 g/t Au over 7.25 m in drill hole MS-87-08 and 4.81 g/t Au over 13.34 m in drill hole LX-93-12 (MacTavish et al., 2017). Lynx was tested over approximately 300-400 m along strike and down to 250 m vertical depth.</p> <p>The Lynx Zone comprises a gently west-plunging, quartz-sulphide vein stockwork hosted in mineralized and altered mafic volcanics and is spatially associated with a sericitized shear zone. The exact geometry of the zone is unknown. The host quartz veins are subdivided into arsenopyrite + pyrite (apy+py) and chalcopyrite + sphalerite (cpy+sp) types, with cpy+sp veins typically hosting higher grades (>8 g/t Au) than the apy+py veins. The host mafic rocks are widely altered to ankerite and sericite and typically host 1-2% py. Closer to the gold-bearing veins, volcanic host rocks are silicified and may contain disseminated arsenopyrite as well.</p> <p>Notable assay results for the Rambo Zone include 6.3 g/t Au over 2.7 m in drill hole TU-86-1 and 6.51 g/t Au over 0.7 m in drill hole TU-86-2 (Brack, 1988).</p> <p>The Rambo Zone consists of quartz veins and stringers in a sheared package of mafic volcanic rocks, greywacke and graphitic</p> |

| Claim Block | Mineralized Zones | Significant Results |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | argillite. The structural setting appears to be at the intersection of the E-W deformation zone and smaller NW-SE trending structures, with gold mineralization possibly concentrated into steeply NW-plunging shoots. The mineralized area was tested over approximately 300 m along strike and down to 200 m vertical depth. |
| Casault | Vortex Zone (a.k.a. Zone 450) | Examples of the mineralization encountered in this zone include drill hole CAS-17-95, which intersected 1.30 g/t Au over 23.5 m, including 3.46 g/t Au over 6.0 m; and drill hole CAS-17-96, which intersected 1.38 g/t Au over 26.2 m, including 7.87 g/t Au over 2.2 m. Results from the 2018 follow-up drilling in this area include drill hole CAS-18-110, which intersected 0.46 g/t Au over 25.7 m, including 3.8 g/t Au over 1.15 m. The mineralization occurs in a shear zone at the contact between Timiskaming-type sediments and Manthet Group metavolcanics, possibly coincident with the SLDZ. The W-trending, high-strain gold zone is spatially associated with subalkaline to reddish albite-sericite-hematite-altered alkaline porphyritic dykes (Castonguay et al., 2020). The mineralization in this zone was encountered over an approximate distance of 500 m along the trend and down to 250 m vertical depth. The mineralized system remains open along strike and down-dip (https://wallbridgeminining.com/our-projects/detour-gold-trend/casault/ Wallbridge website consulted February 2023). |
| | Northern part of Casault | New mineralization was intersected during the 2021 drill program on the Casault claim block. The first drill hole, CAS-21-123, targeted a regional-scale structure in the northern part, interpreted from displacement in airborne total magnetic anomalies. Gold was intersected from 254.5 to 256.5 m; 6.85 g/t Au over 2.00 m. Other drill holes in the area targeting similar interpreted structures, intersected strong shearing, sulphide mineralization (Py, Cp and Po) and alteration. Most of the results for these drill holes are still pending. The combination of an airborne magnetic survey and lithologies intersected during the 2021 drilling program prompted a re-interpretation of the regional geology of the Casault Property. The principal modifications are: 1) the magnetic highs are dominantly pillowed mafic volcanic units with local magnetite within pillow seams; 2) a large body of magnetic pyroxenite was also intersected and interpreted as a magnetic high through the area; and 3) the magnetic low in the area were also on occasion mafic volcanics, quartz-felspar porphyritic felsic intrusives or minor felsic and intermediate volcanics. |

8. DEPOSIT TYPES

The information presented in the current section is based on Faure et al. (2020), Myers and Wagner (2020) and Richard and Turcotte (2016). Other references are duly indicated where applicable.

The ore deposits and mineralized occurrences on the various claim blocks of the Property share many characteristics with the following deposit types: orogenic gold (e.g., Fenelon deposit, Bug Lake, Martiniere West and Grasset Gold) and volcanogenic massive sulphide (“VMS”) deposits (e.g., Martiniere East). Descriptions of the different deposit types are summarized below.


8.1 Orogenic Gold

Metamorphic belts like the Abitibi Greenstone Belt are complex regions where accretion or collisions have added to or thickened the continental crust. Gold-rich deposits can form at all stages of this orogen evolution so that evolving metamorphic belts contain diverse gold deposit types that may be juxtaposed or overprint each other (Figure 8.1).

Most gold deposits in metamorphic terranes are adjacent to first-order, deep-crustal fault zones (e.g., Cadillac–Larder Lake, Porcupine–Destor, Casa Berardi and Sunday Lake in the Abitibi), which show complex structural histories and may extend along strike for hundreds of kilometres, with widths up to a few thousand metres (Bleeker 2015 and Bedeaux et al., 2018). Fluid expulsion from crustal metamorphic dehydration along such zones was driven by episodes of major pressure fluctuations during seismic events.

Ores formed as simple to complex networks of gold-bearing, laminated quartz-carbonate fault-fill veins in second-order and third-order shears and faults, particularly at jogs or changes in strike along the major deformation zones. Mineralization styles vary from stockworks and breccias in shallow, brittle regimes to laminated crack-seal veins and sigmoidal vein arrays in brittle-ductile crustal regions to replacement- and disseminated-type orebodies in deeper ductile environments. Fenelon is interpreted to have been formed in the latter.

Most orogenic gold deposits occur in greenschist facies rocks, but significant orebodies can be present in lower-grade or higher-grade rocks. The mineralization is syn- to late-deformation and typically post-peak metamorphism (Gaboury, 2019). It is typically associated with iron-carbonate alteration. Gold is largely confined to the quartz-carbonate vein network, but significant amounts may also be present in iron-rich sulphidized wall-rock selvages or silicified sulphide-rich replacement zones. One of the key structural factors for gold emplacement is the late strike-slip movement event that reactivated earlier-formed structures within the orogeny, a condition that has been achieved along the SLDZ.




Note the logarithmic depth scale. Modified from Poulsen et al. (2000).

Figure 8.1 – Types of gold deposits and their inferred deposit clan

8.2 VMS Cu-Zn-(Ag-Au)

VMS deposits are a product of hydrothermal convection systems in the seafloor that are typically established within extensional tectonic settings (Figure 8.2). Thinned lithosphere and magmatism associated with rifting cause heating and changes to the seawater trapped in the adjacent volcanic strata. Heat-induced water-rock reactions result in metal leaching and the formation of hydrothermal convection systems. Long-lived hydrothermal systems ultimately discharge hot, metal-rich hydrothermal fluids from deep-penetrating, synvolcanic faults onto the seafloor or into permeable strata immediately below the seafloor to form VMS deposits. VMS deposits are mined as important sources of zinc, lead, copper, silver and/or gold and may also be endowed with cobalt, tin, selenium, manganese, cadmium, indium, bismuth, tellurium, gallium and germanium. A typical VMS deposit comprises a concordant lens of massive sulphides (greater than 60% sulphide minerals), underlain by a discordant stockwork zone typically comprising stockwork veins and stringers of vein-hosted sulphides in a pipe-like body of hydrothermally altered rock. The most abundant sulphide mineral is typically pyrite, followed by pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, sphalerite and galena.

To date, the only known VMS occurrences north of the SLDZ are Martiniere East, Grid #2 and Grid #3. However, the Manthet and Brouillan-Fenelon groups on the Property are prospective for this type of mineralization associated with mafic VMS deposits that occur in primitive oceanic back arcs. VMS mineralization associated with the felsic horizons in the eastern claim blocs is also a possibility (e.g., Grasset).



From Morgan and Schulz (2012).

Figure 8.2 – Types of VMS mineralization and tectonic settings

9. EXPLORATION

This item presents the issuer's exploration work on the Property and was modified and updated from the previous technical report on the Property (Pelletier and Nadeau-Benoit, 2021).

9.1 Surface Exploration

9.1.1 Historical core resampling

In 2016, Wallbridge quickly commenced exploring the property it acquired from Balmoral. The program on the renamed Fenelon Gold Property involved a review of historical underground drilling and a sampling program involving previously unsampled historical drill core. The assay results from the first three sample batches included one with visible gold that yielded 89.3 g/t Au over 0.35 m.

Wallbridge announced the assay results from the first two batches in the press release of November 16, 2016. Of the 176 samples (179 m), 25 (14%) returned gold values greater than 0.5 g/t. Highlights included:

- 89.30 g/t Au over 0.35 m in drill hole 1050-005
- 4.21 g/t Au over 0.72 m in drill hole 1100-001
- 3.91 g/t Au over 0.99 m in drill hole 1110-001
- 2.55 g/t Au over 1.57 m in drill hole FA-02-214

Assay results from the third batch were announced in the press release of December 5, 2016. Of the 275 new samples, 3 returned gold values greater than 5 g/t, 29 (>10%) returned >0.5 g/t, and 34 returned grades ranging from 0.5 g/t to 0.1 g/t. Highlights included:

- 19.7 g/t Au over 1.90 m in drill hole 1050-005, including:
 - 47.94 g/t over 0.75 m
 - 89.3 g/t over 0.35 m
- 8.37 g/t Au over 1.25 m in drill hole 1040-002; together with historical assays, this forms part of an intersection of 20.17 g/t Au over 6.21 m

To date, approximately 25,914 m of previously unsampled drill core have been collected.

9.1.2 Induced Polarization Survey

In January 2019, a ground OreVision® induced polarization ("IP") survey was carried out by Abitibi Geophysics Inc. ("Abitibi Geophysics") to test a 600-m strike length of the gold-hosting environment northwest of the Fenelon deposit (Chemam, 2019). Gold in the Fenelon deposit is associated with sulphides and silicification. IP was considered an appropriate exploration tool as it detects occurrences of disseminated sulphides (as low as 0.5%) and semi-massive to massive, non-conductive clusters (i.e., silicified or electrically discontinuous).

The survey covered 12 lines (from L 6+50W to L 1+00W), each 1.2 km long. The lines were regularly spaced at 50 m intervals. The aim was to map the resistivity and polarizable properties of the geological formations underlying the Property. The

parameters used by Abitibi Geophysics for this survey ($a = 25$ m, $n = 1$ to 30) made it possible to push data interpretation to a minimum depth of 300 m below the surface.

Quality control was performed both before and during data acquisition and at the base of operations. All the recorded readings were validated (100%).

The validated data were subjected to 3D inversion using the Geosoft DC-IP VOXI platform. The purpose of the inversion process is to convert surface IP/Resistivity measurements into a realistic model. From the resulting resistivity and chargeability models, Abitibi Geophysics generated contour maps of resistivity and chargeability and vertical sections as Oasis Montaj map files.

These results were integrated with existing geophysical data to produce a 3D model, which was used to guide geological modelling and drill targeting.

9.1.3 Fenelon, Casault, Harri and Grasset Airborne Magnetic Surveys

The information presented in this section is largely based on Kiavash (2020), Gagnon-Nandram & Parvar (2022) and information provided by Wallbridge geologists (internal communication, December 2022).

Detailed airborne magnetic surveys were conducted over the Fenelon, Casault, Harri and Grasset claim blocks between 2020 and 2022. The surveys used an unmanned aerial vehicle ("UAV") combined with a Satellite-based DTM (Airbus WorldDSM™) on Fenelon, and a digital surface model ("DSM") on Casault, Harri and Grasset to help minimize the possible topographic effects on the magnetic data.

The survey over the Fenelon Block was completed between June 19 and August 21, 2020. A total of 4,996 line-km at 20-m line spacing was flown, with tie lines at 200 m. The survey's tight line spacing close to the ground yielded high-resolution data. Magnetic surveys are considered an important exploration tool for the Property as they help map intrusions (e.g., gabbro and diorite rock units) and outline structures potentially related to the gold-bearing system. Magnetic surveys played a key role in the discovery of mineralization in Area 51, successfully supporting the drill testing of magnetic lows parallel to known gold mineralized zones.

The survey over the Grasset Block was completed in June 2022. It concentrated on the Eastern portion of the property, covering some of the claims acquired later by Archer through a transaction with the issuer announced on July 13, 2022. The survey was combined with a 12 m resolution DSM to help minimize the possible topographic effects on the magnetic data. The survey was designed using a regular line spacing of 40 m, 400-m spaced tie lines, and a North-South orientation covering 627.4 line-km. A total of three maps over designated claims of the Grasset Property were delivered and discussed. The survey correlates with previous observations and can be considered valid. The magnetic highs correspond to mafic intrusions and gabbro sills that are usually magnetic in drill core, although no drilling has been done in this area. The central and northern portions of the survey area are consistent with the basalts and volcanic rocks of the Manthet Group, which would explain their moderate magnetic intensity. The magnetic low in the south of the survey area corresponds to a turbiditic sedimentary basin (Riviere Turgeon Fm.). Possible folding can be inferred in the different units. This survey was conducted over an area with a thick overburden coverage, difficult to access in the summer season, and with little available data. It has proven to be an effective method that furthers the resolution of previous geophysical works. This study will help refine

potential future targets and interpret geological and structural features on the Grasset Property.

The survey over the Casault Block was concentrated on the eastern portion. A small portion of the survey over the Harri Block extended onto the Fenelon Block. Both surveys were completed in the winter of 2022. The surveys were designed using a regular line spacing of 40 m, 400-m spaced tie lines, and an orientation of 035-215° for a total of 1,024.81 line-km flown over Casault and 2,782.4 line-km over Harri. The TMI maps show significant correlations with the interpreted geology. The higher-resolution magnetic data produced by this survey will allow Wallbridge to further interpret the geology and mineralization potential and to better develop future exploration programs.

9.1.4 Fenelon, Grasset and Casault Biogeochemical Survey (Tree Bark Sampling)

Tree bark sampling can be a useful tool when exploring for gold in areas with little to no bedrock exposure due to thick overburden. Bark sampling programs were completed on the Fenelon, Grasset and Casault claim blocks, where overburden reaches more than 100 m thick.

Black spruce bark was sampled by Wallbridge personnel for both the Casault and Grasset programs. Sampled trees must have similar trunk width, height and health and grow in areas of similar tree density. A stainless-steel paint scraper was used to scratch away the textured bark at chest level, and the material was caught using a modified dustpan. Approximately 100 g of bark material was collected and stored in paper bags. For quality control, a duplicate sample was taken every 20th sample from the same tree or another tree in the same area.

A total of 159 samples were collected on the Fenelon Block (including 11 duplicates), 148 samples were collected on the Casault Block (including 16 duplicates), and 81 samples were collected on Grasset (including 4 duplicates). All samples were processed at the Actlabs laboratories in Ancaster, Ontario, using a process specifically designed for this type of biogeochemical survey (lab code “2G”). The samples were dried before being dissolved in acid and analyzed for a 63-element suite by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (“ICP-MS”).

For the survey on the Fenelon Block, two N-S lines were cut 850 m apart, totalling 3.5 km and were sampled at a 25 m spacing between samples. The first line, the East line, was located southeast of the mine site (historical open pit and ramp of the Fenelon deposit), east of Area 51. The second line, the West line, was located over the western portion of the mine site, where some drill holes intersected near-surface mineralization.

The initial observation from the raw biogeochemistry data showed promising results, although the effect of glacial dispersion appears to influence some of the elements. The program also helped determine the elements useful to detect mineralization for the Fenelon deposit within till-covered bedrock: Ag, As, B, Ba, Bi, K, Ca, Fe, Hg and Ti.

The southern part of the East line showed an anomaly in Au, As, Cu, Ag, Bi, Pb and Ti that does not correlate to any known mineralization. Anomalies on the West line in Au, As, Cu, Ag, Bi, Sb, Pb, Ti, Tl and Th were observed above the near-surface mineralization intersected by drill holes; other zones with projected low-grade shells close to the surface do not show similar anomalies on the West line.

For the survey on the main Casault Block, two sets of 2 lines were completed on the Vortex and Casault South zones, with 300 m between lines and 50 m between samples. The objective of the Casault biogeochemical survey was to:

- Correlate known gold occurrences (in the Vortex Zone) with biogeochemical results; the center of the western transect overlies one of the highest gold intersections of the Property.
- Identify anomalies to generate potential drill targets.

The initial observation from the raw biogeochemistry data shows an isolated high gold occurrence in this area. At Casault South, the northern portion of the eastern transect presents punctual gold anomalies associated with a slight elevation in bismuth. Copper is also anomalous in that part of the survey. However, a significant amount of the anomalous values is dispersed over the different sampling locations, making it difficult to generate targets with this survey alone.

Follow-up work and further treatment will be completed to assess these anomalies and determine if they are representative of possible mineralization in the areas sampled.

9.1.5 Casault and Casault East Mapping Program

Small mapping programs were completed by Wallbridge personnel on the main Casault claim block in the summer of 2021 and on the eastern Casault claim blocks in the fall of 2021.

Multiple outcrops of mafic volcanic rocks and gabbro were observed during the 4-day summer mapping program. Veins included milky quartz veins and carbonate-epidote veins with trace pyrite. A total of 15 samples were collected, with one blank for quality control purposes. All samples were sent for gold analysis by fire assay and whole rock analyses, and one of the samples was sent for additional metals analysis.

For the fall program, a small mapping program was completed on the eastern part of the Casault Block. Four outcrops were examined during three days of mapping. Three of the outcrops were mafic volcanics with quartz-carbonate veins, with some displaying chlorite margins. Seven (7) samples were collected from this outcrop (4 from veins and 3 from mafic volcanics). The last outcrop was finely bedded argillite or mudstone; no veins were observed on the outcrop (1 sample was collected but not assayed). The seven (7) samples from the veined volcanic outcrop were sent for gold by fire assay along with one blank for quality control, and three (3) of the outcrop samples were also sent for whole rock analyses.

Even though the samples submitted for assays did not return any anomalous results, the veining and pervasive sericite and chlorite alteration indicate the presence of hydrothermal activity in the area. Additional exploration work (mapping campaign, till sampling, sonic drilling, geophysics methods) on the Casault Property to further investigate the prospectivity of the area.

9.1.6 Casault East and Harri Till Sampling Program

A till sampling program was conducted on the east block of the Casault (Casault East) Property, and an outcrop reconnaissance survey followed by a till sampling program were conducted on the Harri Property during the fall of 2022. The relatively thin overburden at the Casault East and Harri Properties makes it a prime location for testing till sampling

as a vector for mineralization along the SLDZ. The Casault East and Harri programs were both sampled by Wallbridge personnel.

The traverses were all completed on foot, and the samples were collected using a hand auger and shovel at intervals of 75-100 m, depending on the terrain. For each sample, approximately 0.3 kg of representative till was collected from the B and C soil units and described in terms of colour, grain size, plasticity, composition, and pebble content. The samples were placed in soil sample bags that were labelled with the station number. If till had not yet been intersected at the maximum depth of the hand auger (130 cm), no sample was collected.

At the end of each field day, the sample bags were opened and left in an empty office to dry for several days. When sufficiently dry, the samples were placed in a plastic sample bag with an assigned sample tag from Bureau Veritas Laboratories. The plastic bag was labelled with the sample tag number and sealed for shipment to the Bureau Veritas laboratory in Timmins, Ontario. OREAS 46 and OREAS 47 blanks were added to the sample sequence after every ten (10) samples for quality assurance purposes. Wallbridge employees conducted all sample handling before their shipment to the laboratory.

For the Casault East program, three till sampling traverses were completed and 34 till samples were submitted for geochemical analysis. Two areas of elevated Ag were identified down-ice from prospective structures associated with the SLDZ. Relatively elevated Cu-Pb-Zn-Fe concentrations in the southern work area may indicate base metal mineralization associated with mafic volcanic rocks in the up-ice direction. No anomalous Au values were observed, and the lack of correlation between Au and the other elements of interest indicates that the relative enrichments of Ag, Cu, Pb and Zn are unlikely to be significant for gold exploration. Their enrichment does, however, indicate the presence of some metal enrichment in the area.

Outcrop reconnaissance traverses were completed for the Harri program, but no outcrops were encountered during either traverse. It was followed by three till sampling traverses, along which 52 till samples were collected for geochemical analysis. Three areas of anomalous metal content were identified, with elevated Ag-Mo concentrations in the northern region of the study area, elevated Cu-Pb-Zn-As-Fe in the eastern region, and elevated Au in the central region.

9.1.7 Magnetic Gradiometer Survey

A Heli-GT helicopter-towed, three-axis magnetic gradiometer survey was flown by Scott Hogg and Associates ("SHA Geophysics") on behalf of Kirkland Lake Gold (now Agnico) over the southeastern part of the Detour East Property on January 23, 2022, and from January 26 to January 30, 2022 (Fournier, 2022). A total of 1147 km of data was collected. The line spacing was 50 m (North-South direction), and the nominal terrain clearance of the four magnetometers was 30 m. The control spacing was 1000 m was completed in a East-West direction. The magnetometers measured the total field magnetics and the three orthogonal gradients. The measured magnetic gradients were used to produce an enhanced gridding total magnetic field grid using SHA Geophysics' proprietary gradient gridding algorithms. This yielded a significantly higher-resolution magnetic survey than flown before, which was useful for interpreting the area's geology. Previous surveys, such as the VTEM survey (GM63646), provided additional information to interpret the data. The interpretation divided the area into regions of similar magnetic

intensity, lineation, and texture. Where possible, based on magnetic intensity values, the areas have been interpreted to be various geological units. A few faults were also interpreted from the dataset (Munro, 2022; Lo, 2022).

The interpreted geology map should be correlated with geology known from drill results or mapping to produce a better map. Areas showing structural complexity, which may be prospective for gold mineralization, should be prospected or examined further.

9.1.8 2022 Field Program on Detour East Block (Completed by Agnico)

The 2022 Field Program completed by Agnico (JV with the issuer on the Detour East Block, see Section 4.3) consisted of mapping and prospecting, high-resolution drone imagery, soil sampling and a review of historical core.

Outcrops were identified either by satellite imagery, from previous work, or by fly overs in the helicopter. Four days were spent traversing 28km of the Turgeon River on the property with the two zodiacs. Several large outcrops were mapped in details and flown with a high-resolution drone. The Massicotte deformation zone crosses the Turgeon River in several locations and efforts were taken to locate any outcrops in these areas. An additional three days of field mapping used the helicopter to visit outcrops not accessible by boat.

No significant gold values were returned from the twenty-six (26) samples submitted. Geological compilation of all previous data is ongoing. Eleven (11) samples of volcanic and intrusive rocks collected over the summer were sent for major, trace and rare earth elements to help geochemically classify these rocks, results are pending (Agnico, 2023).

9.2 Underground Exploration

9.2.1 Bulk Sample

Following the 2017 surface drilling program, the issuer updated the interpretation of the mineralized zones and planned a bulk sampling program. Dewatering of the Fenelon pit and underground infrastructure was completed by mid-Q2 2018. Underground development began on June 10, 2018.

The bulk sampling program was completed in Q1 2019. As part of this program, the issuer completed approximately 2,100 m of underground development, establishing four mining horizons and the infrastructure required to mine the first vertical 100 m of the deposit. The development program was designed to meet the operating requirements for a 400 tpd operation.

From September 2018 to February 2019, ore was processed at the Camflo Mill near Val-d'Or. Production was from five (5) stopes and low-grade ore that remained after the 2004 bulk sample. The issuer's bulk sampling plan included this low-grade ore as part of the first mill run while milling performance was optimized. Lessons learned from the first mill run were applied to the next mill runs to achieve recoveries above 98%.

The results of the 2018-2019 bulk sample were as follows:

- Stope grades ranged from 10.94 to 38.33 g/t Au
- 33,233 t of ore yielded a reconciled average grade of 18.49 g/t Au containing 19,755 oz

- 2,277 t of low-grade ore (the remaining material from the 2004 bulk sample) yielded a reconciled grade of 4.23 g/t Au for a gold content of 310 oz

These results were used to calibrate the Gabbro Zones interpolation parameters for the 2021 and 2023 MRE.

Figure 9.1 provides a 3D view of the development for the bulk sample and the mined stopes. A summary of the results is also shown.




Figure 9.1 – 3D view and results of the 2018-2019 bulk sample

9.2.2 Exploration Drift

Since 2019, the issuer developed an exploration drift starting from the 2018/2019 underground bulk sample development. This exploration drift was developed mainly in 2021 and completed by January 2022. It totalled approximately 1,800 m between the Area 51 and Tabasco areas. The development was successful in providing access to Area 51 mineralization for the first time and establishing drilling platforms that can be used for future underground drilling. The development reaches approximately 180 vertical metres below the surface.

9.2.3 Underground Geological Mapping and Sampling

The new development provided the opportunity to perform muck and chip sampling and detailed geological and structural mapping. Exposures to typical Area 51 mineralization and its main host rock, the Jérémie Diorite, increased confidence in the continuity and robustness of the networks of sulphide-rich quartz veins characteristic of this zone. Detailed face and back mapping and collecting structural data also helped to better understand the structural controls and lithological contacts.

Additionally, a MAPTEK 3D scan (survey) was conducted in March 2022, and structural picking using PointStudio software allowed for further observations and interpretation.

An estimated total of 2,836 t of underground material, corresponding to 12 rounds, or approximately 30 m, were removed from the Area 51 Zone, with muck samples returning an average grade of 1.94 g/t Au. In addition to muck sampling, face and wall chip sampling was also performed, resulting in a better understanding of grade distribution. The highest gold value from a chip sample returned 54.46 g/t Au and was associated with a quartz vein.

10. DRILLING

This section includes a summary of the issuer's drilling activities on the Property from February 2, 2017, to December 14, 2022.

Drilling data was provided by the issuer's geology team or obtained by the QPs during their site visits and subsequent discussions.

Highlights of historical drilling by former owners are presented in Item 6.

10.1 Drilling Methodology

Drilling was carried out by Youdin-Rouillier Drilling and Major/Norex Drilling (2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022), Jacob & Samuel Drilling Ltd (2017 and 2021) and Foraco Canada Ltd (2018). Drilling was conducted with NQ calibre (47.6 mm core diameter) and included downhole orientation surveys. The surveys were performed by the contractor, and results were transferred to Wallbridge geologists digitally or on paper after each work shift.

Deviation surveys in 2017 consisted of single-shot measurements taken every 30 m while drilling using a Reflex tool (REFLEX EZ-SHOT™) and multi-shot measurements every 10 m in the completed drill hole using the North-Seeking Gyro instrument.

From 2018 through 2022, deviation surveys used the REFLEX EZ-TRAC™ and REFLEX GYRO SPRINT-IQ™ tools to record deviation measurements every 6 to 12 m for underground drill holes, and the REFLEX EZ-GYRO™ tool every 12 m for surface drill holes.

Since September 2018, oriented drill core has been obtained from most surface and underground holes using the REFLEX ACT III RD™ system.

Wallbridge geologists used front-sight and back-sight stakes to align the direction of drilling at the collar position. The drillers aligned the rig with these markers and started the hole. In 2017, the geologists used the Mazac Easy Aligner to set up the sight markers, but the REFLEX TN14 GYROCOMPASS™ has been used since 2018. Collars were later surveyed by the issuer's surveyors using an RTK system or a Total Station.

Generally, holes are drilled with maximum stabilization using 6-m hexagonal core barrels with a 36" or 18" shell on the surface and 3-m hexagonal core barrels with an 18" shell underground.

As per the issuer's standard procedures, the driller helper places the core into core boxes at the rig, marking off every 3-m run with wooden blocks. Once a box is full, the helper wraps it in tape. Drillers deliver the core to the issuer's core logging facility daily.

When the drill hole is completed, the collars of surface drill holes are capped with metal reflective flags, whereas underground drill holes are marked with metal tags screwed either into the rock or to the casing displaying the drill hole number.

10.2 Core Logging Procedures

In the core shack, Wallbridge employees place the boxes on logging tables and check that the core is continuous and that distances are correctly indicated on the wooden blocks placed every 3 m. The core is measured, and each box is labelled with an aluminum tag displaying the drill hole number, box number and depth interval. The

geologists rotate the core so that all the pieces are oriented one way, showing a cross-sectional view.

When working with the REFLEX ACT III RD™ system to produce oriented drill core, the core is lined up according to the driller's marks drawn at the end of each 3-m drill interval indicating the lower portion of the drill hole. Once the geologist can join all the pieces of the core back together in a 3-m interval, a blue line joining the marks is traced on the underside of the core.

For every 3-m run, the total length of fragments shorter than 10 cm is recorded in the RQD log, and the number of naturally occurring fractures in each section are counted and recorded. If core loss is observed, this is also entered. The log automatically calculates the RQD value for the section. Core recovery percentages are calculated over the same sections.

Geological logging is then performed, recording the following features in the acQuire software: lithology, grain size and texture, colour, alteration type and strength, sulphide type and concentrations, veining details (type, width and density), and structural features (foliation, shearing, brecciation, faulting).

If the core is oriented, the alpha and beta angles of structural features are measured using a protractor and a metal ring tool, respectively.

Geologists have access to an XRF analyzer for rapid material characterization. The XRF analyzer is mostly used to help geologists identify uncertain lithological units.

Sampling intervals are marked with a red marker. Sample boundaries respect lithological boundaries and/or major changes in alteration/mineralization. Sample numbers are written on the core boxes corresponding to the pre-printed sample tags placed in the box for each sample interval. A photographic record of both dry core and wet is taken of every core box and stored on the server and also archived in Wallbridge's Imago Cloud Library.

Sample lengths typically range from 0.5 to 1.5 m. Once logged and labelled, samples are sawn in half using a circular rock saw. One half of the core is placed in a plastic bag along with a detached portion of the unique bar-coded sample tag for shipment to the laboratory, and the other half of the core is returned to the core box, and the remaining tag portion is stapled in place.

The witness drill core is stored onsite, either outside in core racks or in the Megadome structure. An Excel spreadsheet serves as an inventory of the location of every box in the core storage area.

10.3 2017 to 2022 Drilling Programs


The issuer drilled 1073 drill holes (surface and underground) on the Property from 2017 to 2022, for a total of 474,000 m. Table 10.1 summarizes the issuer's annual drilling totals.

Figure 10.1 shows the positions of the drill holes by year on the Fenelon Block, Figure 10.2 shows the 2021 drill holes on the Martiniere Block, and Figure 10.3 shows the 2021 drill holes on the Casault Block. The reader is referred to Figure 7.6 From Wallbridge (2023). Section A-A' in Figure 7.5.

Figure 7.6 and Figure 7.7 for representative examples of drill sections on the Fenelon deposit and Figure 7.9 for the Martiniere deposit.

Table 10.1 – Summary of 2017 to 2022 drilling programs

| Year | Claim Block | Surface | | Underground | | Total | |
|-------|-------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | | Drill hole Count | Length (m) | Drill hole Count | Length (m) | Drill hole Count | Length (m) |
| 2017 | Fenelon | 33 | 6,346 | - | - | 33 | 6,346 |
| 2018 | Fenelon | 21 | 7,412 | 92 | 10,902 | 113 | 18,314 |
| 2019 | Fenelon | 64 | 45,830 | 167 | 31,556 | 231 | 77,386 |
| 2020 | Fenelon | 127 | 96,889 | 49 | 3,130 | 176 | 100,019 |
| 2021 | Fenelon | 240 | 111,283 | 13 | 2,847 | 253 | 114,130 |
| | Casault | 13 | 5,256 | - | - | 13 | 5,256 |
| | Martiniere | 13 | 9,384 | - | - | 13 | 9,384 |
| | Grasset | 5 | 3,118 | - | - | 5 | 3,118 |
| 2022 | Fenelon | 185 | 114,471 | 3 | 450 | 188 | 114,921 |
| | Casault | 3 | 993 | - | - | 3 | 993 |
| | Martiniere | 40 | 21,387 | - | - | 40 | 21,387 |
| | Grasset | 5 | 2,786 | - | - | 5 | 2,786 |
| TOTAL | | 749 | 425,155 | 324 | 48,885 | 1073 | 474,040 |



Some of the regional drill holes (remote from current mineral resource) were drilled by Balmoral prior to being acquired by Wallbridge in 2020. Please refer to Table 10.1 for the drill hole count completed by the issuer during these years.

Figure 10.1 – Holes drilled on the Fenelon Block from 2017 to 2022




Figure 10.2 – Holes drilled by Wallbridge on the Martiniere Block in 2021-2022





Figure 10.3 – Holes drilled by Wallbridge on the Casault Block in 2021-2022



Note: These holes were drilled by the issuer prior to the transaction with Archer.

Figure 10.4 – Holes drilled by Wallbridge on the Grasset Block in 2021-2022

10.3.1 2017 Drilling Program

In 2017, the main objective was to use surface drill holes to expand the exploration targets near existing infrastructure and above a depth of 150 m. Mineralization was confirmed to a distance of 120 m from the existing deposit, and two new gold-bearing structures were identified. Table 10.2 presents the most significant results from the 2017 program.

Table 10.2 – Significant results of the 2017 drilling program

| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone/Corridor |
|----------|----------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|
| FA-17-07 | 122.10 | 129.16 | 7.06 | 141.16 | Naga Viper |
| FA-17-17 | 134.86 | 137.92 | 3.06 | 311.08 | |
| FA-17-26 | 139.83 | 146.85 | 7.02 | 260.44 | |
| FA-17-27 | 130.12 | 134.85 | 4.73 | 80.42 | Habanero |
| FA-17-31 | 45.60 | 46.62 | 1.02 | 18.95 | Cayenne |
| FA-17-32 | 105.55 | 106.21 | 0.66 | 11.30 | Habanero |

10.3.2 2018 Drilling Program

In 2018, the issuer conducted an underground and surface diamond drilling program. The underground campaign ran from early June to the end of December. The aim of the surface program, which ran from August to December, was to follow known mineralized zones to depths of 300 to 400 m and to test for additional zones away from the mine workings.

Mineralized zones containing chalcopyrite, an indicator mineral for the gold-bearing system, were intersected in nine (9) of the drill holes. Visible gold was observed in two drill holes, FA-18-038 at a vertical depth of 325 m and drill hole FA-18-051 at a vertical depth of 380 m, making them the deepest occurrences of visible gold at that time on the Property. Other deep (500 to 650 m) holes drilled during the program (FA-18-040, FA-18-044 and FA-18-047) confirmed the depth extensions of the host lithologies (i.e., gabbro) and the mineralized shear zones. Table 10.3 presents the highlights.

Table 10.3 – Significant results of the 2018 drilling program

| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone/ Corridor | Target |
|-------------|----------|--------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---|
| 18-1035-019 | 72.50 | 77.35 | 4.85 | 137.63 | Naga Viper | High-grade shoots down to the 5130 level (~120 m depth) using a spacing of 6 to 7 m to validate the geological model and demonstrate the continuity of high-grade shoots. |
| 18-1035-005 | 58.77 | 64.90 | 6.13 | 48.81 | | |
| 18-1035-017 | 56.00 | 66.13 | 10.13 | 50.31 | Chipotle | |
| 18-1035-013 | 27.36 | 29.48 | 2.12 | 144.96 | | |
| 18-5175-021 | 104.45 | 110.55 | 6.10 | 144.77 | Naga Viper | The high-grade domain in this mineralized structure shows continuity over 20 drill intersections. |
| 18-0990-007 | 132.02 | 134.97 | 2.95 | 122.35 | | |
| 18-0990-011 | 104.41 | 112.20 | 7.79 | 54.45 | | |
| 18-0990-010 | 111.40 | 116.92 | 5.52 | 41.02 | | |
| 18-0990-017 | 106.83 | 108.53 | 1.70 | 134.57 | Chipotle | The western end of the Main Gabbro zones. |
| 18-1000-009 | 31.23 | 33.39 | 2.16 | 87.63 | Fresno | |
| 18-1030-009 | 77.58 | 81.00 | 3.42 | 35.91 | Naga Viper | |
| FA-18-051 | 501.46 | 506.24 | 4.78 | 3.13 | Area 51 | A previously unknown, approximately 200-m-wide package of favourable intermediate to mafic host rocks with low-grade gold mineralization throughout. |
| and | 543.00 | 552.96 | 9.96 | 4.09 | | |
| and | 593.50 | 596.90 | 3.40 | 5.16 | | |
| and | 633.00 | 634.44 | 1.44 | 5.92 | | |
| FA-18-038 | 440.46 | 441.46 | 1.00 | 29.90 | Tabasco | Interpreted to be the depth extension of the Tabasco Zone. |
| FA-18-038 | 213.39 | 216.38 | 2.99 | 4.70 | Habanero | |
| FA-18-040 | 276.00 | 276.58 | 0.58 | 19.18 | Cayenne | Extends the Cayenne Zone approximately 100 m to the northwest. |
| FA-18-040 | 531.00 | 534.27 | 3.27 | 3.08 | Tabasco | A new zone at depth in the Tabasco South area. |

10.3.3 2019 Drilling Program

The underground infill drilling component of the 2019 program was designed to extend known zones below the 2018/2019 bulk sample development to a depth of 350 m. It was performed from the 5150 level and from the 230-m-long exploration drift on the 5130 level (125 m depth). The completion of this exploration drift by the end of February 2019 facilitated mineral resource drilling to greater depths (approximately 350-400 m) and

along strike, including the Tabasco and Cayenne corridors, as well as the newly discovered Area 51 system.

The surface exploration drilling component expanded the footprint of the Fenelon Gold System to a strike length of 1,000 m, a width of 600 m along the margin of the Jérémie Diorite, and a vertical depth of 850 m. In addition to the known NW-SE structural trend, the campaign confirmed the Area 51 Zone as an ENE-WSW trend controlling high-grade mineralization. Table 10.4 presents the highlights.

Table 10.4 – Significant results of the 2019 drilling program

| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone/Corridor | Target |
|-----------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------|---------------------------------|---|
| FA-19-052 | 477.56 | 576.47 | 98.91 | 2.81 | Area 51 | The first drill hole of the 2019 surface drilling program (FA-19-052) confirmed the significance of Area 51, a previously unknown corridor that had been discovered in the last drill hole of the 2018 program (FA-18-051), approximately 300 m west of the bulk sample area. |
| including | 565.25 | 576.47 | 11.22 | 15.93 | | |
| and | 493.76 | 500.00 | 6.24 | 8.71 | | |
| and | 482.90 | 485.50 | 2.60 | 4.57 | | |
| and | 516.34 | 518.70 | 2.36 | 5.63 | | |
| FA-19-059 | 665.70 | 676.74 | 11.04 | 17.58 | Cayenne | The high-grade gold mineralization hosted by the Main Gabbro was also extended to a vertical depth of 600 m. |
| FA-19-086 | 595.67 | 643.68 | 48.01 | 22.73 | Tabasco | A shear zone in near-surface sediments, the Tabasco Zone is extended to a vertical depth of 850 m, showing continuity and increasing gold endowment with depth as it approaches more favourable host rocks, like the Jérémie Pluton or the Main Gabbro. |
| FA-19-103 | 785.00 | 804.00 | 19.00 | 43.47 | | |
| FA-19-094 | 717.45 | 727.15 | 9.70 | 32.18 | | |
| FA-19-099 | 1008.45 | 1044.00 | 35.55 | 4.16 | | |
| FA-19-052 | 362.50 | 590.30 | 227.80 | 1.46 | Area 51 | The continuity of mineralization in the Area 51 system is now suggested by several intersections that include wide intersections of near-surface gold mineralization. |
| including | 565.25 | 576.47 | 11.22 | 15.93 | | |
| FA-19-080 | 131.84 | 202.83 | 70.99 | 1.21 | | |
| including | 131.84 | 139.13 | 7.29 | 5.13 | | |
| FA-19-059 | 307.83 | 386.15 | 78.32 | 1.02 | | |
| including | 368.55 | 386.15 | 17.60 | 3.28 | | |
| FA-19-065 | 321.95 | 513.85 | 191.90 | 0.98 | | |
| including | 463.47 | 476.18 | 12.71 | 5.00 | | |
| FA-19-089 | 714.12 | 714.63 | 0.51 | 83.18 | Geological - geophysical target | Potential for Area 51-style gold mineralization along the approximately 4-km strike length of the Jérémie Diorite. |

10.3.4 2020 Drilling Program

Six (6) drill rigs were operating on the Property for the 2020 program. Five concentrated on exploration drilling from the surface, forming widely spaced step-outs to define the footprint of the Fenelon Gold System, with a particular focus on testing Area 51. The sixth was used for closely spaced underground definition drilling in the **Gabbro Zones** near the mine's underground workings. Table 10.5 presents the highlights.

Table 10.5 – Significant results of the 2020 drilling program

| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone | Target |
|-------------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------|---------------------------------|---|
| FA-20-181 | 699.00 | 799.60 | 100.60 | 5.07 | Tabasco-Cayenne shear zones | Expands the Tabasco-Cayenne-Area 51 mineralization on the original Fenelon Gold Property |
| FA-20-128 | 844.00 | 900.00 | 56.00 | 4.84 | | |
| FA-20-134 | 1001.45 | 1053.15 | 51.70 | 4.06 | | |
| including | 1001.45 | 1005.10 | 3.65 | 41.01 | | |
| FA-20-116 | 617.50 | 676.00 | 58.50 | 1.70 | Jérémie Diorite-hosted Area 51 | Potentially open pit / bulk-mineable intercepts |
| FA-20-113 | 585.10 | 667.50 | 82.40 | 1.01 | | |
| FA-20-186 | 99.60 | 174.00 | 74.40 | 1.24 | | |
| FA-20-115 | 510.50 | 549.00 | 38.50 | 2.06 | | |
| FA-20-116 | 661.15 | 676.00 | 14.85 | 5.77 | | |
| FA-20-115 | 510.50 | 517.00 | 6.50 | 9.28 | | |
| 19-0915-020 | 411.20 | 417.20 | 6.00 | 7.18 | | |
| FA-20-107 | 541.75 | 545.85 | 4.10 | 19.55 | | |
| FA-20-118 | 387.00 | 387.50 | 0.50 | 307.74 | | |
| FA-20-128 | 166.60 | 167.20 | 0.60 | 121.00 | | |
| 19-0915-025 | 226.90 | 227.60 | 0.70 | 78.21 | | |
| FA-20-160 | 508.00 | 513.35 | 5.35 | 13.03 | Area 51 West Extension | Expands the Area 51 vein network 500 m to the west |
| including | 512.75 | 513.35 | 0.60 | 106.00 | | |
| FA-20-165 | 275.40 | 281.05 | 5.65 | 6.76 | | |
| including | 276.90 | 278.85 | 1.95 | 18.89 | Western part of Area 51 | Demonstrates the growing open pit mineral resource potential, especially in Area 51. Near-surface intercepts in the western part of Area 51 |
| FA-20-185 | 73.55 | 94.00 | 20.45 | 5.95 | | |
| and | 124.00 | 164.95 | 40.95 | 1.05 | | |
| FA-20-186 | 99.60 | 174.00 | 74.40 | 1.24 | Gabbro Zones: Eastern Extension | Discovery drill hole for the Eastern Extension of the Gabbro Zones, located ~140 m along strike to the east |
| FA-20-219 | 373.60 | 390.00 | 16.40 | 17.79 | | |
| including | 374.70 | 378.00 | 3.30 | 76.98 | | |
| and | 384.70 | 390.00 | 5.30 | 6.65 | | |

10.3.5 2021 Drilling Program (Completed by the Issuer)

During the 2021 drilling program, one (1) of the drill rigs operated underground, committed to the infill drilling program on the Tabasco-Cayenne system. The rig started drilling from the exploration drift in late September 2021. Nine (9) other rigs were dedicated to the surface expansion and definition drilling of the Fenelon Gold System and the regional drilling program on the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend. The focus of the definition and exploration program was the infilling of the Tabasco-Cayenne Zones and the western extension of the Area 51 Zone. The regional drilling programs on the Martiniere and Casault claim blocks tested the possible extensions of the Martiniere mineralized zones and the grassroots exploration targets on Casault. Table 10.6 presents the highlights.

Table 10.6 – Significant results of the 2021 drilling program

| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone | Target |
|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|--|
| FA-21-297 | 38.65 | 52.70 | 14.05 | 11.60 | Area 51 | Expand the Area 51 near-surface footprint to the northwest. |
| including | 38.65 | 39.15 | 0.50 | 201.00 | | |
| and | 47.70 | 48.20 | 0.50 | 117.00 | | |
| FA-21-228 | 124.50 | 130.20 | 5.70 | 34.99 | | Expand the Area 51 near-surface footprint to the southwest. |
| including | 124.50 | 125.05 | 0.55 | 351.00 | | |
| FA-21-269 | 62.40 | 87.30 | 24.90 | 23.70 | | Expand the Area 51 near-surface gold mineralization into the western-southwestern portion. |
| including | 84.40 | 87.30 | 2.90 | 196.29 | | |
| FA-21-241 | 277.00 | 324.50 | 47.50 | 3.46 | | Demonstrate Area 51 high-grade continuity near the surface, above 300 m vertical depth. |
| including | 295.35 | 297.85 | 2.50 | 52.38 | | |
| FA-21-247 | 269.00 | 302.70 | 33.70 | 1.04 | | |
| including | 298.70 | 302.70 | 4.00 | 5.31 | | |
| FA-21-264A | 319.40 | 332.90 | 13.50 | 1.93 | | Demonstrates the gold mineralization of the Area 51 Zone below 300 m vertical depth. |
| and | 403.60 | 404.10 | 0.50 | 92.38 | | |
| FA-21-224 | 872.20 | 883.00 | 10.80 | 2.23 | | |
| including | 872.20 | 876.20 | 4.00 | 4.12 | | |
| FA-21-221-W4 | 1067.95 | 1072.50 | 4.55 | 16.67 | Tabasco-Cayenne-Contact Zone | Demonstrates the depth continuity of the high metal factor of the Tabasco zone. |
| FA-21-226-W1 | 1084.15 | 1094.50 | 10.35 | 8.57 | | |
| including | 1084.15 | 1086.80 | 2.65 | 29.94 | | |
| FA-21-226-W1-W2 | 1038.00 | 1076.10 | 38.10 | 4.99 | | |
| including | 1067.00 | 1075.50 | 8.50 | 15.81 | | |


| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone | Target |
|------------|----------|--------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------|--|
| MDE-21-328 | 805.40 | 842.00 | 36.60 | 2.21 | | A new zone at depth along the Martiniere West Gabbro that is 140 m vertically below the deepest historic intersections of Bug Lake South. |
| including | 805.40 | 808.50 | 3.10 | 14.15 | | |
| and | 825.00 | 827.00 | 2.00 | 10.18 | | |
| FA-21-305 | 232.00 | 242.00 | 10.00 | 9.00 | Gabbro Zones – East Extension | Confirms the presence of strong gold mineralization in the previous discovery drill hole east of the Main Gabbro Zone. |
| including | 236.50 | 239.85 | 3.35 | 18.56 | | |
| MDE-21-326 | 300.00 | 322.50 | 22.50 | 3.68 | Martiniere Bug Lake North | Expands the Bug Lake North, approximately 100 m down-plunge of previous historical intersections. |
| including | 301.60 | 303.60 | 2.00 | 13.78 | | |
| and | 309.00 | 314.00 | 5.00 | 6.45 | | |
| MDE-21-330 | 649.50 | 660.00 | 10.50 | 3.83 | | Expands the zone at approximately 150 m down-plunge from the previous historical intersections. |
| including | 650.90 | 655.50 | 4.60 | 6.84 | | |
| CAS-21-123 | 254.50 | 256.50 | 2.00 | 6.85 | Casault | Grassroots exploration target testing interpreted structures on the airborne magnetic survey in the northern part of the Casault Property. |

10.3.6 2021 Drilling Program (Detour East Block - Completed by Kirkland Lake)

Following the JV agreement of September 14, 2020 with the issuer and Kirkland Lake Gold (now Agnico) on the Detour East Block (Section 4.3). Kirkland Lake completed in 2021, a surface diamond drilling campaign on the Detour East Block focusing on testing geologic and geophysical targets in proximity to the SLDZ and on interpreted accessory structures. These targets occurred along the interpreted fault trace which crosses the northern portion of the Detour East Block. Eleven (11) drill holes (, totaling 4,671.8 m which were drilled at ten separate and distinct locations within the Detour East Block boundaries (Figure 10.5).

Significant shear or deformation zones were intersected in several drill holes confirming the presence of accessory structures to the SLDZ. The best gold result returned was from DTE-21-52 (1.79 g/t Au over 1.0 m) which indicates the presence of gold bearing structures in the area that may warrant further drilling.

Despite relatively weak gold results received to date, several favourable zones of pyrite mineralization were intersected in the sedimentary package of rocks and most importantly in the graphitic argillite units (Kirkland Lake, 2022).



Modified after Kirkland Lake (2022)

Figure 10.5 – Holes drilled by Kirkland Lake on the Detour East Block in 2021

10.3.7 2022 Drilling Program

The 2022 surface diamond drilling campaigns had up to nine (9) drill rigs in action and was completed in early december. One of the primary objectives was to delineate additional mineral resources within the known footprint of the deposit to support the 2023 MRE and future economic studies and to expand the existing mineral resource footprint laterally in directions where mineralization is open while seeking to discover new satellite zones proximal to the known footprint of the deposits.

Drilling on the Martiniere Block focused on testing the strike and depth extensions of known mineralized zones. A follow-up program of three drill holes (993 m) further tested the newly identified gold-bearing environment on the Casault Block. Until February 2022, the issuer carried out exploration drilling 10 km southeast of the Fenelon deposit to follow up on the Grasset gold showing, where historical intersections included 1.66 g/t Au over 33 m, with higher grade sub-intervals, such as 6.15 g/t Au over 4.04 m. Table 10.7 presents the highlights of the 2022 program.

Table 10.7 – Significant results of the 2022 drilling program

| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone | Target |
|--------------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------|--------------|--|
| FA-22-465 | 69.50 | 88.00 | 18.50 | 4.35 | Area 51 | Demonstrate the continuity of high-grade Area 51 zone near the surface, above 200m vertical depth. |
| Including... | 69.50 | 70.00 | 0.50 | 52.36 | | |
| And... | 82.00 | 88.00 | 6.00 | 8.53 | | |
| FA-22-444 | 862.00 | 863.00 | 1.00 | 31.33 | Area 51 | Expands laterally to the east-southeast the Area 51 mineral resource footprint, at vertical depths between 600 metres and 1,000 metres |
| And... | 1165.00 | 1169.00 | 4.00 | 3.68 | | |
| And... | 1176.10 | 1186.65 | 10.55 | 3.01 | | |
| And... | 1194.10 | 1194.60 | 0.50 | 10.63 | Contact Zone | Demonstrate the continuity of the Contact zone at depth towards the East-Southeast. |
| And... | 1249.75 | 1251.25 | 1.50 | 9.25 | | |
| FA-22-411 | 1281.00 | 1297.00 | 16.00 | 7.80 | Cayenne Zone | Demonstrate the continuity of the Cayenne zone at depth towards the East-Southeast. |
| Including... | 1284.10 | 1286.35 | 2.25 | 44.10 | | |
| FA-19-086-W1 | 448.50 | 463.10 | 14.60 | 0.86 | Contact Zone | In-fill sampling program confirms Contact zone grades. |
| Including... | 455.00 | 456.50 | 1.50 | 4.06 | | |
| 19-0915-004 | 4.45 | 14.10 | 9.65 | 8.91 | Tabasco | In-fill sampling program confirms Tabasco zone grades near surface. |
| Including... | 5.85 | 7.00 | 1.15 | 69.24 | | |
| FA-21-386 | 331.70 | 554.55 | 222.85 | 1.01 | Ripley | Demonstrates the presence |

| Hole ID | From (m) | To (m) | Core Length (m) | Au (g/t) | Zone | Target |
|-------------------|----------|--------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------|---|
| Including... | 399.10 | 411.50 | 12.40 | 3.79 | | of a wide envelope of pervasive, low-grade gold mineralization. |
| Which Includes... | 399.10 | 400.60 | 1.50 | 25.59 | | |
| And... | 503.00 | 506.00 | 3.00 | 10.32 | | |
| FA-21-390 | 415.00 | 421.00 | 6.00 | 0.31 | Ripley | Expands laterally the Ripley zone along strike to the southwest. |
| FA-21-390 | 447.80 | 654.40 | 206.60 | 0.51 | | |
| Including... | 447.80 | 457.30 | 9.50 | 1.15 | | |
| And... | 537.00 | 543.80 | 6.80 | 2.11 | | |
| And... | 610.50 | 615.90 | 5.40 | 2.33 | | |
| MR-22-020 | 538.50 | 544.00 | 5.50 | 4.75 | Martiniere West | Expands the Martiniere West zone down-plunge by over 300 m of previous historic intersections. |
| including | 541.00 | 544.00 | 3.00 | 8.70 | | |
| MR-22-026 | 357.90 | 363.50 | 5.60 | 12.27 | Martiniere West Extension | Expands the Martiniere West zone along strike by 400 m to the southwest. |
| including | 360.50 | 362.00 | 1.50 | 42.55 | | |
| MR-22-029 | 62.65 | 80.00 | 17.35 | 2.50 | Martiniere Central | Expands near surface mineralization between Martiniere West and Central zones. |
| including | 68.50 | 72.30 | 3.80 | 8.34 | | Demonstrates continuity of mineralization of Martiniere Central at 300 m vertical depth. |
| MR-22-033 | 464.50 | 466.00 | 1.50 | 20.48 | | |
| MR-22-036 | 215.50 | 218.50 | 3.00 | 15.90 | Martiniere, Eastern Extension | Exploration drill hole demonstrates presence of gold mineralization to the east of the known footprint. |
| MR-22-036 | 250.80 | 252.50 | 1.70 | 19.31 | | |
| MR-22-036 | 408.90 | 433.10 | 24.10 | 4.07 | | |
| including | 408.90 | 410.00 | 1.10 | 67.65 | | |

11. SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSES AND SECURITY

This item describes the issuer's sample preparation, analysis and security procedures for the 2021 and 2022 diamond drill programs on the Fenelon and Martiniere claim blocks. The QPs reviewed the quality assurance-quality control ("QA/QC") procedures and results.

The reader should refer to Pelletier and Nadeau-Benoit (2021) for details of the 2017 to 2021 drilling programs (up to September 1, 2021) on the Fenelon Block and the 2011 to 2018 drilling programs on the Martiniere Block.

While not explicitly documented in this item, the issuer's sample preparation, analysis and security procedures for the diamond drill programs completed on the Grasset and Casault blocks are similar to the approach used on Fenelon and Martiniere.

11.1 Fenelon Block

This section discusses the issuer's procedures for the diamond drilling programs from 2021 and 2022. The issuer's geology team provided the information discussed below. The QPs reviewed the QA/QC procedures and the results for those programs. The QA/QC results from September 1, 2021, until December 14, 2022, are presented below.

11.1.1 Core Handling, Sampling and Security

The drill core is boxed and sealed at the drill rigs and delivered daily by road or helicopter to the logging facility, where a Wallbridge technician takes over the core handling. Drill core is logged and sampled by experienced geologists or by a geologist-in-training under the supervision of a qualified geologist. A geologist marks the samples by placing a unique ID tag at the end of each core sample interval. Core sample lengths vary from 0.5 to 1.5 m, and sample contacts respect lithological contacts and changes in the appearance of mineralization or alteration (type and/or strength). Digital photographs of the marked and tagged core are taken for archival purposes. A Wallbridge technician saws each marked sample in half. One-half of the core is placed in a plastic bag along with a detached portion of the unique bar-coded sample tag. The other half of the core is returned to the core box, and the remaining tag portion is stapled in place. The core boxes are stockpiled or stored in outdoor core racks for future reference. Individual sample bags are placed in rice bags along with the list of samples.

According to the geologist's instructions, QA/QC samples are prepared and bagged ahead of time by Wallbridge personnel and batched at the core shack.

For the 2021 program, samples were submitted to SGS Mineral Services ("SGS"), Bureau Veritas Mineral Laboratories ("Bureau Veritas"), and AGAT Laboratories ("AGAT"). Samples submitted to Bureau Veritas were prepared and assayed at their certified facilities in North America and samples submitted to AGAT were prepared in Val-d'Or and analyzed at their Mississauga laboratory in Ontario. For the 2021 program, the laboratories were assigned to drills (i.e. all samples from core drilled by Drill #1 is sent to SGS), but also the type of program (e.g., infill sampling). Using multiple laboratories also provided an option if the turnaround time at one of the laboratories became too long. For the 2022 program, samples were submitted to SGS and Bureau Veritas.

11.1.2 Laboratory Accreditation and Certification

All three laboratories (SGS, Bureau Veritas and AGAT) have received ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation through the Standards Council of Canada (“SCC”). They are all independent of the issuer and have no interests in the Property.

11.1.3 Laboratory Preparation and Assays

11.1.3.1 SGS

- Samples are sorted, bar-coded and logged into the laboratory tracking program.
- Each sample is dried, and the entire sample is crushed to 90% passing 2 mm. Since 2019, a split of up to 1,000 g is taken using a riffle splitter and pulverized to 85% passing 75 µm.
- Samples are analyzed for gold by FA with from 50 g pulps. The method used is FAI515 (Inductively Coupled Plasma Finish) or FAA505 (Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy Finish), with a reporting range of 0.005 to 10 g/t.
- When assay results are higher than 10 g/t Au or contain visible gold (since 2018), a metallic sieve analysis is performed from the 1 kg split. In the case of an insufficient sample size for the analysis, the over-range test is performed by GO_FAG505, which is FA with gravimetric (“GRAV”) finish from 50 g pulps (the lower limit for that method is 0.5 g/t).
- Assay results are provided on Excel spreadsheets, and the official certificate (sealed and signed) as a PDF.
- The pulverized pulp is placed in kraft sample bags, and the un-pulverized portion is returned to the original sample bag.
- The remainder of the crushed samples (sample rejects) are sent to the issuer’s Sudbury office for storage. Since the start of the 2021 program, the laboratory has disposed of the remainder of the crushed samples (the sample rejects) and pulverized pulps once the QA/QC review is completed and the pulp samples have been selected, pulled and shipped for the external check analysis (normally, pulps are discarded after 90 days, and rejects after 60 days).

11.1.3.2 Bureau Veritas

- Samples are sorted, bar-coded and logged into the laboratory tracking program.
- Each sample is dried and weighed (WGHT), and the entire sample is crushed to 90% passing 2 mm (CRU90). A split of up to 1,000 g is taken using a riffle splitter and pulverized to better than 85% passing 75 µm (PUL85).
- Samples are analyzed for gold by FA with AA from 50 g pulps. The method used is FA450, with a reporting range of 0.005 to 10 g/t.
- When assay results are higher than 10 g/t Au or contain visible gold, a metallic sieve analysis is performed from the 1 kg split (FS652). In the case of an insufficient sample size for the analysis, the over-range test is performed by FA550-Au, which is FA with GRAV finish from 50 g pulps (the lower limit for that method is 0.5 g/t).
- Assay results are provided on Excel spreadsheets, and the official certificate (sealed and signed) as a PDF.

- The pulverized pulp is placed in kraft sample bags, and the un-pulverized portions are returned to the original sample bags.
- The laboratory disposes of the remainder of the crushed samples (the sample rejects) and pulverized pulps once QA/QC review is completed and the pulp samples have been selected, pulled and shipped for the external check analysis (normally, pulps are disposed of after 90 days, and rejects after 60 days).

11.1.3.3 AGAT

- Samples are sorted, bar-coded and logged into the laboratory tracking program.
- Each sample is dried and weighed, and the entire sample is crushed to 90% passing 2 mm. A split of up to 1,000 g is taken using a riffle splitter and pulverized to better than 85% passing 75 µm.
- Samples are analyzed for gold by FA with AA from 50 g pulps. The method used is 202-551, with a reporting range of 0.002 to 10 g/t.
- When assay results are higher than 10 g/t Au or contain visible gold, a metallic sieve analysis is performed from the 1 kg split (202-121). In the case of an insufficient sample size for the analysis, the over-range test is performed by 202-564, which is FA with GRAV finish from 50 g pulps (the lower limit for that method is 0.5 g/t).
- Assay results are provided on Excel spreadsheets, and the official certificate (sealed and signed) as a PDF.
- The pulverized pulp is placed in kraft sample bags, and the un-pulverized portions are returned to the original sample bags.
- The laboratory disposes of the remainder of the crushed samples (the sample rejects) and pulverized pulps once the QA/QC review is completed and the pulp samples have been selected, pulled and shipped for the external check analysis (normally, pulps are disposed of after 90 days, and rejects after 60 days)

11.1.4 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

The issuer's QA/QC program for the drill core includes the insertion of blanks and standards in the core sample stream. About 10% of the samples were control samples in the sampling and assaying process. One (1) standard and one (1) blank sample of barren rock were added to each group of 20 samples sent for FA analysis as an analytical check for laboratory batches.

Duplicates were not part of the issuer's QA/QC program, although a check assaying (5%) on pulps is performed using a third laboratory to validate the assays from the two main laboratories.

The issuer's geologists were responsible for the QA/QC program and database compilation. Upon receiving the analytical results, they extracted the results for blanks and standards to compare against the expected values. If QA/QC acceptability was achieved for the analytical batch, the data were entered into the project database; if not, the batch (or portion of it) was retested.

11.1.4.1 Certified reference materials (standards)

Accuracy is monitored by inserting one (1) CRM sample for every 20 samples submitted. The standards were obtained from OREAS (based in Melbourne, Australia) and gradually replaced the previously used ones. The definition of a QC failure is when an assay result for a standard fall outside three standard deviations (“3SD”) (using standard deviation from the OREAS certificate value).

A total of 8,809 results for standards were received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 (from the 2021 and 2022 programs). Wallbridge used four (4) different CRMs ranging from 0.542 g/t Au to 8.67 g/t Au. A total of 523 standards returned results outside 3SD for an overall success rate of 94.0% (Table 11.1 and Table 11.2 document results for Bureau Veritas and SGS). In the event of a result outside 3SD (outliers and gross outliers), the issuer took actions to explain the cause of the abnormal value (e.g., Entry/submission error by the logging geologist or technician, sample swap by the laboratory). When no satisfactory explanation could be found, the failed sample sequence was re-run (about 5% of the failed samples).

Overall, the mean bias results and the comparison of the standard deviations (“SD”); between the SD from the OREAS certificates and the SD from the datasets suggest erratic results. It is important to note that the statistics on the standard results include gross outliers, which are mainly caused by human error (wrong CRM submitted to the laboratory). See the example in Figure 11.1 (results by SGS of OREAS 231 from January 1, 2022, to December 14, 2022). When removing those gross outliers, the precision and accuracy comply with standard industry criteria.

Table 11.1 – Results of standards received from September 1, 2021, to December 31, 2021 (SGS and Bureau Veritas)

| CRM | Lab | Method | CRM Value (g/t Au) | SD (OREAS) | Qty | >1SD | >3SD | Mean | SD (Lab.) | Mean Bias (%) |
|-----------|-----|--------|--------------------|------------|-----|------|------|------|-----------|---------------|
| OREAS 231 | SGS | FAA505 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 282 | 119 | 17 | 0.61 | 0.4085 | 12.0109 |
| OREAS 238 | SGS | FAA505 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 279 | 124 | 13 | 2.96 | 0.3109 | -2.3393 |
| OREAS 231 | BV | FA450 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 161 | 56 | 6 | 0.54 | 0.0183 | -0.012 |
| OREAS 238 | BV | FA450 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 166 | 43 | 3 | 3.02 | 0.2107 | -0.4577 |

Standards inserted more than 15 times per laboratory during that period are presented in the table.

Table 11.2 – Results of standards received from January 1, 2022, to December 14, 2022 (SGS and Bureau Veritas)

| CRM | Lab. | Method | CRM Value (g/t Au) | SD (OREAS) | Qty | >1SD | >3SD | Mean | SD (Lab.) | Mean Bias (%) |
|------------|------|--------|--------------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|---------------|
| OREAS 231 | BV | FA450 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 775 | 335 | 88 | 0.6 | 0.4213 | 11.4401 |
| OREAS 238 | BV | FA450 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 765 | 263 | 39 | 2.97 | 0.5138 | -1.9857 |
| OREAS 231 | SGS | FAA505 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 631 | 249 | 28 | 0.59 | 0.373 | 9.3419 |
| OREAS 238 | SGS | FAA505 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 618 | 285 | 21 | 2.93 | 0.362 | -3.1858 |
| OREAS 231 | SGS | FAI515 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 1773 | 729 | 97 | 0.58 | 0.4536 | 6.2052 |
| OREAS 238 | SGS | FAI515 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 1632 | 718 | 114 | 2.98 | 0.4174 | -1.6508 |
| OREAS 238B | SGS | FAI515 | 3.08 | 0.085 | 107 | 39 | 2 | 3.06 | 0.1039 | -0.6515 |
| OREAS 242 | SGS | FAI515 | 8.67 | 0.215 | 1569 | 711 | 87 | 8.53 | 1.1372 | -1.5676 |

Standards inserted more than 15 times per laboratory during that period are presented in the table.




Figure 11.1 – Chart detailing the results by SGS (ICP finish) of OREAS 231 from January 1, 2022, to December 14, 2022

11.1.4.2 Blank samples

Contamination is monitored by the routine insertion of one (1) barren sample (blank) for every 20 samples submitted. The blank goes through the same sample preparation and analytical procedures as the core samples. When visible gold is observed, the insertion rate of blanks is increased to one for every 10 samples.

A total of 7,699 results for blanks were received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 (from the 2021 and 2022 programs). The blanks were derived from barren rock (crushed quartzite or decorative pink quartz).

The issuer's QA/QC protocol stipulates that if any blank yields a gold value above five times the detection limit ("5DL"), then two (2) to four (4) samples on either side of the blank should be re-analyzed to determine whether smearing had occurred while processing the sampling sequence.

A total of 60 samples (0.78%) returned grades higher than 5DL (Table 11.3).

The QPs are of the opinion that the QC results for the blanks used during the issuer's drilling programs are reliable and valid.

Table 11.3 – Results of blanks received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022

| Laboratory | Method | Acceptance limit 5DL (g/t Au) | Quantity inserted | Quantity failed | % passing QC |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| SGS | FA | 0.025 | 6754 | 53 | 99.22% |
| SGS | Metallic screen FA | 0.05 | 58 | 1 | 98.28% |
| BV | FA | 0.025 | 729 | 3 | 99.59% |
| BV | Metallic screen FA | 0.01 | 8 | 0 | 100.00% |
| AGAT | FA | 0.025 | 150 | 3 | 98.00% |
| | | | 7699 | 60 | 99.22% |

11.1.4.3 Duplicate

The issuer's QA/QC procedure did not include duplicate assays.

11.1.4.4 External Check

The issuer submits external check assays to a different lab (~5%) using pulp duplicates and crush duplicates. During the period between September 1, 2021 to December 14, 2022, results from the umpire lab were received but were not compiled and reviewed by the issuer.

11.1.4.5 Conclusions on QA/QC for the Fenelon Block

The statistical analysis of the QA/QC data did not identify any significant analytical issues. The QPs are of the opinion that the sample preparation, analysis, QA/QC and security protocols used during the drilling programs on the Fenelon Block (Fenelon deposit) follow generally accepted industry standards and that the data is valid and of sufficient quality to be used for mineral resource estimation purposes.

11.2 Martiniere Block

This section discusses the issuer's sample preparation, analysis and security procedures for its 2021 and 2022 drilling programs on the Martiniere Block (Martiniere deposit). The QPs reviewed the QA/QC procedures and the results for the 2021 and 2022 programs. The QA/QC results from September 1, 2021, until December 14, 2022, are presented below. The QA/QC results were provided by the issuer.

11.2.1 Core Handling, Sampling and Security

For the 2021 and 2022 programs, the drill core is boxed and sealed at the drill rigs and delivered daily by road or helicopter to the logging facility, where a Wallbridge technician takes over the core handling. Drill core is logged and sampled by experienced geologists or by a geologist-in-training under the supervision of a qualified geologist. A geologist

marks the samples by placing a unique ID tag at the end of each core sample interval. Core sample lengths vary from 0.5 to 1.5 m, and sample contacts respect lithological contacts and changes in the appearance of mineralization or alteration (type and/or strength). Digital photographs of the marked and tagged core are taken for archival purposes. A Wallbridge technician saws each marked sample in half. One-half of the core is placed in a plastic bag along with a detached portion of the unique bar-coded sample tag. The other half of the core is returned to the core box, and the remaining tag portion is stapled in place. The core boxes are stockpiled or stored in outdoor core racks for future reference. Individual sample bags are placed in rice bags along with the list of samples.

According to the geologist's instructions, QA/QC samples are prepared and bagged ahead of time by Wallbridge personnel and batched at the core shack.

For the 2021 program, samples were submitted to Bureau Veritas. Samples submitted to Bureau Veritas were prepared and assayed at their certified facilities in North America. For the 2022 program, samples were submitted to SGS and Bureau Veritas.

11.2.2 Laboratory Accreditation and Certification

Both laboratories (SGS and Bureau Veritas) have received ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation through the SCC. They are independent of the issuer and have no interests in the Property.

11.2.3 Laboratory Preparation and Assays

11.2.3.1 SGS

- Samples are sorted, bar-coded and logged into the laboratory tracking program.
- Each sample is dried, and the entire sample is crushed to 90% passing 2 mm. Since the 2019 program, a split of up to 1,000 g is taken using a riffle splitter and pulverized to 85% passing 75 µm.
- Samples are analyzed for gold by FA from 50 g pulps. The method used is FAI515 (Inductively Coupled Plasma Finish) or FAA505 (Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy Finish), with a reporting range of 0.005 to 10 g/t.
- When assay results are higher than 10 g/t Au or contain visible gold (since 2018), a metallic sieve analysis is performed from the 1 kg split. In the case of an insufficient sample size for the analysis, the over-range test is performed by GO_FAG505, which is FA with gravimetric ("GRAV") finish from 50 g pulps (the lower limit for that method is 0.5 g/t).
- Assay results are provided on Excel spreadsheets, and the official certificate (sealed and signed) as a PDF.
- The pulverized pulp is placed in kraft sample bags, and the un-pulverized portion is returned to the original sample bag.
- The remainder of the crushed samples (the sample rejects) are sent to the issuer's Sudbury office for storage. Since the start of the 2021 program, the laboratory has disposed of the remainder of the crushed samples (the sample rejects) and pulverized pulps once the QA/QC review is completed and pulp samples have been

selected, pulled and shipped for the external check analysis (normally, pulps are discarded after 90 days and rejects after 60 days).

11.2.3.2 Bureau Veritas

- Samples are sorted, bar-coded and logged into the laboratory tracking program.
- Each sample is dried and weighed (WGHT), and the entire sample is crushed to 90% passing 2 mm (CRU90). A split of up to 1,000 g is taken using a riffle splitter and pulverized to better than 85% passing 75 µm (PUL85).
- Samples are analyzed for gold by FA with AA from 50 g pulps. The method used is FA450, with a reporting range of 0.005 to 10 g/t.
- When assay results are higher than 10 g/t Au or contain visible gold, a metallic sieve analysis is performed from the 1 kg split (FS652). In the case of an insufficient sample size for the analysis, the over-range test is performed by FA550-Au, which is FA with GRAV finish from 50 g pulps (the lower limit for that method is 0.5 g/t).
- Assay results are provided on Excel spreadsheets, and the official certificate (sealed and signed) as a PDF.
- The pulverized pulp is placed in kraft sample bags, and the un-pulverized portions are returned to the original sample bags.
- The remainder of the crushed samples (the sample rejects) and pulverized pulps are disposed of by the laboratory once QA/QC review is completed and pulp samples have been selected, pulled and shipped for the external check analysis (normally, pulps are disposed of after 90 days and rejects after 60 days)

11.2.4 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

The issuer's QA/QC program for the drill core includes the insertion of blanks and standards in the core sample stream. About 10% of the samples were control samples in the sampling and assaying process. One (1) standard and one (1) blank sample of barren rock were added to each group of 20 samples sent for FA analysis as an analytical check for laboratory batches.

Duplicates were not part of the issuer's QA/QC program, although a check assaying (5%) on pulps is performed using a third laboratory to validate the assays from the two main laboratories.

The issuer's geologists were responsible for the QA/QC program and database compilation. Upon receiving the analytical results, they extracted the results for blanks and standards to compare against the expected values. If QA/QC acceptability was achieved for the analytical batch, the data were entered into the project database; if not, the batch was retested.

11.2.4.1 Certified reference materials (standards)

Accuracy is monitored by inserting one (1) CRM for every 20 samples submitted. The standards were obtained from OREAS (based in Melbourne, Australia) and gradually replaced the previously used ones. The definition of a QC failure is when an assay result for a standard fall outside 3SD (using standard deviation from the OREAS certificate value).

A total of 8,905 results for standards were received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 (from the 2021 and 2022 programs). Wallbridge used four (4) different CRMs ranging from 0.542 g/t Au to 8.67 g/t Au. A total of 524 standards returned results outside 3SD for an overall success rate of 94.1% (Table 11.4 document results for Bureau Veritas and SGS). In the event of a result outside 3SD (outliers and gross outliers), the issuer took actions to explain the cause of the abnormal value (e.g., Entry/submission error by the logging geologist or technician, sample swap by the laboratory). When no satisfactory explanation could be found, the failed sample sequence was re-run (about 5% of the failed samples).

Overall, the mean bias results and the comparison of the standard deviations ("SD"); between the SD from the OREAS certificates and the SD from the datasets suggest erratic results. It is important to note that the statistics on the standard results include gross outliers, which are mainly caused by human error (wrong CRM submitted to the laboratory). See the example in Figure 11.1 (results by Bureau Veritas of OREAS 238 from September 1, 2022, to December 14, 2022). When removing those gross outliers, the precision and accuracy comply with standard industry criteria.

Table 11.4 – Results of standards received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 (SGS and Bureau Veritas)

| CRM | Lab. | Method | CRM Value (g/t Au) | SD (OREAS) | Qty | >1SD | >3SD | Mean | SD (Lab.) | Mean Bias (%) |
|------------|------|--------|--------------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|---------------|
| OREAS 231 | BV | FA450 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 936 | 391 | 94 | 0.59 | 0.3843 | 9.2651 |
| OREAS 238 | BV | FA450 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 931 | 306 | 42 | 2.98 | 0.4744 | -1.7132 |
| OREAS 242 | BV | FA450 | 8.67 | 0.215 | 183 | 87 | 9 | 8.42 | 1.064 | -2.9315 |
| OREAS 231 | SGS | FAA505 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 913 | 368 | 45 | 0.60 | 0.3841 | 10.1663 |
| OREAS 238 | SGS | FAA505 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 897 | 409 | 34 | | | |
| OREAS 231 | SGS | FAI515 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 1755 | 720 | 97 | 0.58 | 0.4559 | 6.2931 |
| OREAS 238 | SGS | FAI515 | 3.03 | 0.08 | 1624 | 715 | 114 | 2.98 | 0.4184 | -1.6563 |
| OREAS 238B | SGS | FAI515 | 3.08 | 0.085 | 97 | 37 | 2 | 3.06 | 0.1063 | -0.6176 |
| OREAS 242 | SGS | FAI515 | 8.67 | 0.215 | 1551 | 711 | 87 | 8.53 | 1.1372 | -1.5676 |

Standards inserted more than 15 times per laboratory during that period are presented in the table




Figure 11.2 – Chart detailing the results by Bureau Veritas of OREAS 238 from September 1, 2021 to December 14, 2022

11.2.4.2 Blank samples

Contamination is monitored by the routine insertion of one (1) barren sample (blank) for every 20 samples submitted. Blanks go through the same sample preparation and analytical procedures as the core samples. When visible gold is observed, the insertion rate of blanks is increased to one for every 10 samples.

A total of 1,220 results for blanks were received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022 (from the 2021 and 2022 programs). The blanks were derived from barren rock (crushed quartzite or decorative pink quartz).

The issuer's QA/QC protocol stipulates that if any blank yields a gold value above 5DL, then two (2) to four (4) samples on either side of the blank should be re-analyzed to determine whether smearing had occurred while processing the sampling sequence.

Three (3) samples (0.25%) returned grades higher than 5DL (Table 11.2).

The QPs are of the opinion that the QC results for the blanks used during the issuer's drilling programs are reliable and valid.

Table 11.5 – Results of blanks received from September 1, 2021, to December 14, 2022

| Laboratory | Method | Acceptance limit 5DL (g/t Au) | Quantity inserted | Quantity failed | % passing QC |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| SGS | FA | 0.025 | 149 | 0 | 100.00% |
| SGS | Metallic screen FA | 0.05 | 1 | 0 | 100.00% |
| BV | FA | 0.025 | 1062 | 3 | 99.72% |
| BV | Metallic screen FA | 0.01 | 8 | 0 | 100.00% |
| | | | 1220 | 3 | 99.75% |

11.2.4.3 Duplicate

The issuer's QA/QC procedure did not include duplicate assays.

11.2.4.4 External Check

Wallbridge submits external check assays to a different lab (~5%) using pulp duplicates and crush duplicates. A total of 71 results from the umpire lab were received, reviewed and compiled by the issuer between September 1, 2021, and December 14, 2022. Although it is difficult to evaluate laboratory performance with so few results, Figure 11.3 shows pulp duplicate results using SGS as the umpire lab (Bureau Veritas was the original laboratory). Low-grade samples yielded more results consistent with the original results, but the more variable results for higher-grade samples reflect a nugget effect, which is common for this type of deposit.




Figure 11.3 – Chart detailing the results (53) by SGS (umpire laboratory for Bureau Veritas original assay results) of duplicates taken at the pulverising stage (pulp duplicates) received between September 1, 2021 to December 14, 2022

11.2.5 Conclusions on QA/QC for the Martiniere Block

The statistical analysis of the QA/QC data did not identify any significant analytical issues. The QPs are of the opinion that the sample preparation, analysis, QA/QC and security protocols used during the drilling programs on the Martiniere Block (Martiniere deposit) follow generally accepted industry standards and that the data is valid and of sufficient quality to be used for mineral resource estimation purposes.

12. DATA VERIFICATION

This item covers the data verification done by the QPs on the diamond drill hole databases used for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2021 MRE. Data verification also included a site visit from each QPs July 5, 2022 for Carl Pelletier and on November 3, 2022 for Vincent Nadeau-Benoit.

12.1 Drill Hole Database

Two databases were validated for the 2023 MRE: one for the Fenelon deposit and one for the Martiniere deposit (the “2023 MRE databases”).

Historical work subject to verification consisted of the drill holes used for the 2021 MRE (Pelletier and Nadeau-Benoit, 2021). Basic cross-check routines were performed between the current ODV Databases and the previously validated database for the 2021 MRE, i.e., collars, downhole surveys, and assay fields. Apart from recent drill holes added to the databases and sampling of previously unsampled intervals (Fenelon deposit database only), the QPs did not find any other discrepancies with the current database.

The QPs had access to the assay certificates for all historical and current drill holes in the 2023 MRE databases. All assays were verified for selected drill holes from the latest drilling or sampling programs, i.e., 5% of the 2020 and 2021 programs and 5% of the newly sampled intervals on older drill holes (sampled in 2021 or 2022 but drilled before the 2021 MRE). The assays recorded in the 2023 MRE databases were compared to the original certificates (received directly from the laboratories). No major errors or discrepancies were found. The electronic transfer of the laboratory results via e-mail, followed by the electronic transfer directly into the databases by Wallbridge’s staff, allowed for immediate error detection and prevented any typing errors.

The surface drill hole collars were surveyed using an RTK system or a Total Station unit. Using raw survey files, the collar survey information was verified for 5% of the drill holes from the latest drilling programs. No discrepancies were found.

Downhole surveys (mainly Gyro and Multi-shot surveys) were conducted on the majority of surface and underground holes drilled by the issuer. The downhole survey information was verified by comparing the data for 5% of the holes from the latest drilling programs to the downhole data recorded in the database. No major discrepancies were found.

12.2 Site Visit

The QP, Vincent Nadeau-Benoit, conducted a site visit November 3, 2022. He used the access road to the Fenelon camp to drive onto the Property. The site visit included a review of the general access route, a visual check of the camp (Figure 12.1), and an assessment of the overall condition of the site. He also had discussions with the issuer’s geologists about the drilling program on the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend. At the time of the site visit, six (6) rigs were active.

Core logging and sampling procedures were also discussed with the rest of the team during the site visit. These discussions covered collar locations, drilling protocols, downhole surveys, logging protocols, oriented core and structural measurements, sampling protocols, QA/QC protocols, and density measurement procedures. Mr. Nadeau-Benoit is of the opinion that the site visit and validation exercises demonstrated the validity of

the protocols in place and their use during the current drilling program on the Fenelon claim block.

Mr. Nadeau-Benoit also examined core intervals from six (6) drill holes from the ongoing exploration drilling program and some witness core from the core library. All core boxes were labelled and properly stored; mainly on core racks with recent drill holes from the ongoing program on pallets. Sample tags are present in the boxes, and it was possible to validate sample numbers and confirm the presence of mineralization in the reference half-core samples from mineralized zones. The six (6) drill holes were MR-22-020, MR-22-029, FA-21-386, FA-22-439, FA-22-456 and FA-22-537. The intervals included mineralized graphitic argillite, sheared and mineralized diorite, mineralized quartz veins and veinlets, mineralized intervals in gabbro with sulphides and silicification and various metasedimentary and intrusive rocks. Figure 12.1 shows an example of sulphide-rich banding of the Martiniere West Trend and an example of quartz stringers associated with traces sulphides and visible gold of the Ripley Mineralized Zones.

The QP, Carl Pelletier, visited the Property on July 5, 2022. His main focus was to examine the underground ramp and the drift in Area 51 before the issuer stopped pumping and let the underground openings flood. The QP confirmed the presence of small veins with good continuity in the Interstellar 3 Zone (Figure 12.1).


Mr. Nadeau-Benoit had performed field checks on collar locations (using a handheld GPS) during a previous site visit from August 16 to August 17, 2021. The QP also completed independent re-sampling of mineralized intervals in the Area 51 and Tabasco zones (Table 12.1), with low-grade samples yielding results that are consistent with the original results but higher-grade samples yielding more variable results (although gold values remain high). This indicates a nugget effect, which is common for this type of deposit. Past re-sampling of mineralized intervals in the Gabbro Zones (Fenelon deposit) by independent QPs (as defined in NI 43-101) was done for the purpose of the technical report by Richard et al. (2017).

Table 12.1 – Results of the independent re-sampling of material from the Fenelon deposit

| Drill Hole Information | | | Original (Wallbridge) | | Field Duplicate (InnovExplo) | | | Rock (Zone) |
|------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Hole ID | From | To | Sample Number | Au (ppm) | IE Sample Number | Au (AA26E) (ppm) | Au (GRA22) (ppm) | |
| FA-21-221-W2 | 1036.75 | 1037.75 | D00103947 | 0.330 | W035460 | 0.25 | | S3 (A51) |
| FA-21-221-W2 | 1037.75 | 1039.00 | D00103948 | 0.244 | W035461 | 0.62 | | S3 (A51) |
| FA-21-221-W2 | 1039.00 | 1040.45 | D00103949 | 1.069 | W035462 | 1.10 | | S3 (A51) |
| FA-21-221-W2 | 1040.45 | 1041.20 | D00103950 | 6.605 | W035463 | 4.95 | | S3 (A51) |
| FA-21-221-W2 | 1041.20 | 1042.00 | D00103951 | 11.600 | W035464 | 10.85 | 11.60 | S3 (A51) |
| FA-20-119 | 904.60 | 905.25 | B00410884 | 14.810 | W035465 | 10.35 | 9.97 | S6G (Tab) |
| FA-20-119 | 905.25 | 906.00 | B00410886 | 4.820 | W035466 | 8.78 | 7.20 | S6G (Tab) |
| FA-20-119 | 906.00 | 906.90 | B00410887 | 0.260 | W035467 | 0.37 | | S6G (Tab) |
| FA-20-119 | 906.90 | 907.50 | B00410888 | 7.600 | W035468 | 6.46 | 7.07 | S6G (Tab) |
| FA-20-119 | 907.50 | 909.00 | B00410889 | 0.680 | W035469 | 0.31 | | S6G (Tab) |
| FA-20-119 | 909.00 | 910.40 | B00410890 | 0.248 | W035470 | 0.84 | | S6G (Tab) |

12.3 Comments

The QPs had full access to all data required for the data verification. The QPs are of the opinion that their data verification process has demonstrated the validity of the project data and protocols. The QPs consider the databases valid and of sufficient quality to be used for the mineral resource estimates herein.



A: Core logging in action – B: Core yard – C: Underground exposure of the veins associated to the Interstellar 3 mineralized zone – D: Mineralized quartz veinlet in the Mafic phase of the diorite of the Ripley (drill hole: FA-22-456 at a depth of around 196.0 m) – E: Sulphide-rich banding of the Martiniere West Trend (drill hole: MR-22-020 at a depth of 343.0 to 346.0 m)

Figure 12.1 – QPs site visits to the Fenelon Camp

13. MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING

This item describes the mineral processing and metallurgical testing carried out on the Gabbro Zones in 2018 and 2019, the Tabasco and Area 51 zones (Fenelon deposit) in 2020 and 2021, and the Martiniere deposit from 2012 to 2015.

13.1 Fenelon Deposit

This section was taken and updated from the previous technical report on the Property (Pelletier and Nadeau-Benoit, 2021).

13.1.1 Treatment and results of the 2018 and 2019 bulk samples (Gabbro Zones)

This section summarizes the treatment and results of the 2018 and 2019 bulk samples mined from the Gabbro Zones. The samples were treated at the Camflo Mill, owned at the time by Monarques Gold Corporation (Jolicoeur, 2020) but now the property of Yamana Gold Inc. (Yamana website, accessed March 5, 2021).

References for the metallurgical testwork are the studies carried out by CRM for Fairstar Exploration Inc. (Fairstar press release of November 13, 1997) and Laboratoire LTM Inc. (St-Jean, 2004).

The 2018 and 2019 bulk samples were divided into five (5) batches from September 11, 2018, to April 18, 2019. During the first 2018 batch, 2,930 t from the historical surface low-grade stockpile were included and processed as part of the bulk sample. A total of 36,160 dry metric tons were treated. The average head grade, including the 767 ounces of gold in tails, was 17.37 g/t Au with an overall recovery of 96.20%.

Silver was not recorded for the batches.

Table 13.1 presents the results for each batch of the 2018 and 2019 bulk samples. Table 13.2 shows the average recovery rate per stage and leach time per circuit.

Table 13.1 – Summary of the results for the 2018 and 2019 bulk samples

| Period | Dry metric tons | Gold ounces | Gold ounces in tails | Total gold ounces | Recovery (%) | Head grade (g/t Au) |
|--|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| September 11-18, 2018 | 7,075 | 1,607 | 399 | 2,006 | 80.12 | 8.82 |
| November 20-27, 2018 | 6,405 | 2,908 | 168 | 3,076 | 94.53 | 14.94 |
| December 28 to January 11, 2019 | 6,692 | 3,962 | 25 | 3,988 | 99.37 | 18.53 |
| January 24, to February 3, 2019 | 5,652 | 5,777 | 16 | 5,793 | 99.73 | 31.88 |
| March 31 to April 18, 2019 | 10,336 | 5,035 | 151 | 5,186 | 97.09 | 15.60 |
| Gold recovery from slag treatment ¹ | - | 144 | 8 | 152 | 95.00 | 0.13 |
| Total/Average | 36,160 | 19,433 | 767 | 20,201 | 96.20 | 17.37 |

1) Slag treatment at Sipi Smelter, Elk Grove Village (Illinois, United States of America)

Table 13.2 – Average recovery per stage and average leach time

| Stage or average leach time (h) | Average recovery (%) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Grinding | 85 |
| Circuit 1: 9.2 h | 10 |
| Circuit 2: 27.6 h | 0.7 |
| Circuit 3: 18.4 h | 0.5 |
| Total (55.2 h) | 96.2 |

13.1.1.1 Camflo Process Description

Crushing Circuit

The crushing circuit begins with a 36" X 48" jaw crusher and a primary 4-1/4 standard cone crusher in an open circuit. It is then followed by a secondary 4-1/4 sort head cone crusher in a closed circuit to produce a final product passing a ¾ x ¾" screen. The crushing capacity is in the range of 125 tph.

Grinding Circuit

The ore is fed at the rate of 30-35 tph, with the required quick lime (average rate of 2.43 kg/t) through an 8' X 12' rod mill in an open circuit. The rod mill discharge is then mixed with the discharge from the two (2) 8' X 15' and 9' X 12' ball mills. It is then classified through a single 20" cyclone. The underflow is used to feed both ball mills at ± 200%

circulating load, and the overflow is the final grinding product. The entire power consumption of the grinding mills is 452 kWh.

The cyanide requirement of 1.524 kg per tonne is added to the final grinding product prior to thickening.

Thickening, Leaching and Filtration

The cyclone overflow feeds three (3) 36'-diameter thickeners. The underflows from the thickeners feed the leaching circuit. The overflows become the pregnant solution, feeding the bags clarifier in the Merrill-Crowe process.

The first leaching and filtration circuit consists of three (3) leach tanks of 28' X 28' and two (2) 11'-6" X 16' drum filters. The second circuit consists of similar equipment: two (2) leach tanks and two (2) drum filters. Finally, the tailings circuit consists of one (1) leach tank and two (2) drum filters (same dimensions as the first circuit).

All the recovered filtration solution is pumped to the thickeners, consisting of part of the pregnant solution.

Due to the poor performance of the first batch, the process flow sheet was modified for the other four batches. The leaching time was increased from 45 h to 55 h.

Modifications to the leaching circuit

As described above, the first batch was processed as the normal flow sheet with regards to leaching. Due to poor performance, the process flow sheet was modified for the other four (4) batches.

The modified process consists of one (1) leach tank for the first stage, three (3) for the second and two (2) leach tanks for the last leach circuit.

This change lowered the gold concentration in the solution, allowing soluble gold to be recovered earlier in the process.

13.1.1.2 Gold Recovery

Gold was recovered using a Merrill-Crowe circuit. The process consists of a solution bags clarifier, followed by a Merrill-Crowe tower, followed by the addition of zinc dust and lead acetate, ahead of two (2) Perrins presses. This process produces a gold concentrate of $\pm 30\%$. This concentrate is then melted in an induction furnace to produce doré of $\pm 80\%$ gold with $\pm 17\%$ silver and $\pm 3\%$ impurities.

Modifications to the Merrill-Crowe Circuit

To reduce the gold charge in the circuit and potentially improve the drum filter wash, the precipitation tonnage at the Perrins Presses was increased by $\pm 30\%$.

13.1.1.3 Reprocessing the refining slag

The slag produced by the induction furnace was re-melted in a Wabi fuel furnace to recover additional gold and silver. The slag from the Wabi was sent to the Sipi Smelter, (Elk Grove Village, Illinois, USA) for a final gold and silver recovery.

13.1.2 Metallurgical testwork on Tabasco-Cayenne and Area 51 zones

13.1.2.1 2020 Metallurgical testwork

In 2020, preliminary metallurgical testwork was completed on the Tabasco and Area 51 zones (SGS 2020 internal report). The testwork was carried out on three composites (low-grade material from the Area 51 zones, low-grade material from the Tabasco zones and high-grade material from the Tabasco zones) and was prepared from assay lab rejects from 14 individual drill holes and performed by SGS laboratories. The three composites were tested for their amenability to gravity separation and cyanidation under varying grind sizes and conditions. Table 13.3 summarizes the results from the 2020 SGS testing.

Table 13.3 – Results of SGS’ 2020 metallurgical testwork (Area 51 and Tabasco zones)

| Sample | Details | Feed Size | Gravity % | Total Recovery | Head Grade | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-------------|------|
| | | P80, μm | Au | % Au | Calc. | Grav+C N | |
| Tabasco-HG Comp. | Whole Ore Leach | 47 | | 98.9 | 25.8 | | 25.7 |
| | Whole Ore & CIP | 46 | | 99 | 24.4 | | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 59 | 84.1 | 99.1 | 4.47 | 28.1 | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 69 | 40.5 | 98.8 | 14.2 | 23.9 | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 97 | 36.1 | 98.5 | 16.8 | 26.2 | |
| Tabasco-LG Comp. | Whole Ore Leach | 45 | | 96.8 | 3.17 | | 3.23 |
| | Whole Ore & CIP | 45 | | 95.8 | 2.16 | | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 56 | 64.2 | 96.9 | 0.93 | 2.6 | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 69 | 29.8 | 96 | 1.66 | 2.36 | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 91 | 48.5 | 94.6 | 1.1 | 2.13 | |
| Area 51-LG Comp. | Whole Ore Leach | 51 | | 97.6 | 1.25 | | 0.84 |
| | Whole Ore & CIP | 51 | | 96.6 | 1.04 | | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 53 | 72.8 | 96.4 | 0.23 | 0.084 | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 68 | 78.1 | 97.1 | 0.22 | 1 | |
| | Gravity & Cyanidation | 102 | 66.5 | 95.3 | 0.22 | 0.66 | |

13.1.2.2 2021 Metallurgical test work

In 2021, a comminution and metallurgical testwork was completed on two specific ore zones: Tabasco (TBC) and Area 51 (A51) (SGS 2021 internal report). Several drill core samples were sent to SGS laboratories and composited to make 15 variability samples, 8 from the TBC ore zone (samples VAR-TBC-01 to VAR-TBC-08) and 7 from A51 ore zone (samples VAR-A51-09 to VAR-A51-15). The variability samples were used for comminution and metallurgical testwork. The testwork was carried out on two metallurgical master composites (TBC-Master 2021 and A51-Master 2021).

The main objective of the metallurgical test program was to evaluate the response of the TBC and Area 51 samples to a flowsheet that included gravity separation, flotation, and cyanidation of the flotation concentrate. The flotation testwork included grind size evaluation and reagent optimization tests. Cleaner flotation tests were also completed to examine the recovery and grade associated with producing a cleaner concentrate.

The direct gold head grades for the TBC variability samples ranged from 1.30 g/t (VAR-TBC-08) to 9.78 g/t (VAR-TBC-04) and averaged 4.68 g/t, which compared well to the average calculated gold head from the test program, 4.92 g/t. The average silver and sulphur grades were 2.13 g/t and 1.10%, respectively. The direct gold head grades for the Area 51 variability samples ranged from 1.10 g/t (VAR-A51_15) to 7.53 g/t (VAR-A51-012) and averaged 2.76 g/t, slightly lower than the average calculated gold head from the test program, 3.25 g/t. The Area 51 samples contained 1.18 g/t Ag and 0.49% S on average.

The comminution program consisted of SAG Mill Comminution (SMC), Bond rod mill (RWI), Bond ball mill (BWI), and abrasion grindability tests (Ai). These test results are summarized in Table 13.4.

Table 13.4 Comminution Results Summary of SGS' 2021 metallurgical testwork (Area 51 and Tabasco Zones)

| Sample | Relative Density | A x b | t _a ¹ | SCSE | RWI kWh/t | BWI kWh/t | AI g |
|------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| VAR-TBC-02 | 2.75 | 22.0 | 0.25 | 13.5 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 0.252 |
| VAR-TBC-03 | | | | | | 14.2 | 0.279 |
| VAR-TBC-04 | 2.79 | 26.6 | 0.28 | 12.3 | | 14.1 | 0.290 |
| VAR-TBC-06 | | | | | | 14.6 | 0.333 |
| VAR-TBC-08 | 2.78 | 30.7 | 0.37 | 11.5 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 0.384 |
| VAR-A51-10 | | | | | | 15.1 | 0.431 |
| VAR-A51-11 | 2.81 | 26.5 | 0.24 | 12.4 | | 16.2 | 0.424 |
| VAR-A51-14 | 2.76 | 23.0 | 0.22 | 13.2 | 16.2 | 13.4 | 0.305 |
| VAR-A51-15 | 2.75 | 27.5 | 0.21 | 12.0 | | 14.3 | 0.382 |

¹The t_a value reported as part of the SMC procedure in an estimate

The samples were characterized as hard with respect to resistance to impact breakage, with A x b values ranging from 23 to 31. The RWI values ranged from 15.6 kWh/t to 16.9 kWh/t, which placed them in the moderately hard to hard range of hardness compared to the SGS database. The BWI values ranged from 13.4 kWh/t to 16.2 kWh/t, which placed the majority of the samples in the medium range of hardness compared to the SGS database. The Abrasion Indices (AI) varied from 0.252 g up to 0.431 g. The tests were classified as medium to moderately abrasive when compared to the SGS database.

The main objective of the metallurgical test program was to evaluate the response of the TBC and Area 51 samples to a flowsheet that included gravity separation, flotation, and cyanidation of the flotation concentrate. The flotation testwork included grind size evaluation and reagent optimization tests. Cleaner flotation tests were also completed to examine the recovery and grade associated with producing a cleaner concentrate. The

15 variability samples received were used for variability tests that determined the response of each sample to the following flowsheet:

- Gravity Separation + Rougher Flotation + Cyanidation of unground flotation concentrate
- The average gold results for the TBC and Area 51 samples are presented in Table 13.5.

Table 13.5 Results Summary of SGS' 2021 metallurgical testwork (Area 51 and Tabasco Zones)

| Sample | Gravity Au % | Flotation Au % | Gravity+Flotation Au % | Cyanidation Au % | O'All Recovery Au % [^] |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| TBC-Master 2021 | 66.5 | 89.0 | 96.3 | 95.4 | 94.9 |
| TBC-Variability Samples (8) | 38.1 | 90.7 | 94.2 | 95.4 | 91.7 |
| A51-Master 2021 | 84.3 | 87.4 | 98.0 | 95.4 | 97.4 |
| A51-Variability Samples (7) | 52.6 | 93.1 | 96.7 | 95.4 | 94.7 |

Modified from SGS 2021 internal report (Crary, 2021)

[^] Overall Au % = Gravity, % + {[100-Gravity, %] x Flot Unit, %} x CN Extraction, %}

* CN-Extraction from test CN-1

** Flotation recovery from tests F-2 (TBC) and F-5 (A51)

The average gravity + flotation recovery for the TBC and A51 samples was ~96%. The overall recoveries (gravity + flotation+ cyanidation) for the Master Composites were ~95% (TBC) and ~97% (A51). It should be noted that the test conditions for the variability tests were slightly different (less flotation time) than the Master Composite tests shown (F-2 and F-5). The average rougher concentrate mass pull for the variability tests was ~4%.

13.1.3 Conclusions for the Fenelon Gold Mine Deposit

The commercial-scale milling to process the 2018 and 2019 bulk sample batches corroborates the testwork results completed by the CRM but with a lower cyanide consumption.

The relatively low work index for the Fenelon material, combined with the presence of chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite, does not affect the leaching time or the recovery, as anticipated from the CRM testwork results.

The Camflo milling facilities, with the modifications described above, seem adequate to treat the material from the Project successfully.

Metallurgy testing on composites from Tabasco and Area 51 zones, completed by SGS in 2020, achieved good gold recoveries using standard grind size and processing technologies. The results are comparable to the results achieved from the Main Gabbro zone. The 2021 metallurgical test results compare well to past test programs in terms of overall gold recovery. The gravity / flotation / cyanidation flowsheet examined achieved high gold recoveries for both ore zones tested, ~95% (TBC Master Composite) and ~97% (A51 Master Composite). Like the previous test program, the gravity gold recovery was high, and further testwork should be conducted to examine the impact of including a gravity circuit in the flowsheet.

13.2 Martiniere Deposit

This section was taken and updated from the previous technical report on the Property (Pelletier and Nadeau-Benoit, 2021).

Three rounds of metallurgical testwork have been done on composites from the Martiniere deposit, with one on material from the Martiniere West zones (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2012 and Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2013) and two on the Bug Lake zones (DiLauro and Dymov, 2014; Martin, 2015). None of the metallurgical reports were publicly filed, although results have been previously summarized in the technical reports by Mumford and Voordouw (2017) and Voordouw and Jutras (2018).

13.2.1 2012 and 2013 ALS Metallurgy

A shipment of 27 samples, weighing a combined 47 kg, was sent to ALS Metallurgy Kamloops in Kamloops, British Columbia. These samples were collected from the Main Subzone of the Martiniere West deposit and were homogenized into a single “Master Composite” grading 6.4 g/t Au, 8.0 g/t Ag and 0.7% As, then grinded to 80% passing (P80) 100 µm (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2012). The mineralogy of this Master Composite, as determined by quantitative evaluation of minerals by scanning electron microscope (“QEMSCAN”), comprised 53% quartz, 15% muscovite, 9% each chlorite and pyrite, 1-2% each arsenopyrite and garnet, <1% feldspar and chalcopyrite and 10% “others”. The arsenic content lies near the high end of what is returned for gold-bearing ICP analyses from Martiniere West and may be unrepresentatively high.

Metallurgical testing aimed to evaluate gold recoveries using gravity separation, flotation and cyanidation bottle roll leach tests. All testing was done on a primary grind size of P80 100 µm. Gravity separation was done in a lab-scale Knelson concentrator and was followed by panning. Gold and silver recoveries in the gravity concentrate averaged 35% and 16%, respectively, with the final pan concentrate grading 444 g/t Au and 168 g/t Ag. These results suggest the potential for incorporating a gravity circuit into the flow sheet (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2012).

A single kinetic rougher flotation test was done using natural pH and a potassium amyl xanthate (PAX) collector. Results show that 97% of the feed gold and 87% Ag was recovered at 18% feed mass recovery, generating a rougher concentrate grading 36 g/t Au. These results suggest the potential for a flowsheet that includes rougher concentration, re-grinding and then cyanide leaching (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2012).

Results from a cyanidation bottle roll test show 48-hour gold extraction of 62% and that very little additional extraction occurred after the first 24 hours. Sodium cyanide consumption was relatively low, at 0.8 kg per tonne, and lime consumption was about 0.4 kg/t.

Follow-up testing by ALS Metallurgy Kamloops on the same Master Composite included whole ore cyanidation on a finer grind size (P80 of 71 µm) and testing of a flow sheet comprised of gravity separation followed by re-grinding and cyanidation of rougher concentrate (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2013). Whole ore cyanidation was conducted for 48 hours with a target sodium cyanide concentration of 1 kg per tonne and pH maintained at 11.0. Testing achieved similar results to earlier work (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2012), with 63% of gold extracted with consumption of 0.8 kg/t NaCN and 0.6 kg/t lime. Most of the gold was extracted after 6 hours.

Gravity separation by Knelson concentration followed by panning averaged 37% gold recovery, which was similar to earlier testwork (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2012). Subsequent rougher flotation recovered another 60% in the flotation concentrate. Regrinding of this concentrate to P80 16 µm followed by cyanidation resulted in 58% gold extraction, whereas cyanidation without regrinding recovered 48% gold (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2013). Combined recoveries for this flowsheet are therefore 72% gold with regrinding of rougher concentrate and 66% without. Sodium cyanide consumption was 1.3 and 3.3 kg/t for non-reground and reground concentrate, respectively, with lime consumption at 1.0 kg/t and 2.8 kg/t. This testwork therefore demonstrated that better gold recoveries could be achieved with a flowsheet that combines gravity concentration, rougher flotation and then regrinding and cyanidation of rougher concentrate (Welte-Kerne and Johnston, 2013).

13.2.2 2014 SGS Minerals Services

The first metallurgical testing on material from the Bug Lake zones was performed in 2014 by SGS Minerals Services of Lakefield (“SGS Lakefield”) on a composite comprised of 49 half-core samples taken from three drill holes that cut the North Zone (the “Bug Composite”; drill holes MDE-13-119, -120, -121). The Bug Composite consists mostly of samples taken from the Lower Bug Lake subzones and representative material from the Upper Bug Lake subzones in the hanging wall and footwall. Average head grades were 6.78 g/t Au, 7.09 g/t Ag, 3.34% sulphide sulphur and 13.1% carbonate (DiLauro and Dymov, 2014). Mineralogy determined by QEM automated rapid mineral scan (“QEM-ARMS”) is distinctly more carbonate- and chlorite-rich than the Martiniere West composite, comprising 31.8% quartz, 23.7% carbonate (calcite > dolomite > ankerite), 20.9% chlorite, 10% mica, 8.8% pyrite and trace abundances of Cu-sulphide, arsenopyrite and sphalerite.

Metallurgical testing done by SGS Lakefield included (1) whole-ore cyanidation, (2) gravity separation followed by gravity tailing cyanidation, and (3) gravity separation followed by gravity tailing flotation and then cyanidation of the flotation products. Overall process results are summarized in Table 13.3.

Whole-ore cyanidation of the Bug Composite returned recoveries of 72% to 81% for Au, with higher recoveries related to finer grind sizes and increased NaCN consumption (Table 13.3). Conditions applied were 40% solids for 48 hours with cyanide concentration maintained at 0.5 g/L and the pH maintained between 10.5 and 11.0 by adding lime as calcium hydroxide. The presence of carbon caused no significant change in Au and Ag recoveries (DiLauro and Dymov, 2014).

Gravity separation testing was done with a Knelson MD-3 concentrator followed by a Mozley mineral separation. Recoveries in the Mozley gravity concentrate were dependent on grind size, ranging from 7.3% Au and 3.1% Ag for a grind size of 198 µm, to 24.3% Au and 9.7% Ag for the finest grind of 58 µm. Concentrate assays ranged from 650 to 2591 g/t Au and 265 to 1079 g/t Ag, and in all cases comprised <0.1% of the total mass. The Mozley and Knelson tailings were recombined and blended for downstream flotation and cyanidation test work.

The flotation test for gravity tailings was done with total additions of 100 g/t PAX and 50 g/t Cytec A 208 collectors, with a series of five rougher concentrates recovered and assayed for gold and sulphide sulphur. Recoveries in concentrates 1 to 3 were reported at 91% Au and 95% Ag respectively, with a mass pull of 9.2%. Flotation cycles 4 and 5 increased recoveries to 94% Au and 97% sulphide sulphur for the combined rougher

concentrates and the mass pull to 12.3%. Tailings contained 0.38 g/t Au and 0.10% sulphide sulphur. When combining the 19% gold recovery from the gravity concentrate with the 94% Au recovered from gravity tailing flotation, the overall gold recovery for the Bug Composite is calculated at 95% (Table 13.4).

Bottle roll cyanidation testing of gravity tailings was done on three grind sizes (Table 13.3) with applied conditions similar to the whole ore cyanidation. Gold and silver recoveries ranged from 67% Au and 59% Ag for the coarsest grind to 75% Au and 70% Ag for the finest grind. Again, the addition of carbon made no difference to the recoveries. An increase in NaCN consumption was observed with decreased grind size, going from 0.12 kg/t NaCN at P80 grind size of 198 µm to 0.53 kg/t at P80 of 58 µm. There was no significant difference in lime consumption. The combined gravity plus gravity tailing cyanidation recoveries ranged from 71% Au and 61% Ag to 81% Au and 72% Ag (Table 13.3).

Table 13.4 – Results of SGS’ 2014 metallurgical testwork (from DiLauro and Dymov, 2014)

| Process | Size P80 microns | Reagent Cons. NaCN kg/t | Recovery Au % | Residue g/t Au | Recovery Ag % | Residue g/t Ag |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Whole ore CN | 174 | 0.13 | 72% | 2 | 65% | 2.2 |
| | 73 | 0.51 | 79% | 1.58 | 72% | 1.8 |
| | 52 | 0.69 | 81% | 1.37 | 73% | 1.8 |
| Gravity → CN | 198 | 0.12 | 71% | 2.09 | 61% | 2.9 |
| | 84 | 0.22 | 78% | 1.59 | 71% | 2 |
| | 58 | 0.43 | 81% | 1.41 | 72% | 2 |
| Gravity → Flotation → CN | 84 | 0.65 | 74% | 1.84 | 82% | 2.16 |
| | 84/12 | 2.42 | 91% | 0.95 | 97% | 1.3 |

Table 13.5 – Flotation metallurgical balance summary

| Product | Mass g | % | Assays Au g/t | S % | % Distribution | | |
|-----------------------|--------|-------|---------------|------|----------------|------------------------|------|
| | | | | | Au Flotation | Au Gravity + Flotation | S |
| Gravity recovery | | | | | | 19.4 | |
| Rougher concentrate 1 | 226 | 5.69 | 69.8 | 43.2 | 70.2 | 56.6 | 73 |
| Rougher concentrate 2 | 75.3 | 1.9 | 49 | 30.2 | 16.4 | 13.2 | 17 |
| Rougher concentrate 3 | 65 | 1.65 | 15.8 | 9.65 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 4.7 |
| Rougher concentrate 4 | 61.1 | 1.54 | 6.64 | 3.74 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Rougher concentrate 5 | 60.3 | 1.52 | 3.93 | 1.99 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Rougher tail | 3484 | 87.71 | 0.38 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 2.6 |
| Head (calculated) | 3972.4 | 100 | 5.66 | 3.36 | 100 | 100 | 99.9 |

For the cyanide leach testing of flotation concentrate and tailings, it was decided to combine rougher concentrates 1 to 3 as the “final flotation concentrate” and recombine rougher concentrates 4 and 5 with the rougher tailing as the “final flotation rougher tailing” (DiLauro and Dymov, 2014). The final flotation concentrate assayed 56 g/t Au and 34.5% sulphide sulphur with a mass pull of 9.2%, whereas the final flotation rougher tailing has 0.55 g/t Au and 0.19% sulphide sulphur. Conditions applied for cyanidation were 20% solids for 48 hours with pH maintained between 10.5-11.0 and cyanide concentration maintained at 5.0 g/L. Cyanidation of rougher concentrate with P80 grind size of 84 µm was 72% Au and 78% Ag, with reagent consumptions of 6.4 kg/t NaCN and 0.38 kg/t of lime. Re-grinding of this concentrate to P80 grind size of 12 µm yielded extractions of 89% Au and 96% Ag with reagent consumptions of 25.5 kg/t NaCN and 0.03 kg/t of lime. Cyanidation of the rougher flotation tailing at P80 of 84 µm returned final extractions of 72% Au and 59% Ag, with reagent consumptions of 0.07 kg/t NaCN and 0.34 kg/t of lime.

A diagnostic leach program on the gravity tailing cyanide residue at P80, grind size of 58 µm, was used to assess possible mineralogical associations for refractory gold and silver. Results indicate that most of the refractory gold (86.1%) is likely associated with, or occluded by, sulphide minerals, pyrite and/or arsenopyrite, whereas 70.6% of refractory silver could occur in sulphide minerals, pyrite, arsenopyrite, complex Ag minerals with iron and manganese, As-Sb sulphide, pyrrhotite, calcite and/or ferrites (DiLauro and Dymov, 2014).

13.2.3 2015 Blue Coast

In 2015, Blue Coast Research Limited of Parksville, British Columbia (“Blue Coast”) was contracted to follow up on the 2014 metallurgical testing done by SGS Lakefield to evaluate the recovery upside for a flowsheet that combines flotation, concentrate re-grinding and cyanidation (Martin, 2015). Testing was done on the same Bug Composite prepared by SGS Lakefield, which was re-assayed by Blue Coast to yield head grades of 6.29 g/t Au and 6.7 g/t Ag. Blue Coast noted that the 18-month age of the Bug Composite could have potentially impacted flotation performance (Martin, 2015).

Three flotation tests were done to determine optimal grind size, and two were done to create concentrates for leach testing. All three grind sizes (54, 86, 127 µm) produced comparable gold (97%) and silver (92-93%) recoveries once the mass pull to concentrate had reached 20%. Therefore, it was decided to use the coarsest grind for leach testing, so tests 4 and 5 were done with material ground to 127 µm.

Cyanidation was done on both flotation concentrate and tails. Four leach tests were done on the flotation concentrate to examine the effects of grind size, cyanide concentration and lead nitrate. Leaching was described as “extremely rapid”, with gold extraction peaking after 3-5 hours using cyanide concentration of 5 g/L and no lead nitrate. The use of finer grind somewhat enhanced the leach kinetics, although the peak extraction stayed the same at about 92.5% Au. The addition of lead nitrate had no positive effect and may actually have slowed leach kinetics.

Some of the gold appeared to drop out of solution after 3-5 hours in a weak process referred to as “preg-borrowing” (Martin, 2015). The addition of carbon failed to combat this effect, with recoveries dropping to 86.8% Au and 86.4% Ag. Martin (2015) states that the preg-borrowing mechanism is weak and at least somewhat reversible and that further investigation may lead to overall enhanced metallurgical recoveries.


Concentrate tails were leached for 24 hours at 0.5 kg/t cyanide and pH 11, with testing showing low cyanide (0.13 kg/t) and lime (0.2 kg/t) consumption. Results showed that 83% of the silver in the tails was leached together with 65% of the gold. Overall, 0.24 g/t Au and 0.4 g/t Ag were extracted through the leach, comprising 2.5% and 6.3% of the Au and Ag mill feed, respectively.

The final test done by Blue Coast comprised re-grinding pyrite concentrate and flotation tails to P80 of 12 µm followed by cyanide leaching. The leach performance on this co-processed stream was 10% below those achieved through separate leaching of concentrate and tails.

As a result of this work, Blue Coast proposed a flowsheet that includes separate leaches for concentrate and tails (Figure 13.1). It projected overall extractions of 91.4% Au and 80.2% Ag (Table 13.5).

Table 13.6 – Metallurgical balance from separate concentrate and tails leach option (Martin, 2015)

| | Mass (%) | Gold (%) | Silver (%) |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Feed | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Flotation concentrate | 20.4 | 96.1 | 92.5 |
| Concentrate leach extraction | n/a | 88.9 | 74 |
| Concentrate leach residue | 20.4 | 7.2 | 18.5 |
| Flotation Tails | 79.7 | 3.9 | 7.5 |
| Tails leach extraction | n/a | 2.5 | 6.2 |
| Tails leach residue | 79.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Combined circuit extraction | n/a | 91.4 | 80.2 |



From Martin (2015)

Figure 13.1 – Proposed flotation and cyanidation flowsheet for the Bug Composite

14. MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATES

The updated mineral resource estimates for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits (combined, the “Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE” or “2023 MRE”) were prepared by QPs Carl Pelletier (P.Geo.), Vincent Nadeau-Benoit (P.Geo.), Simon Boudreau (P.Eng.) and Marc R. Beauvais (P. Eng.) all of InnovExplo, using all available information.

The effective date of the 2023 MRE is January 13, 2023.

The close-out date of the Fenelon database is October 19, 2022. The close-out date of the Martiniere database is August 31, 2022.

14.1 Methodology

The Fenelon area, which includes the mineral resource area of the Fenelon deposit, has a NW strike length of 3,000 m, a width of 2,000 m, and a vertical extent of 1,000 m below the surface. Located 30 km west of the Fenelon deposit, the mineral resource area of the Martiniere deposit has a NE strike length of 1,000 m, a width of 350 m and a vertical extent of 300 m (Martiniere West and Central Trend), and a NW strike length of 1,500 m, a width of 600 m and a vertical extent of 400 m (Bug Lake Trend).

The 2023 MRE is based on a compilation of historical and recent drill holes and a litho-structural model constructed in Leapfrog by the issuer’s geologists and subsequently validated by the QPs.

The 2023 MRE was prepared using the Leapfrog Geo software v.2022.1.1 with the Edge Extension (“Edge”). Edge was used for the grade estimation, variography and block modelling. Basic statistics, capping and validations were established using a combination of Edge, Microsoft Excel and Snowden Supervisor v.8.14 (“Supervisor”).

The main steps in the methodology were as follows:

- Review and validation of the drill hole databases.
- Validation of the topographic surfaces, bedrock surfaces, the geological model and the interpretation of the mineralized zones based on lithological and structural information and gold content.
- Perform a capping study on assay data for each structure of each deposit.
- Grade compositing.
- Geostatistics (spatial statistics).
- Grade interpolation.
- Validation of the grade interpolation.
- Mineral resource classification.
- Assessment of mineral resources with “reasonable prospects for economic extraction” and selection of appropriate cut-off grades and constraining volumes for a scenario combining open-pit and underground mining.
- Generation of a mineral resource statement.

14.2 Drill Hole Databases

Both deposits, Fenelon and Martiniere, have their own drill hole database.

The database covering the Fenelon deposit contains 1,056 surface drill holes (515,910.66 m) and 383 underground drill holes (52,646.93 m). A subset of 1,350 drill

holes (536,621.71 m) was used to create the mineral resource database (Figure 14.1). This selection contains 312,123 sampled intervals taken from 377,729.50 m of drilled core. All the intervals were sampled for gold.

The database covering the Martiniere deposit contains 665 surface drill holes (184,162.62 m). A subset of 596 drill holes (169,266.07 m) was used to create the mineral resource database (Figure 14.2). This selection contains 122,312 sampled intervals taken from 126,791.00 m of drilled core. All the intervals were sampled for gold.

Both databases also include lithological, alteration and structural descriptions taken from drill core logs. Oriented core data is available for the Fenelon deposit starting in September 2018 and for the Martiniere deposit for all Wallbridge drill holes (2021 and later).

The databases cover the strike length of each mineral resource area at variable drill spacings: from 20 to 200 m for the Fenelon deposit and from 20 to 150 m for the Martiniere deposit.

In addition to tables of raw data, the mineral resource databases includes tables of calculated drill hole composites and wireframe solid intersections, which are required for the statistical evaluation and mineral resource block modelling.




Figure 14.1 – Surface plan view of the Fenelon deposit showing the validated drill holes used for the 2023 MRE




Figure 14.2 – Surface plan view of the Martiniere deposit showing the validated drill hole used for the 2023 MRE

14.2.1 Geological Model

The litho-structural models for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits were built by the issuer's geologists using the drill hole databases as the primary source of information (assays, lithological units, alteration and mineralization).

The Fenelon model consists of 112 high-grade zones and 7 low-grade envelopes (Figure 14.3). The Martiniere model consists of 75 high-grade zones and 9 low-grade envelopes (Figure 14.4). All geological solids were modelled in Leapfrog.

For Fenelon, the high-grade zones were designed to the true thickness of the mineralization (on average down to a minimum thickness of 0.5 m but locally down to 0.2 m, depending on the assay length) and based on a cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t Au. The high-grade zones from the last selected intercept or are fixed at the mid-distance of an intercept that does not meet the minimum grade criterion. The solids were snapped to drill holes. These high-grade zones represent mineralized structures based mainly on gold grade. In-hole oriented data helped refine the shape and orientation of the solids (i.e., measurements of quartz-rich shear veins associated with the mineralization of Area 51 or measurements of shearing corridors associated with the mineralization for the Tabasco, Cayenne and Gabbro zones). A geological model based on the logging descriptions (logged units, structures, alteration and mineralization) were also used to assess those mineralized structures (and locally constrain them).




Figure 14.3 – Inclined view of the Fenelon model looking north: envelopes (left) and high-grade zones (right)

For Martiniere, the high-grade zones were designed to the true thickness of the mineralization (on average down to a minimum thickness of 0.5 m but locally down to 0.2 m, depending on the assay length) and based on a cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t Au. The solids extend to a radius of up to 50 m from the last selected intercept or are fixed at the mid-distance of an intercept that does not meet the minimum grade criterion. The solids were snapped to drill holes. The high-grade zones represent mineralized structures based mainly on gold grade. Logging descriptions (logged units, structures, alteration and mineralization) were also used to assess the mineralized structures. A geological model based on the logging descriptions (logged units, structures, alteration and mineralization) were also used to assess those mineralized structures (and locally constrain them). Drilling completed by Wallbridge since 2021 (oriented core) helped to assess and refine the orientation of the mineralization zones (i.e., sulphide bands associated with the mineralization of Martiniere West).




Figure 14.4 – Inclined view of the Martiniere model looking north: envelopes (left) and high-grade zones (right)

Two surfaces were also created for each deposit to define topography, using drill hole collar survey data and the overburden-bedrock contact generated from drill hole descriptions.

14.2.2 Voids Model

The Fenelon deposit has underground openings and an open pit. The 3D wireframes of the exploration ramp, bulk sample stopes and open pit, all surveyed by the issuer, are located in the area of the Gabbro Zones as well as Area 51 Zones and intersect some of the high-grade zones in these area (Figure 14.5). These 3D wireframes were included in the block models as voids (blocks inside these wireframes were depleted).




Figure 14.5 – Longitudinal section of the voids for the Fenelon deposit, looking north

14.2.3 High-grade Capping

For each deposit, basic univariate statistics were completed on individual high-grade zones and envelopes. Capping was applied to raw assays. Capping values were selected by combining the dataset analysis (COV, decile analysis, metal content) with the probability plot and log-normal distribution of grades. Table 14.1 and Table 14.2 summarize the statistical analysis for the grouped zones in each structure of each deposit. Figure 14.5 shows graphs supporting the capping value for the Cayenne 1 as an example for Fenelon. Figure 14.6 shows graphs supporting the capping value for the high-grade zone, Bug Lake South (“BLS”) Lower Contact, as an example for Martiniere.

Table 14.1 – Summary statistics for the drill hole raw and capped assays for the Fenelon deposit

| Grouped Zones/Envelope (No. of Volumes) | No. of Samples | Max (g/t Au) | Uncut Mean (g/t Au) | COV Uncut | Capping (g/t Au) | No. of Samples Cut | Samples Cut (%) | Cut Mean (g/t Au) | COV Cut |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| TCG - Tabasco Envelope (1) | 115371 | 102.07 | 0.05 | 10.81 | 10 | 10 | 0.01% | 0.04 | 4.86 |
| A51 - Andromeda Envelope (1) | 49265 | 91.80 | 0.09 | 7.96 | 10 | 19 | 0.04% | 0.08 | 4.82 |
| A51 - Enterprise Envelope (1) | 21984 | 154.00 | 0.08 | 14.99 | 10 | 16 | 0.07% | 0.07 | 5.93 |
| A51 - Hubble Envelope (1) | 27440 | 37.45 | 0.07 | 6.83 | 10 | 7 | 0.03% | 0.07 | 5.09 |
| A51 - Interstellar Envelope (1) | 4832 | 15.10 | 0.07 | 6.81 | 6 | 9 | 0.19% | 0.07 | 5.45 |
| A51 - MIB Envelope (1) | 18 331 | 106.00 | 0.09 | 11.06 | 10 | 8 | 0.04% | 0.08 | 5.01 |
| RR - Ripley Main Envelope (1) | 4 638 | 5.67 | 0.16 | 2.19 | 4 | 3 | 0.06% | 0.16 | 2.15 |
| A51 - Andromeda HG Zones (28) | 6 730 | 351.00 | 1.92 | 4.29 | 65 | 16 | 0.24% | 1.79 | 3.05 |
| A51 - Enterprise HG Zones (16) | 1 376 | 910.00 | 3.22 | 8.41 | 65 | 6 | 0.44% | 2.30 | 3.10 |
| A51 - Hubble HG Zones (13) | 927 | 140.00 | 1.78 | 3.94 | 25 | 4 | 0.43% | 1.52 | 2.32 |
| A51 - MIB HG Zones (18) | 1 730 | 201.00 | 2.64 | 3.77 | 65 | 9 | 0.52% | 2.41 | 2.93 |
| TCG - Gabbro HG Zones (14) | 4 796 | 1765.00 | 6.73 | 7.15 | 25 - 330 | 56 | 1.17% | 4.84 | 5.26 |
| TCG - Cayenne HG Zones (3) | 4 078 | 897.00 | 6.63 | 6.03 | 35 - 330 | 19 | 0.47% | 5.73 | 4.83 |
| TCG - JD Contact Zone (1) | 3 215 | 360.00 | 2.25 | 5.82 | 100 | 5 | 0.16% | 1.98 | 4.00 |
| TCG - TabArea51 Zones (5) | 355 | 101.00 | 2.04 | 3.75 | 25 | 3 | 0.85% | 1.63 | 2.33 |
| TCG - Tabasco Minor Zones (2) | 173 | 22.76 | 0.93 | 2.75 | Not Capped | 0 | 0.00% | 0.93 | 2.75 |
| TCG - Tabasco Zones (6) | 4 225 | 277.00 | 1.80 | 5.11 | 25 - 100 | 27 | 0.64% | 1.64 | 4.23 |
| RR - Ripley-Reaper (6) | 832 | 437.00 | 1.59 | 9.61 | 25 | 3 | 0.36% | 1.09 | 2.31 |

Table 14.2 – Summary statistics for the drill hole raw and capped assays for the Martiniere deposit

| Grouped Zone/Envelope (No. of Volumes) | No. of Samples | Max (g/t Au) | Uncut Mean (g/t Au) | COV Uncut | Capping (g/t Au) | No. of Samples Cut | Samples Cut (%) | Cut Mean (g/t Au) | COV Cut |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| BER - Bermuda Envelope (1) | 2801 | 6.79 | 0.02 | 6.00 | 1 | 8 | 0.29% | 0.02 | 3.20 |
| HF - Horsefly Envelope (1) | 4025 | 3.89 | 0.05 | 3.28 | 3 | 1 | 0.02% | 0.05 | 3.24 |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension Envelope (1) | 1668 | 4.39 | 0.05 | 5.03 | 1.5 | 7 | 0.42% | 0.04 | 3.62 |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake Envelope (1) | 16367 | 49.00 | 0.09 | 7.25 | 6 | 17 | 0.10% | 0.08 | 3.74 |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake N and S Envelope (1) | 18214 | 34.60 | 0.05 | 8.19 | 4 | 14 | 0.08% | 0.04 | 3.85 |
| BLN/BLS - BLE Envelope (1) | 4878 | 7.99 | 0.05 | 3.53 | 3 | 2 | 0.04% | 0.05 | 3.10 |
| MWC - Martiniere W and Central Envelope (1) | 39801 | 91.50 | 0.08 | 10.97 | 4 | 88 | 0.22% | 0.07 | 3.65 |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact Zone Envelope (1) | 2888 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 1.68 | 1 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.03 | 1.68 |
| MN - Martiniere North Envelope (1) | 9647 | 55.70 | 0.10 | 6.93 | 4 | 24 | 0.25% | 0.09 | 3.29 |
| BER - Bermuda HG Zones (4) | 234 | 12.15 | 0.39 | 2.13 | Not Capped | 0 | 0.00% | 0.39 | 2.13 |
| BLN/BLS - BLE HG Zones (9) | 888 | 195.5 | 1.53 | 6.42 | 25 | 13 | 1.46% | 0.99 | 3.24 |
| BLN/BLS - BLN HG Zones (7) | 2559 | 1255 | 2.25 | 10.04 | 25 - 100 | 29 | 1.13% | 1.62 | 4.55 |
| BLN/BLS - BLN Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones (4) | 2166 | 8330 | 3.98 | 36.33 | 45 | 8 | 0.37% | 1.41 | 2.59 |
| BLN/BLS - BLS HG Zones (10) | 2163 | 124.00 | 0.99 | 4.09 | 25 - 35 | 8 | 0.37% | 0.92 | 3.26 |
| BLN/BLS - BLS Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones (2) | 2451 | 178.50 | 1.42 | 4.01 | 45 | 7 | 0.29% | 1.31 | 2.83 |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension HG Zones (2) | 45 | 77.89 | 4.02 | 3.26 | 45 | 1 | 2.22% | 3.23 | 2.75 |
| MWC - Martiniere Central HG Zones (9) | 773 | 129.90 | 1.06 | 5.27 | 25 | 5 | 0.65% | 0.87 | 3.05 |
| MWC - Martiniere West Steep HG Zones (2) | 1186 | 407.00 | 2.31 | 5.37 | 90 | 5 | 0.42% | 2.08 | 3.73 |
| MWC - Martiniere West HG Zones (17) | 4239 | 164.50 | 0.65 | 5.78 | 40 | 7 | 0.17% | 0.59 | 3.71 |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact HG Zone (1) | 308 | 21.20 | 0.74 | 3.18 | Not Capped | 0 | 0.00% | 0.74 | 3.18 |
| MN - Martiniere North HG Zones (6) | 1705 | 99.90 | 0.95 | 3.65 | 25 | 5 | 0.29% | 0.88 | 2.32 |
| HF - Horsefly HG Zones (2) | 169 | 41.10 | 1.33 | 3.05 | Not Capped | 0 | 0.00% | 1.33 | 3.05 |




Figure 14.6 – Example of graphs (Cayenne 1) supporting the established capping value for the Fenelon deposit




Figure 14.7 – Example of graphs (BLS Lower Contact) supporting the established capping value for the Martiniere deposit

14.2.4 Density

Density (specific gravity) is used to calculate tonnage from the estimated volumes in the resource-grade block model.

Wallbridge's database contains density measurements obtained by standard water immersion methods on core samples. Table 14.3 and Table 14.4 summarize the available density information by high-grade zones and low-grade envelopes by deposit.

Due to the paucity of data, median values of the density measurements were applied to the high-grade zones of the Fenelon deposit (2.81 g/cm³), the low-grade envelopes of the Fenelon deposit (2.80 g/cm³), the high-grade zones of the Martiniere deposit (2.83 g/cm³) and the low-grade envelopes of the Martiniere deposit (2.81 g/cm³). A density of 3.00 g/cm³ was assigned to high-grade zones associated with massive sulphides (Martiniere only), 2.00 g/cm³ was assigned to the overburden, and 0.00 g/cm³ to the voids.

Table 14.3 – Summary of density measurements for the Fenelon deposit

| Grouped Zones/Envelopes | Count | Min (g/cm ³) | Max (g/cm ³) | Mean (g/cm ³) | Median (g/cm ³) |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| TCG - Tabasco Envelope | 154 | 2.66 | 3.06 | 2.81 | 2.79 |
| A51 - Andromeda Envelope | 18 | 2.79 | 2.92 | 2.82 | 2.82 |
| A51 - Enterprise Envelope | 38 | 2.75 | 3.01 | 2.86 | 2.86 |
| A51 - Hubble Envelope | 18 | 2.70 | 3.01 | 2.84 | 2.84 |
| A51 - Interstellar Envelope | 30 | 2.71 | 2.88 | 2.77 | 2.76 |
| A51 - MIB Envelope | 59 | 2.74 | 2.99 | 2.82 | 2.82 |
| RR - Ripley Main Envelope | 34 | 2.70 | 2.89 | 2.76 | 2.75 |
| A51 - Andromeda HG Zones | 5 | 2.78 | 2.87 | 2.85 | 2.86 |
| A51 - Enterprise HG Zones | 23 | 2.83 | 2.94 | 2.88 | 2.88 |
| A51 - Hubble HG Zones | 7 | 2.75 | 2.94 | 2.85 | 2.86 |
| A51 - MIB HG Zones | 24 | 2.76 | 2.94 | 2.83 | 2.82 |
| TCG - Gabbro HG Zones | 51 | 2.66 | 2.98 | 2.86 | 2.87 |
| TCG - Cayenne HG Zones | 58 | 2.72 | 3.00 | 2.81 | 2.80 |
| TCG - JD Contact Zone | 75 | 2.72 | 2.92 | 2.79 | 2.78 |
| TCG - TabArea51 Zones | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| TCG - Tabasco Minor Zones | 2 | 2.76 | 2.78 | 2.77 | 2.76 |
| TCG - Tabasco Zones | 30 | 2.72 | 2.91 | 2.78 | 2.78 |
| RR - Ripley-Reaper | 11 | 2.72 | 2.81 | 2.75 | 2.74 |
| All | 637 | 2.66 | 3.06 | 2.82 | 2.81 |

Table 14.4 – Summary of density measurements for the Martiniere deposit

| Grouped Zones | Count | Min (g/cm ³) | Max (g/cm ³) | Mean (g/cm ³) | Median (g/cm ³) |
|--|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| BER - Bermuda Envelope | 112 | 2.53 | 2.98 | 2.81 | 2.80 |
| HF - Horsefly Envelope | 196 | 2.22 | 3.27 | 2.79 | 2.81 |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension Envelope | 51 | 2.42 | 3.03 | 2.79 | 2.79 |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake Envelope | 1133 | 1.07 | 5.34 | 2.77 | 2.79 |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake N and S Envelope | 1128 | 1.07 | 396.90 | 3.19 | 2.81 |
| BLN/BLS - BLE Envelope | 384 | 1.65 | 12.00 | 2.80 | 2.79 |
| MWC - Martiniere W and Central Envelope | 2311 | 1.07 | 300.80 | 3.01 | 2.83 |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact Zone Envelope | 59 | 1.88 | 3.05 | 2.77 | 2.77 |
| MN - Martiniere North Envelope | 566 | 2.51 | 3.93 | 2.78 | 2.77 |
| BER - Bermuda HG Zones | 4 | 2.78 | 2.89 | 2.82 | 2.81 |
| BLN/BLS - BLE HG Zones | 54 | 1.76 | 4.51 | 2.88 | 2.81 |
| BLN/BLS - BLN HG Zones | 179 | 1.70 | 4.37 | 2.90 | 2.82 |
| BLN/BLS - BLN Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones | 162 | 2.43 | 3.29 | 2.81 | 2.82 |
| BLN/BLS - BLS HG Zones | 97 | 1.83 | 4.37 | 2.83 | 2.83 |
| BLN/BLS - BLS Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones | 131 | 2.05 | 3.39 | 2.80 | 2.83 |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension HG Zones | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| MWC - Martiniere Central HG Zones | 21 | 2.80 | 2.92 | 2.86 | 2.87 |
| MWC - Martiniere West Steep HG Zones | 33 | 2.55 | 3.09 | 2.88 | 2.87 |
| MWC - Martiniere West HG Zones | 206 | 2.25 | 4.47 | 2.87 | 2.86 |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact HG Zone | 8 | 2.75 | 4.47 | 3.02 | 2.80 |
| MN - Martiniere North HG Zones | 108 | 2.61 | 3.23 | 2.81 | 2.81 |
| HF - Horsefly HG Zones | 5 | 2.77 | 2.88 | 2.82 | 2.81 |
| All | 6948 | 1.07 | 396.90 | 2.93 | 2.81 |

14.2.5 Compositing

To minimize any bias introduced by the variable sample lengths, the gold assays of the drill hole data were composited to 1.0-m lengths for Fenelon and Martiniere in each of the high-grade zones, low-grade zones and envelopes. The thickness of the mineralized structures, the proposed block size and the original sample lengths were considered when determining the composite length. Tails measuring less than half of the chosen composite length were equally distributed. A grade of 0.00 g/t Au was assigned to intervals not sampled by the logging geologists, and intervals with results not yet received from the laboratory (as of the close-out date of the database) were ignored. A total of 219,673 composites were generated for Fenelon and 75,918 for Martiniere.

Table 14.5 and Table 14.6 shows the basic statistics for the composites of the grouped high-grade zones, low-grade zones and envelopes. It illustrates the effect of capping and compositing on the COV of the capped data.

Table 14.5 – Summary statistics for the composites of the Fenelon deposit

| Grouped Zones/Envelopes | Cut Assays | | Composites | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| | Mean (gt Au) | COV | No. of Comp. | Max (g/t Au) | Mean (g/t Au) | COV |
| TCG - Tabasco Envelope | 0.03 | 5.11 | 166436 | 10.00 | 0.03 | 4.40 |
| A51 - Andromeda Envelope | 0.07 | 4.67 | 62116 | 9.72 | 0.07 | 3.82 |
| A51 - Enterprise Envelope | 0.06 | 5.73 | 27929 | 10.00 | 0.06 | 4.60 |
| A51 - Hubble Envelope | 0.06 | 5.03 | 35357 | 7.69 | 0.06 | 4.15 |
| A51 - Interstellar Envelope | 0.05 | 5.58 | 6291 | 6.00 | 0.05 | 4.71 |
| A51 - MIB Envelope | 0.07 | 4.88 | 23193 | 10.00 | 0.07 | 4.13 |
| RR - Ripley Main Envelope | 0.15 | 2.11 | 5730 | 4.00 | 0.15 | 1.88 |
| A51 - Andromeda HG Zones | 1.43 | 3.09 | 7466 | 65.00 | 1.43 | 2.57 |
| A51 - Enterprise HG Zones | 1.61 | 3.37 | 1531 | 52.36 | 1.61 | 2.62 |
| A51 - Hubble HG Zones | 1.24 | 2.33 | 1083 | 25.00 | 1.24 | 1.98 |
| A51 - MIB HG Zones | 1.81 | 3.02 | 1956 | 52.34 | 1.82 | 2.43 |
| TCG - Gabbro HG Zones | 2.64 | 7.02 | 5855 | 330.00 | 2.64 | 5.85 |
| TCG - Cayenne HG Zones | 3.59 | 5.65 | 4630 | 330.00 | 3.59 | 4.72 |
| TCG - JD Contact Zone | 1.52 | 4.24 | 3598 | 88.23 | 1.52 | 3.50 |
| TCG - TabArea51 Zones | 1.37 | 2.42 | 391 | 18.95 | 1.37 | 2.06 |
| TCG - Tabasco Minor Zones | 0.78 | 2.83 | 207 | 15.65 | 0.78 | 2.42 |
| TCG - Tabasco Zones | 1.18 | 4.76 | 5018 | 100.00 | 1.17 | 4.14 |
| RR - Ripley-Reaper | 1.02 | 2.32 | 977 | 25.00 | 1.02 | 2.01 |
| All | 0.22 | 16.22 | 359764 | 330.00 | 0.22 | 13.63 |

Table 14.6 – Summary statistics for the composites of the Martiniere deposit

| Grouped Zone/Envelopes | Cut Assays | | Composites | | | |
|--|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------|
| | Mean (gt Au) | COV | No. Of Comp. | Max (g/t Au) | Mean (g/t Au) | COV |
| BER - Bermuda Envelope | 0.02 | 3.56 | 4103 | 1.00 | 0.02 | 3.16 |
| HF - Horsefly Envelope | 0.04 | 3.67 | 5121 | 2.35 | 0.04 | 3.19 |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension Envelope | 0.03 | 4.03 | 2307 | 1.50 | 0.03 | 3.75 |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake Envelope | 0.07 | 4.17 | 19770 | 6.00 | 0.07 | 3.82 |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake N and S Envelope | 0.03 | 4.49 | 25104 | 4.00 | 0.03 | 3.90 |
| BLN/BLS - BLE Envelope | 0.04 | 3.49 | 6023 | 2.48 | 0.04 | 2.90 |
| MWC - Martiniere W and Central Envelope | 0.06 | 3.96 | 49778 | 4.00 | 0.06 | 3.52 |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact Zone Envelope | 0.02 | 1.90 | 4234 | 0.78 | 0.02 | 1.80 |
| MN - Martiniere North Envelope | 0.07 | 3.75 | 12475 | 4.00 | 0.07 | 3.15 |
| BER - Bermuda HG Zones | 0.38 | 2.18 | 267 | 5.48 | 0.38 | 1.75 |
| BLN/BLS - BLE HG Zones | 0.95 | 3.33 | 848 | 25.00 | 0.93 | 2.92 |
| BLN/BLS - BLN HG Zones | 1.54 | 4.67 | 2524 | 97.05 | 1.54 | 4.15 |
| BLN/BLS - BLN Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones | 1.39 | 2.62 | 1889 | 40.96 | 1.38 | 2.16 |
| BLN/BLS - BLS HG Zones | 0.82 | 3.46 | 2339 | 35.00 | 0.82 | 3.04 |
| BLN/BLS - BLS Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones | 0.16 | 8.42 | 18219 | 41.03 | 0.16 | 7.42 |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension HG Zones | 2.59 | 3.11 | 52 | 45.00 | 2.56 | 3.14 |
| MWC - Martiniere Central HG Zones | 0.79 | 3.22 | 935 | 25.00 | 0.78 | 2.83 |
| MWC - Martiniere West Steep HG Zones | 2.01 | 3.80 | 1078 | 71.85 | 2.01 | 3.19 |
| MWC - Martiniere West HG Zones | 0.55 | 3.85 | 4256 | 40.00 | 0.55 | 3.12 |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact HG Zone | 0.71 | 3.24 | 337 | 20.93 | 0.72 | 3.10 |
| MN - Martiniere North HG Zones | 0.86 | 2.35 | 1583 | 25.00 | 0.86 | 2.03 |
| HF - Horsefly HG Zones | 1.33 | 3.05 | 155 | 30.94 | 1.33 | 2.45 |
| All | 0.16 | 9.07 | 163397 | 97.05 | 0.16 | 7.96 |

14.2.6 Block Model

A block model was created for each of the deposits. Due to the different orientations of high-grade zones and low-grade envelopes in the deposits, the QPs used unrotated sub-block models (octree type) in Edge. High-grade zones and low-grade envelopes from the mineralization model were used as sub-blocking triggers. For Fenelon, the voids

(underground openings and the gabbro pit surface) were also used as sub-block triggers.

The origin of each block model is the upper-south-left corner. Block dimensions reflect the sizes of the mineralized zones, plausible mining methods and the drilling grid.

Table 14.7 shows the properties of each block model.

Table 14.7 – Properties of block models

| Properties | X (Columns) | Y (Rows) | Z (Levels) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| Fenelon deposit | | | |
| Origin coordinates (UTM NAD83) | 668725 | 5539850 | 330 |
| Parent block size | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of parent blocks | 650 | 750 | 350 |
| Sub-block size | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Block model extent (m) | 2600 | 3000 | 1400 |
| Rotation | Not applied | | |
| Martiniere deposit | | | |
| Origin coordinates (UTM NAD83) | 640000 | 5541830 | 270 |
| Parent block size | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of parent blocks | 740 | 675 | 210 |
| Sub-block size | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Block model extent (m) | 2960 | 2700 | 840 |
| Rotation | Not applied | | |

14.2.7 Variography and Search Ellipsoids

For the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits, 3D directional variography was completed on drill hole composites of capped gold assay data. The study was carried out in Supervisor. The 3D directional-specific investigations on each high-grade zone and envelope yielded best-fit models along orientations that correspond to the mean strike and dip of each zone/envelope. Locally, some high-grade zones did not contain enough composites to properly assess a best-fit model. Consequently, composites from similar zones (based on position and overall geology) were added to the study, and the resulting variogram models were adjusted to fit the mean orientation (azimuth and dip) of each of those specific high-grade zones. Three (3) sets of search ellipsoids (first, second and third search pass) were built from the variogram analysis, corresponding to 0.5x, 1.0x and 2.0x the results obtained from the variography study.

For the Fenelon deposit, the 3D directional-specific search ellipsoids for the broader high-grade zones of the Tabasco-Cayenne corridors and the Ripley-Reaper area were guided by the mid-planes of each modelled solids for an anisotropic search. For the Martiniere deposit, the 3D directional-specific search ellipsoids for the broader high-grade zones of the “Bug Lake South Lower Contact”, “Bug Lake South Upper Contact” and “South Gabbro Contact Zone” were guided by the mid-planes of these modelled solids for an

anisotropic search. Other high-grade zones and low-grade envelopes in both deposits used search ellipsoids with a fixed orientation corresponding to the mean orientation of each high-grade zone and envelope.

For the Fenelon deposit, Figure 14.8 shows an example of the variography study using the Cayenne 1 high-grade zone, and Figure 14.9 presents an example of the search ellipse (full ranges) compared to the composite data points using again the Cayenne 1 high-grade zone (Cayenne 1).

For the Martiniere deposit, Figure 14.10 shows an example of the variography study, using the BLS Lower Contact high-grade zone, and Figure 14.11 presents an example of the search ellipse (full ranges) compared to the composite data points using again the BLS Lower Contact high-grade zone.




Figure 14.8 – Variograms for the Cayenne 1 HG Zone




Figure 14.9 – Long section of the ellipsoid radii and wireframe for the Cayenne 1 HG Zone




Figure 14.10 – Variograms for the BLS Lower Contact HG Zone




Figure 14.11 – Long Section of the ellipsoid radii and wireframe for the BLS Lower Contact HG Zone

14.2.8 Grade Interpolation

The interpolation profiles were customized for the high-grade zones and low-grade envelopes, and were used as interpolation domains with hard boundaries.

The variography study provided the parameters for interpolating the grade model using the composites. In Edge, the interpolation inside each domain was run on point datasets corresponding to the mid-points of the composite intervals.

A three-pass strategy was used with the capped composites.

The remaining high gold values, unconstrained by a high-grade zone but inside a low-grade envelope, used a restricted search to reduce the smearing of high gold values over large distances. The ID² method was selected for the final mineral resource estimate as it better honours the grade distribution for these types of deposits.

The parameters for the grade estimation specific to Edge are summarized in Table 14.8 for the Fenelon deposit and Table 14.9 for the Martiniere deposit.

Table 14.8 – Estimation parameters for the Fenelon deposit

| Grouped Zones/Envelopes | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|---------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| TCG - Tabasco Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 195 | 140 | 75 | 75 | 37.5 | 50 | 5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 195 | 140 | 150 | 150 | 75 | 25 | 5 |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 195 | 140 | 300 | 300 | 150 | 12.5 | 5 |
| A51 - Andromeda Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 85 | 155 | 60 | 100 | 65 | 40 | 50 | 5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 85 | 155 | 60 | 200 | 130 | 80 | 25 | 5 |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | 85 | 155 | 60 | 400 | 260 | 160 | 12.5 | 5 |
| A51 - Enterprise Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 165 | 50 | 75 | 57.5 | 12.5 | 50 | 5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 165 | 50 | 150 | 115 | 25 | 25 | 5 |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 165 | 50 | 300 | 230 | 50 | 12.5 | 5 |
| A51 - Hubble Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 80 | 155 | 70 | 80 | 60 | 25 | 50 | 5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 80 | 155 | 70 | 160 | 120 | 50 | 25 | 5 |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. | 4 | 20 | 4 | 80 | 155 | 70 | 320 | 240 | 100 | 12.5 | 5 |

| Grouped Zones/Envelopes | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|--|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) | |
| | | ranges | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A51 - Interstellar Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 90 | 170 | 70 | 90 | 52.5 | 35 | 50 | 3 | |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 90 | 170 | 70 | 180 | 105 | 70 | 25 | 3 | |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | 90 | 170 | 70 | 360 | 210 | 140 | 12.5 | 3 | |
| A51 - MIB Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 170 | 80 | 105 | 97.5 | 22.5 | 50 | 5 | |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 170 | 80 | 210 | 195 | 45 | 25 | 5 | |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | 70 | 170 | 80 | 420 | 390 | 90 | 12.5 | 5 | |
| RR - Ripley Main Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 45 | 140 | 65 | 105 | 87.5 | 17.5 | 50 | 2 | |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 45 | 140 | 65 | 210 | 175 | 35 | 25 | 2 | |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | 45 | 140 | 65 | 420 | 350 | 70 | 12.5 | 2 | |
| A51 - Andromeda HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | | 65 | 100 | 40 | 35 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | | 65 | 200 | 80 | 70 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | | 65 | 400 | 160 | 140 | N/A | N/A |

| Grouped Zones/Envelopes | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|---------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|--|-------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| A51 - Enterprise HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 80 | 72.5 | 45 | 20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 80 | 145 | 90 | 40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 80 | 290 | 180 | 80 | N/A | N/A |
| A51 - Hubble HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 45-65 | 65-70 | 32.5-42.5 | 15-20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 45-65 | 130-140 | 65-85 | 30-40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 45-65 | 260-280 | 130-170 | 60-80 | N/A | N/A |
| A51 - MIB HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 70 | 90 | 37.5 | 25 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 70 | 180 | 75 | 50 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 70 | 360 | 150 | 100 | N/A | N/A |
| TCG - Gabbro HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 55-160 | 17.5-67.5 | 15-55 | 10-30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 55-160 | 35-135 | 30-110 | 20-60 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 55-160 | 70-270 | 60-220 | 40-120 | N/A | N/A |
| TCG - Cayenne HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Variable Orientation | | 40-50 | 35-105 | 27.5-100 | 20-30 | N/A | N/A |

| Grouped Zones/Envelopes | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|---------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|--|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 40-50 | 70-210 | 55-200 | 40-60 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 40-50 | 140-420 | 110-400 | 80-120 | N/A | N/A |
| TCG - JD Contact Zone | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Variable Orientation | | 75 | 80 | 65 | 20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 75 | 160 | 130 | 40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 75 | 320 | 260 | 80 | N/A | N/A |
| TCG - TabArea51 Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 90 | 355 | 70 | 50 | 42 | 15 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | 90 | 355 | 70 | 100 | 84 | 30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | 90 | 355 | 70 | 200 | 168 | 60 | N/A | N/A |
| TCG - Tabasco Minor Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 105-110 | 87.5 | 42.5-60 | 6-15 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 105-110 | 175 | 85-120 | 12-30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 105-110 | 350 | 170-240 | 24-60 | N/A | N/A |
| TCG - Tabasco Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Variable Orientation | | 70-125 | 60-100 | 50-75 | 10-50 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 70-125 | 120-200 | 100-150 | 20-100 | N/A | N/A |

| Grouped Zones/Envelopes | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|-------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 70-125 | 240-400 | 200-300 | 40-200 | N/A | N/A |
| RR - Ripley-Reaper | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | Variable Orientation | | 50-80 | 82.5-105 | 60-87.5 | 17.5-20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 5 | 20 | 4 | | | 50-80 | 165-210 | 120-175 | 35-40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 2.0 x vario. ranges | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | 50-80 | 330-420 | 240-350 | 70-80 | N/A | N/A |

Table 14.9 – Estimation parameters for the Martiniere deposit

| Grouped Zones/Envelope | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|-----------------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. /drill hole | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| BER - Bermuda Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 70 | 120 | 100 | 50 | 27.5 | 10 | 50 | 0.5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 70 | 120 | 100 | 100 | 55 | 20 | 25 | 0.5 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 70 | 120 | 100 | 200 | 110 | 40 | 12.5 | 0.5 |
| HF - Horsefly Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 62.5 | 43 | 18 | 50 | 1.5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 125 | 86 | 36 | 25 | 1.5 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 250 | 172 | 72 | 12.5 | 1.5 |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 60 | 100 | 40 | 75 | 42.5 | 25 | 50 | 0.75 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 60 | 100 | 40 | 150 | 85 | 50 | 25 | 0.75 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 60 | 100 | 40 | 300 | 170 | 100 | 12.5 | 0.75 |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 65 | 65 | 140 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 50 | 3 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 65 | 65 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 80 | 25 | 3 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. | 3 | 12 | 3 | 65 | 65 | 140 | 240 | 200 | 160 | 12.5 | 3 |

| Grouped Zones/Envelope | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|--|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. /drill hole | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| | | ranges | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BLN/BLS - Bug Lake N and S Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 85 | 350 | 55 | 75 | 50 | 30 | 50 | 2 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 85 | 350 | 55 | 150 | 100 | 60 | 25 | 2 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 85 | 350 | 55 | 300 | 200 | 120 | 12.5 | 2 |
| BLN/BLS - BLE Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 160 | 20 | 55 | 45 | 15 | 50 | 1.5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 160 | 20 | 110 | 90 | 30 | 25 | 1.5 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 160 | 20 | 220 | 180 | 60 | 12.5 | 1.5 |
| MWC - Martiniere W and Central Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 25 | 230 | 105 | 68 | 25 | 22 | 50 | 2 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 25 | 230 | 105 | 136 | 50 | 44 | 25 | 2 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 25 | 230 | 105 | 272 | 100 | 88 | 12.5 | 2 |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact Zone Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 80 | 320 | 170 | 42.5 | 32.5 | 19.5 | 50 | 0.5 |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 80 | 320 | 170 | 85 | 65 | 39 | 25 | 0.5 |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. | 3 | 12 | 3 | 80 | 320 | 170 | 170 | 130 | 78 | 12.5 | 0.5 |

| Grouped Zones/Envelope | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. /drill hole | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) | |
| | | ranges | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MN - Martiniere North Envelope | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 60 | 215 | 85 | 92.5 | 65 | 31 | 50 | 2 | |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 60 | 215 | 85 | 185 | 130 | 62 | 25 | 2 | |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 60 | 215 | 85 | 370 | 260 | 124 | 12.5 | 2 | |
| BER - Bermuda HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | 50 | 27.5 | 10 | 60 | 130 | 60 | N/A | N/A | |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 100 | 55 | 20 | 60 | 130 | 60 | N/A | N/A | |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | 200 | 110 | 40 | 60 | 130 | 60 | N/A | N/A | |
| BLN/BLS - BLE HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | | 175 | 50 | 17.5 | 15 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 175 | 100 | 35 | 30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 175 | 200 | 70 | 60 | N/A | N/A |
| BLN/BLS - BLN HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | | 30-150 | 40-65 | 10-27.5 | 7.5-20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 30-150 | 80-130 | 20-55 | 15-40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 30-150 | 160-260 | 40-110 | 30-80 | N/A | N/A |

| Grouped Zones/Envelope | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|--|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. /drill hole | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| | | ranges | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BLN/BLS - BLN Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 5-155 | 57.5-65 | 37.5-45 | 10-20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 5-155 | 115-130 | 75-90 | 20-40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 5-155 | 230-260 | 150-180 | 40-80 | N/A | N/A |
| BLN/BLS - BLS HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 10-130 | 65-75 | 30-62.5 | 10-20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 10-130 | 130-150 | 60-125 | 20-40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 10-130 | 260-300 | 120-250 | 40-80 | N/A | N/A |
| BLN/BLS - BLS Upper/Lower Contact HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Variable Orientation | | 145-155 | 72.5-82.5 | 50-80 | 10-15 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 145-155 | 145-165 | 100-160 | 20-30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 145-155 | 290-330 | 200-320 | 40-60 | N/A | N/A |
| BLN/BLS - East Extension HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 30 | 40 | 25 | 15 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 30 | 80 | 50 | 30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 30 | 160 | 100 | 60 | N/A | N/A |

| Grouped Zones/Envelope | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | |
|--------------------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. /drill hole | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) |
| | | ranges | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MWC - Martiniere Central HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 120 | 55 | 37.5 | 15 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 120 | 110 | 75 | 30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 120 | 220 | 150 | 60 | N/A | N/A |
| MWC - Martiniere West Steep HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 80 | 35 | 25 | 11 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 80 | 70 | 50 | 22 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 80 | 140 | 100 | 44 | N/A | N/A |
| MWC - Martiniere West HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | 90 | 80 | 50 | 20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 90 | 160 | 100 | 40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 90 | 320 | 200 | 80 | N/A | N/A |
| MWC - South Gabbro Contact HG Zone | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Variable Orientation | | 160 | 50 | 37.5 | 15 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 160 | 100 | 75 | 30 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | 160 | 200 | 150 | 60 | N/A | N/A |

| Grouped Zones/Envelope | Pass | Ellipsoid | Composite Parameters | | | Edge Orientation | | | Ranges (Based on Variogram) | | | High-Grade Restricted Search | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| | | | Min Comp | Max Comp | Max Comp. /drill hole | Dip | Dip Azimuth | Pitch | Major (m) | Int. (m) | Minor (m) | Distance (%) | Au Value (Au g/t) | |
| | | ranges | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MN - Martiniere North HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | | 50 | 60 | 25 | 20 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 50 | 120 | 50 | 40 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 50 | 240 | 100 | 80 | N/A | N/A |
| HF - Horsefly HG Zones | 1 | 0.5 x vario. ranges | 4 | 12 | 3 | Oriented parallel to the wireframes of each individual zones | | | 50 | 35 | 20 | 19 | N/A | N/A |
| | 2 | 1.0 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 50 | 70 | 40 | 38 | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 1.5 x vario. ranges | 3 | 12 | 3 | | | | 50 | 140 | 80 | 76 | N/A | N/A |

14.2.9 Block Model Validation

The QPs performed visual and statistical validations to ensure that the final mineral resource block model was consistent with the primary data.

The volume of blocks for each code, attributed by high-grade zone or low-grade envelope, was compared with the volumes of the 3D wireframe models. The volume comparison did not identify any issues.

Block model grades, composite grades, and assays were visually compared on sections, plans and longitudinal views for densely and sparsely drilled areas. No significant differences were observed. A generally good match was noted in the grade distribution without excessive smoothing in the block model (Figure 14.12 as an example for Fenelon and Figure 14.13 as an example for Martiniere).

The trend and local variation of the estimated OK and ID2 models were statistically compared to the NN model and composite data using swath plots in three directions (sections along the X, Y and Z axes) for blocks interpolated by the first and second pass (swath plots along the X-axis for Fenelon and Martiniere are shown, as examples, in Figure 14.14 and Figure 14.15).

The comparison between composite and block grade distribution did not identify significant issues.




Figure 14.12 – Visual validation comparing drill hole composites and block model grade values (example of Cayenne 1 HG Zone, Fenelon deposit)




Figure 14.13 – Visual validation comparing drill hole composites and block model grade values (example of BLS Lower Contact HG Zone, Martiniere deposit)




Figure 14.14 – High-grade zones swath plot comparison of block estimates along the X-axis (Fenelon deposit)




Figure 14.15 – High-grade zones swath plot comparison of block estimates along the X-axis (Martiniere deposit)

14.2.10 Mineral Resource Classification

The 2023 MRE comprises Indicated and Inferred mineral resources. The categories were prepared using a script in Edge. The resulting classifications were subsequently refined using a series of outline rings (clipping boundaries) to upgrade inferred blocks or downgrade indicated blocks. The QPs consider this a necessary step to homogenize the mineral resource volumes in each category and avoid including isolated blocks in the Indicated category.

The classification takes into account the following criteria:

- Interpolation pass
- Distance to closest information
- Number of drill holes used to estimate the block's grade

No measured mineral resources were defined.

The indicated category was assigned to blocks estimated in the first and second pass, with reasonable geological and grade continuity, with a minimum of two (2) drill holes in areas where the minimum distance from a drill hole is less than 35 m (and within a drill grid of at least 3 drill holes) for the Martiniere deposit or the Tabasco-Cayenne zones of the Fenelon deposit, or less than 25 m (and within a drill grid of at least 3 drill holes) for the Area 51, Ripley-Reaper and Gabbro zones.

The inferred category is defined for blocks estimated in the first and second pass, with reasonable geological and grade continuity, with a minimum of two (2) drill holes in areas where the minimum distance from a drill hole is less than 70 m (and within a drill grid of at least 3 drill holes) for the Martiniere deposit or the Tabasco-Cayenne zones of the Fenelon deposit, or less than 50 m (and within a drill grid of at least 3 drill holes) for the Area 51, Ripley-Reaper and Gabbro zones.

14.2.11 Economic Parameters and Cut-Off Grade

The economic parameters for the 2023 MRE were optimized by considering the synergy between the Martiniere and Fenelon deposits.

The cut-off grades ("COGs") for the Fenelon deposit are 0.45 g/t for the potential open-pit extraction scenario and 1.50 g/t Au for the potential underground extraction scenario. For Martiniere, a cut-off grade of 0.55 g/t is used for the potential open-pit extraction scenario and 2.40 g/t Au (Long-hole mining method) or 2.60 g/t Au (Cut and Fill mining method) for the potential underground extraction scenario.

The selected cut-off grades were calculated and then rounded using the parameters presented in Table 14.10.

The cut-off grades and parameters were used for the pit shell optimization (Whittle) and the underground stope optimization (Deswik Stope Optimizer or "DSO") to produce constraining volumes as conceptual mining shapes.

Cut-off grades should be re-evaluated in light of prevailing market conditions and other factors, such as gold price, exchange rate, mining method, related costs, etc.

Table 14.10 – Input parameters used to calculate the cut-off grades

| Parameters | Unit | Value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Gold Price | US\$/oz | 1600 |
| Exchange Rate | CA\$/US\$ | 1.30 |
| Fenelon | | |
| Metallurgic Recovery | % | 95.00 |
| Mining Cost – Open Pit (Overburden) | CA\$/t | 2.15 |
| Mining Cost – Open Pit (Bedrock) | CA\$/t | 5.50 |
| Mining Cost – UG | CA\$/t milled | 65.00 |
| G&A Cost- Open Pit / UG | CA\$/t milled | 9.20 |
| Processing Cost- Open Pit / UG | CA\$/t milled | 18.15 |
| Calculated COG – Open Pit | Au g/t | 0.45 |
| Calculated COG – UG | Au g/t | 1.50 |
| Martiniere | | |
| Metallurgic Recovery | % | 96.00 |
| Mining Cost – Open Pit (Overburden) | CA\$/t | 2.15 |
| Mining Cost – Open Pit (Bedrock) | CA\$/t | 4.55 |
| Mining Cost – UG (Long-hole) | CA\$/t milled | 118.80 |
| Mining Cost – UG (Cut & Fill) | CA\$/t milled | 130.70 |
| G&A Cost- Open Pit / UG | CA\$/t milled | 9.20 |
| Processing Cost- Open Pit / UG | CA\$/t milled | 18.15 |
| Calculated COG - Open Pit | Au g/t | 0.55 |
| Calculated COG – UG (Long-hole) | Au g/t | 2.40 |
| Calculated COG – UG (Cut & Fill) | Au g/t | 2.60 |

For Fenelon, the DSO parameters used a mining shape of 10.0 m along the strike of the deposit, a height of 15.0 m to 20.0m (depending of the location of the stope in the deposit) and a width of 2.0 m. The typical shape was optimized first. If it was not potentially economical, smaller stope shapes were optimized until it reached the minimum mining shape (half the height of the typical shape).

For Martiniere, the DSO parameters, used for the potential long-hole mining method, used a mining shape of 10.0 m along the strike of the deposit, a height of 20.0m and a width of 2.0 m. The typical shape was optimized first. If it was not potentially economical, smaller stope shapes were optimized until it reached the minimum mining shape (half the height and full length along strike of the typical shape or full height and half of the length along strike of the typical shape). The DSO parameters, used for the potential cut and fill mining method, used a mining shape of 10.0 m along the strike of the deposit, a height of 4.0m and a width of 3.5 m. The typical shape was optimized first. If it was not potentially economical, smaller stope shapes were optimized until it reached the minimum mining shape (full height and half of the length along strike of the typical shape).

The use of those conceptual mining shapes as constraints to report mineral resource estimates demonstrates that the criterion of “reasonable prospects for eventual economic

extraction” has been met. The criterion is defined in the CIM Definition Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves (CIM Definition Standards; May 10, 2014) and the CIM Estimation of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves Best Practice Guidelines (CIM MRMR Best Practice Guidelines; November 29, 2019).

14.2.12 Mineral Resource Estimate

The QPs are of the opinion that the 2023 MRE can be classified as Indicated and Inferred mineral resources based on geology, grade continuity, data density, search ellipse criteria, drill hole spacing and interpolation parameters. The requirement of reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction has been met by having a minimum width for the modelling of the mineralization zones and a cut-off grade, using reasonable inputs, both for potential open pit and underground extraction scenarios, and constraints consisting of a surface shape for the open-pit scenario and mineable shapes for the underground scenario.

The QPs consider the 2023 MRE reliable and based on quality data and geological knowledge. The estimate follows CIM Definition Standards and CIM MRMR Best Practice Guidelines.

Figure 14.16 and Figure 14.17 show the classified mineral resources within the constraining volumes (optimized pits and DSOs) for the Martiniere and Fenelon deposit.

Table 14.11, Table 14.3 and Table 14.4 display the results of the 2023 MRE.




Figure 14.16 – Classified mineral resources within the constraining volumes for the Fenelon deposit




Figure 14.17 – Classified mineral resources within the constraining volumes for the Martiniere deposit

Table 14.11 – Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate (by deposit)

| Deposit | Category | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) | Total (oz Au) |
|------------|-----------|------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Fenelon | Indicated | In Pit > 0.45 | 727,400 | 4.46 | 104,400 | 2,369,600 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 20,931,700 | 3.37 | 2,265,200 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.45 | 303,900 | 4.08 | 39,800 | 1,718,400 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 18,181,400 | 2.87 | 1,678,500 | |
| Martiniere | Indicated | In Pit > 0.55 | 7,757,700 | 2.14 | 534,100 | 684,300 |
| | | UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 31,600 | 2.84 | 2,900 | |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 1,253,500 | 3.66 | 147,400 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.55 | 2,652,400 | 1.83 | 156,400 | 632,300 |
| | | UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 215,200 | 2.96 | 20,500 | |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 3,327,300 | 4.26 | 455,400 | |

| Deposit | Category | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) | Total (oz Au) |
|-----------------|----------|------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Total Indicated | | | 30,701,900 | 3.09 | | 3,054,000 |
| Total Inferred | | | 24,680,200 | 2.96 | | 2,350,700 |

Table 14.12 – Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate – Fenelon deposit by zone

| Fenelon | Category | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (Au g/t) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) | Total (oz Au) |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Tabasco-Cayenne and Gabbro | Indicated | In Pit > 0.45 | 457,100 | 4.30 | 63,200 | 1,647,700 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 13,581,600 | 3.63 | 1,584,500 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.45 | 17,400 | 1.69 | 900 | 402,300 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 3,961,200 | 3.15 | 401,300 | |
| Area 51 | Indicated | In Pit > 0.45 | 270,300 | 4.74 | 41,200 | 708,300 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 7,173,500 | 2.89 | 667,100 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.45 | 286,500 | 4.22 | 38,900 | 1,233,900 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 12,998,500 | 2.86 | 1,194,900 | |
| Ripley - Reaper | Indicated | In Pit > 0.45 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 13,600 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 176,600 | 2.40 | 13,600 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.45 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 82,200 |
| | | UG > 1.50 | 1,221,700 | 2.09 | 82,200 | |
| Total Indicated | | | 21,659,100 | 3.40 | | 2,369,600 |
| Total Inferred | | | 18,485,300 | 2.89 | | 1,718,400 |

Table 14.13 – Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate – Martiniere deposit by zone

| Martiniere | Category | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) | Total (oz Au) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Martiniere North | Indicated | In Pit > 0.55 | 65,700 | 2.07 | 4,400 | 33,900 |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 271,900 | 3.38 | 29,600 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.55 | 174,800 | 1.77 | 10,000 | 46,800 |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 396,200 | 2.89 | 36,800 | |
| Martiniere West and Central | Indicated | In Pit > 0.55 | 1,558,200 | 2.24 | 112,000 | 151,300 |
| | | UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 31,600 | 2.84 | 2,900 | |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 342,500 | 3.31 | 36,500 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.55 | 742,300 | 1.59 | 38,000 | 259,600 |
| | | UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 215,200 | 2.96 | 20,500 | |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 1,628,200 | 3.84 | 201,100 | |
| Horsefly | Indicated | In Pit > 0.55 | 0 | — | 0 | 7,100 |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 68,200 | 3.25 | 7,100 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.55 | 0 | — | 0 | 2,500 |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 23,200 | 3.41 | 2,500 | |
| Bug Lake | Indicated | In Pit > 0.55 | 6,133,800 | 2.12 | 417,700 | 491,900 |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 571,000 | 4.04 | 74,200 | |
| | Inferred | In Pit > 0.55 | 1,735,300 | 1.94 | 108,500 | 323,400 |
| | | UG (LH) > 2.40 | 1,279,800 | 5.22 | 214,900 | |
| Total Indicated | | | 9,042,800 | 2.35 | | 684,300 |
| Total Inferred | | | 6,194,900 | 3.17 | | 632,300 |

Notes to the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate:

1. The independent and qualified persons (“QPs”) for the 2023 MRE are Carl Pelletier (P.Geo.), Vincent Nadeau-Benoit (P.Geo.), Simon Boudreau (P.Eng.) and Marc R. Beauvais (P.Eng.), all of InnovExplo Inc. The 2023 RE follows CIM Definition Standards (2014) and CIM MRMR Guidelines (2019). The effective date of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE is January 13, 2023.
2. These mineral resources are not mineral reserves as they do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. The QPs are not aware of any known environmental, permitting, legal, title-related, taxation, sociopolitical or marketing issues, or any other relevant issue, that could materially affect the potential development of mineral resources other than those discussed in the 2023 MRE.
4. For Fenelon, 112 high-grade zones and seven (7) low-grade envelopes were modelled in 3D to the true thickness of the mineralization. Supported by measurements, a density value of 2.80 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the high-grade zones, and 2.81 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the low-grade envelopes. High-grade capping was done on raw assay data and established on a per-zone basis, ranging between 25 g/t and 100 g/t Au for the high-grade zones, except for Chipotle and Cayenne 3 for which capping was set at 330 g/t Au, and between 4 g/t and 10 g/t Au for the low-grade envelopes. Composites (1.0 m) were calculated within the zones and envelopes using the grade of the adjacent material when assayed or a value of zero when not assayed. A minimum mining width of 2 m was used for underground stope optimization.

5. For Martiniere, 75 high-grade zones and nine (9) low-grade envelopes were modelled in 3D to the true thickness of the mineralization. Supported by measurements, a density value of 2.83 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the high-grade zones, except for the high-grade zones associated with massive sulphide intersections where a value of 3.00 g/cm³ was applied, and 2.81 g/cm³ was applied to the blocks inside the low-grade envelopes. High-grade capping was done on raw assay data and established on a per-zone basis, ranging between 25 g/t and 100 g/t Au for the high-grade zones and between 1 g/t and 6 g/t Au for the low-grade envelopes. Composites (1.0 m) were calculated within the zones and envelopes using the grade of the adjacent material when assayed or a value of zero when not assayed. A minimum mining width of 2 m was used for underground stope optimization.
6. The criterion of reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction has been met by having constraining volumes applied to blocks (potential surface and underground extraction scenario) using Whittle and DSO and by the application of cut-off grades. The cut-off grade for the Fenelon deposit was calculated using a gold price of US\$1,600 per ounce; a CAD:USD exchange rate of 1.30; a refining cost of \$5.00/t; a processing cost of \$18.15/t; a mining cost of \$5.50/t (bedrock) or \$2.15/t (overburden) for the surface portion, a mining cost of \$65.00/t for the underground portion and a G&A cost of \$9.20/t. Values of metallurgical recovery of 95.0% and royalty of 4.0% were applied during the cut-off grade calculation. The cut-off grade for the Martiniere deposit was calculated using a gold price of US\$1,600 per ounce; a CAD:USD exchange rate of 1.30; a refining cost of \$5.00/t; a processing cost of \$18.15/t; a mining cost of \$4.55/t (bedrock) or \$2.15/t (overburden) for the surface portion, a mining cost of \$118.80/t for the underground portion using the long-hole mining method (LH), a mining cost of \$130.70/t for the underground portion using the cut and fill mining method (C&F), a G&A cost of \$9.20/t and a transport-to-process cost of \$6.50/t. Values of metallurgical recovery of 96.0% and royalty of 2.0% were applied during the cut-off grade calculation. The cut-off grades should be re-evaluated in light of future prevailing market conditions (metal prices, exchange rate, mining cost, etc.).
7. Results are presented in situ. Ounce (troy) = metric tons x grade/31.10348. The number of tonnes and ounces was rounded to the nearest thousand. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding effects; rounding followed the recommendations as per NI 43-101.

Table 14.14 and Table 14.15 show the gold price sensitivity analysis of the 2023 MRE. The reader is cautioned that the numbers provided in those tables should not be interpreted as a mineral resource statement. The reported quantities and grades at different cut-off grades are presented in situ and for the sole purpose of demonstrating the sensitivity of the mineral resource model to the selection of a reporting cut-off grade.

Table 14.14 – Gold price sensitivity analysis for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE (Fenelon Deposit)

| Fenelon (All Zones) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Gold Price (US\$/oz) | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) |
| Indicated Resources | | | | | | | | |
| 1920 | In Pit > 0.35 | 817,500 | 4.06 | 106,600 | UG > 1.25 | 25,433,700 | 3.00 | 2,457,100 |
| 1760 | In Pit > 0.40 | 774,800 | 4.24 | 105,700 | UG > 1.35 | 23,530,400 | 3.15 | 2,380,300 |
| 1600 | In Pit > 0.45 | 727,400 | 4.46 | 104,400 | UG > 1.50 | 20,931,700 | 3.37 | 2,265,200 |
| 1440 | In Pit > 0.50 | 530,200 | 5.27 | 89,900 | UG > 1.70 | 18,188,100 | 3.65 | 2,136,600 |
| 1280 | In Pit > 0.55 | 476,000 | 5.60 | 85,800 | UG > 1.90 | 15,890,500 | 3.93 | 2,009,900 |
| Inferred Resources | | | | | | | | |
| 1920 | In Pit > 0.35 | 334,100 | 3.75 | 40,200 | UG > 1.25 | 23,609,500 | 2.52 | 1,911,600 |
| 1760 | In Pit > 0.40 | 316,500 | 3.93 | 40,000 | UG > 1.35 | 21,207,500 | 2.66 | 1,813,400 |
| 1600 | In Pit > 0.45 | 303,900 | 4.08 | 39,800 | UG > 1.50 | 18,181,400 | 2.87 | 1,678,500 |
| 1440 | In Pit > 0.50 | 161,900 | 5.10 | 26,500 | UG > 1.70 | 15,016,500 | 3.16 | 1,524,300 |
| 1280 | In Pit > 0.55 | 144,300 | 5.40 | 25,000 | UG > 1.90 | 12,512,600 | 3.44 | 1,383,500 |

Table 14.15 – Gold price sensitivity analysis for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE (Martiniere Deposit)

| Martiniere (All Zones) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Gold Price (US\$/oz) | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) | Cut-off Grade (g/t Au) | Tonnes (t) | Grade (g/t Au) | Troy Ounces (oz Au) |
| Indicated Resources | | | | | | | | |
| 1920 | In Pit > 0.45 | 11,912,200 | 1.87 | 715,400 | UG (LH) > 2.00 UG (C&F) > 2.15 | 1,303,200 | 3.21 | 134,600 |
| 1760 | In Pit > 0.50 | 9,741,100 | 1.99 | 622,100 | UG (LH) > 2.20 UG (C&F) > 2.35 | 1,378,900 | 3.41 | 151,100 |
| 1600 | In Pit > 0.55 | 7,757,700 | 2.14 | 534,100 | UG (LH) > 2.40 UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 1,285,100 | 3.64 | 150,300 |
| 1440 | In Pit > 0.60 | 6,568,100 | 2.24 | 472,100 | UG (LH) > 2.70 UG (C&F) > 2.90 | 1,188,300 | 4.08 | 155,800 |
| 1280 | In Pit > 0.65 | 5,546,900 | 2.38 | 424,700 | UG (LH) > 3.05 UG (C&F) > 3.30 | 944,900 | 4.38 | 133,100 |
| Inferred Resources | | | | | | | | |
| 1920 | In Pit > 0.45 | 5,456,700 | 1.57 | 275,900 | UG (LH) > 2.00 UG (C&F) > 2.15 | 4,666,400 | 3.58 | 537,400 |
| 1760 | In Pit > 0.50 | 3,507,500 | 1.66 | 187,700 | UG (LH) > 2.20 UG (C&F) > 2.35 | 4,154,500 | 3.94 | 525,800 |
| 1600 | In Pit > 0.55 | 2,652,400 | 1.83 | 156,400 | UG (LH) > 2.40 UG (C&F) > 2.60 | 3,542,500 | 4.18 | 475,900 |
| 1440 | In Pit > 0.60 | 1,885,200 | 1.97 | 119,400 | UG (LH) > 2.70 UG (C&F) > 2.90 | 2,988,300 | 4.69 | 450,500 |
| 1280 | In Pit > 0.65 | 1,316,100 | 2.13 | 90,200 | UG (LH) > 3.05 UG (C&F) > 3.30 | 2,365,900 | 5.24 | 398,400 |

15. MINERAL RESERVE ESTIMATES

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

16. MINING METHODS

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

17. RECOVERY METHODS

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

18. PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

19. MARKET STUDIES AND CONTRACTS

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

20. ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES, PERMITTING, AND SOCIAL OR COMMUNITY IMPACT

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

21. CAPITAL AND OPERATING COSTS

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

22. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Not applicable at the current stage of the project.

23. ADJACENT PROPERTIES

As at the effective date of this Technical Report, the online GESTIM claims database shows several claim blocks under different ownerships around the Property (Figure 23.1). The information on these adjacent properties was obtained from the public domain and has not been verified by the QPs. Nearby mineralized occurrences are not necessarily indicative that the Property hosts similar types of mineralization. At the time of writing, the QPs were not aware of any active exploration activities in the immediate area of the Property that would be relevant to the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE.

The Detour Lake mine belonging to Agnico Eagle Mines Limited (formerly Kirkland Lake Gold prior to the merger of February 2022) is the most significant nearby mineral occurrence. The gold mine is approximately 15 km west of the Property boundary. The Detour Lake, West Detour and North Pit deposits represent a large orogenic gold system of 835 Mt @ 0.76 g/t Au totalling 20.4 Moz gold in the Proven and Probable category. These mineral reserves are reported using a variable optimized cut-off strategy with a minimum cut-off grade of 0.50 g/t Au (mineral reserves as of March 31, 2022; Agnico, 2022). The large Kirkland Lake Gold claim block also includes the Zone 58N gold deposit with mineral resources of 2.7 Mt @ 5.8 g/t Au for a total of 0.534 Moz gold in the Measured and Indicated category (Leite et al., 2020). The Detour Lake and Detour West deposits are hosted by the Deloro Assemblage near the SLDZ, while Zone 58N is close to the LDDZ.

Another significant mineral occurrence in the area is the Selbaie VMS deposit located 20 km south of the Property. This former BHP Billiton mine was closed in 2004 after achieving past production of 47.3 Mt @ 0.98% Cu, 1.98% Zn, 20 g/t Ag and 0.9 g/t Au (Voordouw and Jutras, 2018).




Figure 23.1 – Adjacent properties

24. OTHER RELEVANT DATA AND INFORMATION

Two different operators have carried out three bulk sampling programs on the Fenelon deposit (Gabbro Zones) for an aggregate total of 57,431 t at an average recovery grade of 14.62 g/t Au, yielding 26,905 ounces of gold.

Table 24.1 breaks down the bulk sample results by operator.

Table 24.1 – Bulk sample results

| Operator | Year | From | Tonnes | Grade (g/t Au) | Ounces |
|------------|-----------|-------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| Taurus | 2001 | Surface | 13,752 | 9.60 | 4,245 |
| Taurus | 2004 | Underground | 8,169 | 10.25 | 2,595 |
| Wallbridge | 2018-2019 | Underground | 36,160 | 17.37 | 20,201 |
| Total | | | 58,081 | 14.48 | 27,041 |

Note: The average total grade may differ due to rounding.

25. INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS

The objective of InnovExplo's mandate was to prepare a Technical Report on Wallbridge's Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend land package (the "Property") to support the results of the updated mineral resource estimates for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits (combined, the "Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE" or "2023 MRE").

The 2023 MRE was prepared using all available validated information and updated economic assumptions (i.e., metal prices, exchange rate, constraining volumes and surface and underground cut-off grades).

The Property provides the Issuer with an extensive district-scale land position over a 97-km east-west stretch of the Sunday Lake Deformation Zone ("SLDZ") in the northern part of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt. This Technical Report and the 2023 MRE herein meet the objectives of the assigned mandate.

The following conclusions were reached after conducting a detailed review of all pertinent information and completing the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend 2023 MRE:

- The results demonstrate the geological and grade continuities for both gold deposits, Fenelon and Martiniere.
- The drill holes provide sufficient information for the mineral resource estimates of the 2 (two) deposits.
- In a combined scenario, the Fenelon deposit contains:
 - at a cut-off grade of 0.45 g/t Au for open-pit mining, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 727,400 t grading 4.46 g/t Au for 104,400 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 303,900 t grading 4.08 g/t Au for 39,800 oz Au,
 - at a cut-off grade of 1.50 g/t Au for underground, using long-hole stoping, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 20,931,700 t grading 3.37 g/t Au for 2,265,200 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 18,181,400 t grading 2.87 g/t Au for 1,678,500 oz Au,
- In a combined scenario, the Martiniere deposit contains:
 - at a cut-off grade of 0.55 g/t Au for open-pit mining, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 7,757,700 t grading 2.14 g/t Au for 534,100 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 2,652,400 t grading 1.83 g/t Au for 156,400 oz Au,
 - at a cut-off grade of 2.40 g/t Au for underground, using long-hole stoping, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 1,253,500 t grading 3.66 g/t Au for 147,400 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 3,327,300 t grading 4.26 g/t Au for 455,400 oz Au,
 - at a cut-off grade of 2.60 g/t Au for underground, using the cut and fill mining method, an estimated Indicated mineral resource of 31,600 t grading 2.84 g/t Au for 2,900 oz Au and an estimated Inferred mineral resource of 215,200 t grading 2.96 g/t Au for 20,500 oz Au,
- Additional diamond drilling could upgrade some of the Inferred mineral resource to the Indicated category and could identify additional mineral resources down-plunge and in the vicinity of the current identified mineralization.

Table 25.1 identifies the significant internal risks, potential impacts and possible risk mitigation measures that could affect the economic outcome for the Property. The list

does not include the external risks that apply to all mining projects (e.g., changes in metal prices, exchange rates, availability of investment capital, change in government regulations, etc.). Significant opportunities that could improve the economics, timing and permitting for the Property are identified in Table 25.2. Further information and studies are required before these opportunities can be included in the project economics.

The 2023 MRE is considered to be reliable and based on quality data and geological knowledge. The estimate follows 2014 CIM Definition Standards and 2019 CIM MRMR Best Practice Guidelines.

Table 25.1 – Risks for the Property

| Risk | Potential Impact | Possible Risk Mitigation |
|--|--|---|
| Fenelon – Metallurgical recoveries are based on either small-scale testwork completed in Area 51 and Tabasco-Cayenne zones or larger scale testwork completed on the Gabbro Zones (high grade) | Recovery might differ negatively from what is currently assumed | Conduct additional metallurgical tests on the Tabasco-Cayenne zones, Area 51 zones and Ripley-Reaper Zones |
| Surface and underground geotechnical evaluations are not available for all deposits | Geotechnical challenge to mine the deposits, mining costs might differ negatively from what is currently assumed | Conduct geomechanical testing, geotechnical characterization and overburden characterization (for slope stability) to confirm rock quality and validate assumptions. |
| Social community licencing | Possibility that the population does not accept the mining project | Maintain a pro-active and transparent strategy to identify all stakeholders and maintain a communication plan. The main stakeholders have been identified, and their needs/concerns understood. Continue to organize information sessions, publish information on the mining project, and meet with host communities. |
| Proximity to the Harricana River (Martiniere Deposit) and wetlands | Mining costs might differ negatively from what is currently estimated for water inflow rates. Possibility that the population does not accept the mining project | Conduct hydrogeological assessment to better estimate water inflow rates. Conduct an environmental baseline study to evaluate potential environmental impact. Continue to organize information sessions, publish information on the mining project, and meet with host communities. |

Table 25.2 – Opportunities for the Property

| Opportunity | Explanation | Potential Benefit |
|---|---|---|
| Additional infill drilling on Fenelon | Would likely confirm and improve confidence of the known zones, Area 51, Tabasco-Cayenne and Ripley-Reaper zones | Potential to increase mineral resources (and increase the indicated mineral resources by converting inferred mineral resources) |
| Exploration drilling on Fenelon | Opportunities to extend the mineralized zones | Potential to increase mineral resources |
| Additional infill drilling on Martiniere | Would likely confirm and improve confidence of the known zones, especially the lateral extensions and at depth | Potential to increase mineral resources (and increase the indicated mineral resources by converting inferred mineral resources) |
| Exploration drilling on Martiniere | Opportunity to extend the mineralized zones | Potential to increase mineral resources |
| The Property is underexplored outside the known mineralized zones | The Property covers a significant length of the gold-prospective SLDZ and LDDZ. A large area of the Property is underlain by the Manthet Group volcanics, known to host VMS mineralization. | Potential for new discoveries |

26. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the 2023 MRE, the QPs recommend advancing the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits to an advanced phase of exploration. The QPs also recommend continuing the property-scale exploration program, including compilation studies, drill target generation, and drilling brownfield targets on other claim blocks.

The recommended two-phase work program is detailed below:

Phase 1:

- Engineering studies:
 - Continue advancing the engineering studies to gather geotechnical, metallurgical, environmental, and hydrogeological information (Fenelon and Martiniere).
- Complete a preliminary economic assessment (“PEA”) using the 2023 MRE with (supported by) a NI 43-101 Technical Report. The purpose of the PEA will be to confirm, as a first step, the potential economic viability of the Fenelon Gold project, and it will also help prepare and prioritize the next steps (Phase 2) of the project.
- Exploration drilling – Fenelon:
 - Further drilling should focus on testing the extensions of known gold zones, main host rocks (Jeremie Diorite and Main Gabbro) and structures recognized in controlling gold mineralization (Sunday Lake Deformation Zone, Jeremie Fault, and other secondary fault zones) with large-spaced step-outs or grassroots drill targets (geophysical and geochemical anomalies or geological and structural trends).
- Exploration work – Martiniere:
 - Complete geophysical programs, field work, and technical studies to generate grassroots drill targets
- Exploration drilling – Martiniere:
 - Further drilling should focus on testing the known gold trends and ore-hosting environments with large-spaced step-outs, as well as testing some property-wide grassroots drill targets.
- Exploration work and drilling – Regional (other claim blocks of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend):
 - Further drilling should focus on testing some property-wide grassroots drill targets (geophysical and geochemical anomalies or geological and structural trends)

Phase 2 (contingent on the success of Phase 1):

- Infill and exploration drilling – Fenelon (provision for follow-up on Phase 1).
- Infill and exploration drilling – Martiniere (provision for follow-up on Phase 1).
- Complete an update of the MREs for the Fenelon and Martiniere deposits that will include the results of the recommended drilling programs from Phase 2.
- Complete a pre-feasibility study (“PFS”) based on the updated mineral resource estimates. The purpose of the PFS will be to confirm the economic

viability of the Fenelon Gold and Martiniere Gold projects (as a synergy) and summarized in an updated NI 43-101 Technical Report.

26.1 Costs Estimate for Recommended Work

The QPs have prepared a cost estimate (in Canadian dollars) for the recommended two-phase work program to serve as a guideline. The budget for the proposed program is presented in Table 26.1. Expenditures for Phase 1 are estimated at \$35.4 million (incl. 15% for contingencies). Expenditures for Phase 2 are estimated at \$39.3 million (incl. 15% for contingencies). The grand total is \$74.7 million (incl. 15% for contingencies). Phase 2 is contingent upon the success of Phase 1.

Table 26.1 – Estimated Costs for the Recommended Work Program

| Phase 1 | Work Program | Description | Budget Cost |
|---------|--|-------------|-------------|
| | Engineering studies | | \$3.0M |
| | PEA on the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend | | \$1.0M |
| | Exploration drilling – Fenelon | 15,000 m | \$6.0M |
| | Exploration work – Martiniere | | \$1.0M |
| | Exploration drilling – Martiniere | 23,500 m | \$9.4M |
| | Exploration work – Regional | | \$2.0M |
| | Exploration drilling – Regional | 11,000 m | \$4.4M |
| | Contingencies (15%) | | \$4.6M |
| | Phase 1 subtotal | | \$35.4M |
| Phase 2 | Work Program | Description | Budget Cost |
| | Infill and exploration drilling – Fenelon (provision for follow-up on Phase 1). | 40,000 m | \$16.0M |
| | Infill and exploration drilling – Martiniere (provision for follow-up on Phase 1). | 40,000 m | \$16.0M |
| | Update of the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend MRE | | \$0.2M |
| | PFS on the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend | | \$2.0M |
| | Contingencies (15%) | | \$5.1M |
| | Phase 2 subtotal | | \$39.3M |
| | TOTAL (Phase 1 and Phase 2) | | \$74.7M |

27. REFERENCES

- Abzalov, M, 2008, Quality Control of Assay Data: A Review of Procedures for Measuring and Monitoring Precision and Accuracy: Exploration and Mining Geology, Vol. 17, Nos. 3-4, p. 131-144.
- Agnico. (2022, July 27) Agnico Eagle Reports Second Quarter 2022 Results [Press Release] Retrieved from https://s21.q4cdn.com/374334112/files/doc_news/news_documents/2022/2022-Q2_AEM-Results_2022.07.27_Final.pdf
- Agnico, 2023. 2022 Q4 + Annual Report of Activities on the Deout East Property. 39 p..
- Bedeaux, P., Rafini, S., Pilote, P. and Daigneault, R. 2018. Modelling Seismically Induced Mesothermal Goldfields along the Deep-Rooted Cadillac-Larder Lake Fault, Abitibi, Canada. Geofluids. Volume 2018. P. 1-21.
- Bleeker, W., 2015. Synorogenic gold mineralization in granite-greenstone terranes: the deep connection between extension, major faults, synorogenic clastic basins, magmatism, thrust inversion, and long-term preservation. Targeted Geoscience Initiative 4: Contributions to the Understanding of Precambrian Lode Gold Deposits and Implications for Exploration. p.25-47.
- Brack, W., 1988. Lynx Canada Exploration Limited, Report on the 1987 Exploration Program, Massicotte Property in the Casa Berardi Area, GM46540, 68p.
- Brousseau, K., Pelletier, C., Carrier, A., and Théberge, L. 2007. 2005-2006 Winter Diamond Drilling Program, Fenelon Property, Fenelon Township, Province of Québec, Canada. Report prepared by InnovExplo Inc. for American Bonanza Corporation. 90 pages, GM 62991.
- Carter, J., 2020. Unraveling the Geological History of the Fenelon Gold Property and Relative Timing of Gold Mineralization, unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, University of Toronto, 53p.
- Castonguay, S., Dubé, B., Wodicka, N., and Mercier-Langevin, P., 2020. Geological Setting and Gold Mineralization Associated with the Sunday Lake and Lower Detour Deformation Zones, Northwestern Abitibi Greenstone Belt, Ontario and Québec; in Targeted Geoscience Initiative 5: Contributions to the Understanding of Canadian Gold Systems, (ed.) P. Mercier-Langevin, C.J.M. Lawley, and S. Castonguay; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 8712, p. 127–142. <https://doi.org/10.4095/323670>
- Chamam, M., 2019, Induced polarization survey and configuration, Fenelon Project. By Abitibi Geophysics Inc. for Wallbridge Mining company limited, 32p.
- Crary, T., 2021, An Investigation into the Gold Recovery from New Fenelon Project Samples, Internal report prepared for Wallbridge Mining Limited by SGS Natural Resources, 259 p.
- DiLauro, P. A., and Dymov, I., 2014, An investigation into Bug Lake Composite, Internal report prepared for Balmoral by SGS Mineral Services, 75p.
- Faure, S., 2015, Prolongement de la faille Sunday Lake (mine Detour Gold, Ont.) au Québec et son potentiel pour les minéralisations aurifères et en métaux de base. Rapport CONSOREM 2013-02, 31 p.

- Faure, S., Iund, M., and Beausoleil, C., 2020; NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Fenelon Gold Property, Québec, Canada, NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared for Wallbridge Mining Limited, 106p.
- Gaboury, D., 2019, Parameters for the formation of orogenic gold deposits, *Applied Earth Science*, 128:3, p. 124-133
- Kiavash P., 2020. UAV Aeromagnetic Survey Logistics Report. By Pioneer Exploration Consultants Ltd for Wallbridge Mining company limited, 22p.
- Kirkland Lake , 2022. Q4 & 2021 Annual Report of Activities on the Detour East Property, 400p.
- Lacroix 1990 Lacroix, S., 1990. Géologie de la région des rivières Turgeons et Théo, Ministère des Ressources naturelles MB 90-28, p. 25, 12 maps.
- Leite, A., Dupon, J.-F., Raizman, V., and Fournier, P. A., 2020. Detour Lake Operation, Ontario, Canada, NI 43-101 Technical Report on behalf of Kirkland Lake Gold Ltd., 367p.
- Leshner, C.M. and Keays R.R., 2002, Komatiite-associated Ni-Cu-PGE deposits: Geology, Mineralogy, Geochemistry and Genesis. in L. Cabri, ed., *The Geology, Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Mineral Beneficiation of the Platinum-Group Elements*. 54 edn, Canadian Institute Mineral Metallurgy Petroleum, pp. 579 - 618.
- Lustig, G. N., 2012b, Review of 2012 quality control results, Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 45p.
- Lustig, G. N., 2013, Review of quality control results 2013 Winter drill program Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 36p.
- Lustig, G. N., 2014a, Review of quality control results 2013 Summer/Fall drill program Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 41p.
- Lustig, G. N., 2014b, Review of quality control results 2014 drill program Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 45p.
- Lustig, G. N., 2015, Review of quality control results, 2015 drill program Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 50p.
- Lustig, G. N., 2017, Review of quality control results, 2016 drill program Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 50p.
- Lustig, G. N., 2018, , Review of quality control results, 2017 drill program Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 49p.
- Lustig, G. N., 2019, Review of assay quality control results, 2018 drill program, Martiniere project, NTS 32E14 and 32L03 Quebec, Canada. Balmoral Resources Ltd. Internal Report., 53p.
- MacTavish, A., Wagner, D. and Vervaeke, J., 2017. Balmoral Resources Ltd., 2016 Drill Program Report, Detour East Property, Located in Townships of Massicotte, La Peltre and Manthet, NTS 32E14, 32E13, 32L04, 32L03, GM 70057, 238p.

- Martin, C., 2015, Bug Lake gold trend metallurgical testwork report, Report for Balmoral Resources Ltd by Blue Coast Research Ltd, 29p.
- Morgan L.A., and Schulz K.J., Physical Volcanology of Massive Sulfide Deposits in Shanks III, P. and Thurston, R., eds., 2012, Volcanogenic Massive Sulfide Occurrence Model: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2010–5070–C, 345 p.
- Mumford, T.R. and Vooordow, R.J., 2017; 2017 Technical (NI 43-101) Report on The Martiniere Property, NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared for Balmoral Resources Ltd., 84 p.
- Myers, J.M. and Wagner D., 2020; 2020 Technical Report: The Fenelon Property, NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared for Balmoral Resources Ltd., 65p.
- Pelletier, C. and Nadeau-Benoit, V., 2021. NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend Property, Quebec, Canada. Report prepared by InnovExplo Inc. for Wallbridge Mining Limited, 293p.
- Richard, P-L, Turcotte, B., Jalbert, C., Gourde, D., and Pelletier, P., 2017. NI-43 101 Technical Report on the Pre-Feasibility Study for the Fenelon Mine Property, NI-43 101 Technical Report prepared for Wallbridge Mining Company Ltd., 402p.
- Savard, C., Durieux, G., Beausoleil, C. and Carrier, A., 2021. NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Detour-Fenelon Gold Trend Property, Québec, Canada, NI43- 101 Technical Report prepared for Wallbridge Mining Limited, 187p.
- Poulsen, K.H., Robert, F., and Dubé B., 2000, Geological Classification of Canadian Gold Deposits, Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 540, 113 p.
- Slater, E., and Amaral, L., 2020. Découverte et développement le long du corridor aurifère Détout-Fenelon, la ceinture aurifère émergente de l'Abitibi, in Explo Abitibi 2020, 29p.
- Thurston, P.C., Ayer, J.A., Goutier, J., and Hamilton, M.A., 2008, Depositional Gaps in the Abitibi Greenstone Belt Stratigraphy: A Key to Exploration for Syngenetic Mineralization. Economic Geology, v. 103, p. 1097–1134.
- Tucker, M.J., and Tempest, R., 2018, 2018 Drill Program Report Grasset-Fenelon property. 1816 p.
- Voordow R. and Jutras, M., 2018; 2018 Technical (N.I. 43-101) Report on the Martiniere Property, NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared for Balmoral Resources Ltd., 113p.
- Welte-Kerne, B., and Johnston, H., 2012, Preliminary assessment of Detour Trend ore, Report prepared by ALS Metallurgy Kamloops for Equity Exploration Consultants Ltd, 41p.
- Welte-Kerne, B., and Johnston, H., 2013, Supplemental assessment of Detour Trend ore, Report prepared by ALS Metallurgy Kamloops for Balmoral Resources Ltd, 31p.
- Wallbridge website: <https://wallbridgeminig.com/>

27.1 GM (SIGÉOM)

- GM 54144, Adam, D., 1996. Rapport d'une campagne de forage, propriété B01. Les Métaux Billiton Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 101 pages, 14 plans.
- GM 43413, Alexander, D R., 1985. Diamond Drilling Log, DIs-Casault Group Property. Mines d'Or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 44 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 31188, Anderson, W J., 1975. Diamond Drill Record, Detour Property, Grid 10-52. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages.
- GM 31965, Anderson, W J., 1975. Diamond Drill Record, Detour Property, Quesagami Lake Area. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 8 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 31586, Anderson, W J., 1975. Summary Report, Grid 10-65. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 2 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 32274, Anderson, W J., 1976. Diamond Drill Record, Detour Area. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 6 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 36766, Anderson, W J., Chamois, P., 1980. Geophysical Report, Grids 10-54, 10-106. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 7 plans.
- GM 31185, Anderson, W J., Hutton, D A., Reed, L., 1975. Geophysical Report Part I, Detour-Turgeon Area. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 339 pages, 32 plans.
- GM 31186, Anderson, W J., Hutton, D A., Reed, L., 1975. Geophysical Report, Part II And Part III, Detour Turgeon Area. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 45 pages, 44 plans.
- GM 31246, Anderson, W J., Reed, L., 1975. Geophysical Report, Detour Turgeon Area. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 18 pages, 14 plans.
- GM 31244, Anderson, W J., Reed, L., 1975. Report Geophysical Surveys. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 54740, B. Needham, M.B., 1997. 1997 Summary Exploration Report, for the Cyprus Canada Inc. Sunday Lake Property, rapport statutaire, 94 pages.
- GM 71351, Bédard, F., St-Cyr, R D., 2019. Rapport des travaux de forage 2018, propriété Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 1627 pages.
- GM 44884, Beesley, T.J., 1986. Report on 1986 Exploration and Diamond Drilling Programs, Samson River Project, Gateford Resources Inc., rapport statutaire, 277 pages.
- GM 48757, Béland, S., 1989. Diamond Drilling Record, Lower Detour M-244 Property. Glen Auden Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 35 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 54701, Ben, M., 1997. Assessment Report, Martiniere C Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 11 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 54818, Ben, M., 1997. Assessment Report, Martiniere D Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 23 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 54648, Ben, M., Needham, B., 1997. 1997 Summary Exploration Report, Martigny A Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 126 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 51589, Berthelot, P. Et De Corta, H., 1992. Campagne de sondages au diamant, propriété Enjalran-A, Serem Québec Inc., rapport statutaire, 49 pages.
- GM 55622, Bérubé, D., 1998. A Report on Geophysical Work, Martiniere D Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 13 pages, 14 plans.

- GM 70674, Bérubé, P., Phaneuf, C., 2017. Rapport d'interprétation, levé OreVision, projet Casault. SOQUEM INC, EXPLORATION MIDLAND INC, rapport statutaire; 31 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 55422, Boileau, P., 1997. Levés géophysiques, propriété Fenelon. Explorations Fairstar Inc, rapport statutaire; 21 pages, 70 plans.
- GM 54906, Boileau, P., 1997. Levés géophysiques, propriété Gaudet. Explorations Mines du Nord Ltée, Claims Frigon, rapport statutaire; 8 pages, 24 plans.
- GM 53992, Boileau, P., Lapointe, D., 1996. Geophysical Surveys, Fenelon A Project. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 12 pages, 163 plans.
- GM 54042, Boileau, P., Lapointe, D., 1996. Geophysical Surveys, Martiniere C, Martiniere D and La Peltrie C Projects. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 16 pages, 30 plans.
- GM 67665, Boivin, M., 2013. Interpretation Report on a Helicopter-Borne Vtem / Mag Survey over the Casault Project (Block 1, 2 And 3). Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 14 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 47195, Boniwell, J.V., 1987, Diamond Drilling Results, Late Fall Program 1987, rapport statutaire, 73 pages.
- GM 64011, Boulanger, O., Boucher, S., 2008. Rapport logistique et d'interprétation, Levés magnétométrique et électromagnétique EMH, Projet Jérémie, Bloc C. Claims Tremblay, Ressources Abitex Inc, Exploration Metauxdic, rapport statutaire; 16 pages, 7 plans.
- GM 44469, Boustead, G A., 1987. Report on Combined Helicopter-Borne Magnetic and Electromagnetic Survey, Gaudet Township. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 31 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 44468, Boustead, G A., 1987. Report on Combined Helicopter-Borne Magnetic and Electromagnetic Survey, Lanoullier Township. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 36 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 46476, Boustead, G A., 1987. Report on Combined Helicopter-Borne, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Survey, Massicotte / Manthet / Martigny / La Peltrie / Lanoullier Townships. Mines D'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 52 pages, 24 plans.
- GM 44284, Bowen, R P., 1987. Report on the Property, La Peltrie Township. Ingamar Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 19 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 46540, Brack, W., 1988. Report on the 1987 Exploration Program, Massicotte Property. Explorations Lynx-Canada Ltée, rapport statutaire; 67 pages, 12 plans.
- GM 46137, Brereton, W E., Sobie, P., Sinclair, G P., Anderson, M., 1987. Report on a Program of Ground Geophysical Surveying and Reverse Circulation Drilling, Lac Garneau Property. Claims Mattew, Gunnar Gold Inc, Claims Ottereyes, rapport statutaire; 104 pages, 9 plans.
- GM 31645, Britton, J W., 1975. Geophysical Surveys on La Martiniere 2-74 Group. Noranda Expl Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 2 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 32173, Britton, J W., 1976. Geophysical Surveys on Martigny 1-75 Group. Noranda Expl Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 52352, Broughton, D., 1993. Report on Winter 1993, Fenelon-A And Gaudet-C Diamond Drill Programs. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 66 pages, 2 plans.

- GM 52084, Broughton, D., Needgham, B., 1993. Report on 1993 Lynx Project, Winter Drill Program. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 202 pages, 11 plans.
- GM 47836, Bunner, D P., 1988. Assessment Report, Soil Sampling and Vlf-EM Survey, Bertrand Claims. Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 18 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 47225, Burk, R., 1988. Report on the Diamond Drilling Program. Glen Auden Resources Ltd, Royex Gold Mining Corp, Golden Dragon Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 46 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 55878, Calhoun, R., 1998. Report ff Activities, Diamond Drilling, Detour Lake East Property. Shield Geophysics Ltd, rapport statutaire; 41 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 44666, Campbell, R A., 1987. Report on the Airborne Geophysical Survey on the Property Of Vic Audet. Claims Bertrand, rapport statutaire; 13 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 11354, Caron, G G., Miller, R J., 1961. Diamond Drill Record. Paudash Mines Ltd, rapport statutaire; 20 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 37078, Chamois, P., 1980. Diamond Drill Record. Selco Mining Corp Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages.
- GM 40163, Chartre, E., 1983. Levés géophysiques, projet Jérémie 1-82. Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 9 pages, 7 plans.
- GM 55617, Chartre, E., 1998. Levés géophysiques, Canton Jérémie - Grille Ouest. Claims Frigon, rapport statutaire; 11 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 69229, Chemam, C., 2015. Levé de gradiométrie magnétique hélicoptère de haute résolution, projet Casault bloc no. 3. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 16 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 70908, Chemam, M., 2018. Levé OreVision, projet Casault. EXPLORATION MIDLAND INC, SOQUEM INC, rapport statutaire; 38 pages, 23 plans.
- GM 59037, CHENARD, D., 2001. Rapport des travaux hiver 2001, propriété Lac Gignac. Ressources Minières Radisson Inc, rapport statutaire; 406 pages, 13 plans.
- GM 45982, Chevalier, A., 1987. Diamond Drilling Logs, La Peltrie Project. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 206 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 11087-B, Christopher, I C., 1959. 1 Plan of Magnetic and Electromagnetic Surveys with DDH Location. Monpre Mining Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 1 plan.
- GM 09755, Christopher, I C., 1959. Airborne Electromagnetic Survey on Properties in Turgeon River Area. Monpre Mining Co Ltd, Claims Martin, rapport statutaire; 6 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 09754, Christopher, I C., 1960. Report on Ground Electromagnetic Survey. Monpre Mining Co Ltd, Claims Martin, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 08217-A, Christopher, I C., Seigel, H O., 1959. Report on Airborne Electromagnetic Survey. Kateri Mining Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 18 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 67737, Corriveau, C., 2013. Rapport des travaux de forage 2013, propriété Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 187 pages, 16 plans.
- GM 32804, Coutu, M R., Douglas, G., Moore, C M., 1977. Diamond Drill Log, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 27 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 32806, Coutu, M R., Rowe, J., 1977. Diamond Drill Record, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 45 pages, 1 plan.

- GM 55859, Daigle, R J., Mackenzie, C D., 1997. Report ff Work, 1997 Diamond Drilling Program, Jérémie A Project. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 76 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 54064, De Cabissole, B., De Corta, H., 1996. Rapport d'une campagne de forage et de polarisation provoquée, propriété B04. Les Métaux Billiton Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 19 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 55411, De Corta, H., De Cabissole, B., 1997. Rapport d'une campagne de forage et de polarisation provoquée, propriété B01. Les Métaux Billiton Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 40 pages, 9 plans.
- GM 41575, Des Rivières, J., 1984. Rapport des levés géologiques de la propriété La Martiniere 1-83. Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 65 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 42615, Des Rivières, J., 1985. Rapport géologique et de sondage de la propriété La Martiniere 1. Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 43 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 45980, DIAMOND DRILL LOGS 1986-87 Programme, Les Explorations Noramco Inc. et Exploration Minière Golden Triangle Inc., rapport statutaire, 644 pages.
- GM 44072, DIAMOND DRILLING LOG, DLS-Gratton Group Property, rapport statutaire, 71 pages.
- GM 44767, Donner, K., 1986. Drill Hole, Turgeon East Group. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 246 pages, 23 plans.
- GM 46412, Donner, K., 1987. Diamond Drill Report, Turgeon East Property. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 85 pages, 11 plans.
- GM 71718, Dubé, J., 2020. Technical Report, High-Resolution Heliborne Magnetic Survey, Detour property. PROBE METALS INC, rapport statutaire; 27 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 55489, Dubé, J., Glass, F., 1997. A Report on Geophysical Work, Martiniere D Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 14 pages, 17 plans.
- GM 69554, Dubois, M., 2016. Levés magnétométriques et Orevision®, projet Casault Grille Sw Et A. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 55 pages, 13 plans.
- GM 69779, Dubois, M., 2016. Rapport d'interprétation, Levé Orevision, projet Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 31 pages, 7 plans.
- GM 70339, Dubois, M., 2017. Levés magnétométriques et OreVision, projet Casault. EXPLORATION MIDLAND INC, SOQUEM INC, rapport statutaire; 81 pages, 9 plans.
- GM 68909, Dubois, M., Card, D., 2014. Rapport d'interprétation, levés Mag et TDEM de surface, projet Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 16 pages, 70 plans.
- GM 69696, Dufresne, R., Scott, A., 2016. Induced Polarization Survey Report, Martiniere Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 45 pages, 21 plans.
- GM 48959, Duplessis, D., 1980. Rapport annuel, projet Wawagasic 210-1380-11. S D B J, rapport statutaire; 28 pages.
- GM 37488, Duplessis, D., 1980. Travaux de l'été 1980, projet Wawagasic. S D B J, rapport statutaire; 26 pages, 39 plans.

- GM 39931, Durocher, A C., Burton, G B., 1982. Geological and Geophysical Compilation, Abitibi North Project, Casault Lake Property. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 23 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 39928, Durocher, A C., Burton, G B., 1982. Geological and Geophysical Compilation, Abitibi North Project, Lac du Doigt Property. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 22 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 39929, Durocher, A C., Burton, G B., 1982. Geological and Geophysical Compilation, Abitibi North Project, Lac Laporte Property. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 21 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 67664, Fiset, N., Han, Z., Prikhodko, A., 2013. Report on a Helicopter-Borne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic (Vtem Plus) and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey, Casault Project, Block 1, Block 2 And Block 3. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 58 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 67280, Fiset, N., Kwan, K., Prikhodko, A., Legault, J., 2011. Report on a Helicopter-Borne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey, Martiniere Property. Balmoral Resources Ltds, rapport statutaire; 65 pages, 12 plans.
- GM 66714, Fiset, N., Kwan, K., Prikhodko, A., Legault, J., 2011. Report on a Helicopter-Borne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey, East Doight Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 62 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 66710, Fiset, N., Kwan, K., Prikhodko, A., Legault, J., 2011. Report on a Helicopter-Borne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey, Harricana Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 64 pages, 12 plans.
- GM 48553, Foy, S., Lacroix, R., Bernard, J., 1988. Rapport des travaux, campagne d'exploration 1988, propriété Turgeon. Ressources Minières Coleraine Inc, rapport statutaire; 277 pages, 30 plans.
- GM 32507, Fraser, R J., 1976. Diamond Drill Core Log, Massicotte 4-74 Property (Detour Lake Area). Noranda Expl Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 27181, Gallop, A M., 1971. Borehold Record, Property Burntbush. Canadian Nickel Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 47226, Garner, D., 1988. Diamond Drilling Program. Golden Dragon Resources Ltd, Glen Auden Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 96 pages.
- GM 62862, Giguère, E., 2006. Rapport de la campagne de forage 2006, propriété La Martiniere . Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 319 pages, 13 plans.
- GM 33119, Giroux, H., 1977. Diamond Drill Core Log, Martigny 1-75 Property. Noranda Expl Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 1 page, 1 plan.
- GM 41440, Giroux, M., 1984. Rapport des levés électromagnétique et magnétique, projet Bug Lake Showing. Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 42382, Giroux, M., 1985. Rapport levé magnétométrique, projet Bug Lake Showing. Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 42183, Gittings, F W., 1985. Diamond Drilling Log, Lemieux Property. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 2 plans.

- GM 55538, Glass, F., Dubé, J., 1997. A Report on Geophysical Work, Martigny a Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 13 pages, 7 plans.
- GM 54907, Goettel, T., 1997. Report on the 1997 Diamond Drilling Program, Gaudet Property. Explorations Mines Du Nord Ltée, CLAIMS FRIGON, rapport statutaire; 46 pages.
- GM 10898, Grady, J C., 1959. 3 Diamond Drill Hole DH Logs with Assay Results. Monpre Mining Co Ltd, Claims Martin, rapport statutaire; 17 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 50097, Graham, R.J., 1990. Geophysical Surveys, Val St.Gilles Gold Property, First Standar Mining, rapport statutaire, 9 pages.
- GM 31660, Graham, W F., 1975. Report on Geological Mapping of Massicotte 4-74. Noranda Expl Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 69487, Guay, M., and Riopel, J., 2015. Rapoport de forage 2015, Adventure Gold, rapport statutaire, 166 pages.
- GM 69178, Guay, M., and Riopel, J., 2015. Rapport de prospection, été 2015, Adventure Gold, rapport statutaire, 91 pages.
- GM 69733, Guay, M., Riopel, J., Tremblay, E., 2016. Rapport de la campagne de forage 2016, projet Detour Nord, propriété Manthet, Manthent Extension et Mantigny, 32L03 et 32L04, Canton Manthet et Martigny, Québec, rapport statutaire 172 pages.
- GM 58073, Guy, K., 2000. 2000 Report On Diamond Drilling, Martiniere D Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 97 pages, 14 plans.
- GM 52617, Guy, K., Green, K., 1994. Report on 1994 Lynx Project, Winter Drill Program. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 86 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 52701, Guy, K., Green, K., 1994. Report on 1994 Rambo Project Winter Drilling Program. Ressources Minières Coleraine Inc, rapport statutaire; 24 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 41657 , Hillier, D., 1984. Geological Report on the Dugas Creek Property. Ingamar Expls Ltd, I X Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 17 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 41656, Hillier, D., 1984. Geological Report on the Lac Geoffrion Property. Ingamar Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 16 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 43451, Hodges, C., 1986. Assessment Report, Harricana East Property. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 19 pages, 10 plans.
- GM 45304, Hodges, D G., 1987. Report on the Property, Massicotte-Lower Detour. Claims Passi, Claims Jones, rapport statutaire; 17 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 46083 , Hodges, G., 1987. Interpretation Report. Glen Auden Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 26 pages, 20 plans.
- GM 39226 , Hubert, A L., 1982. Photo-Geology of Vrs Bedrock and Unconsolidated Turgeon Project. Denison Mines Ltd, rapport statutaire; 24 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 41438, Hughes, T N J., Fox, J., 1984. Diamond Drill Log, Gaudet-Beschefer Property. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 172 pages.
- GM 44045, Hugues, N A., Webster, B., 1986. Report on Ground Geophysical Surveys Conducted on the Xanaro Grid. Xanaro Technologies Inc, Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 27 pages, 11 plans.
- GM 44282, Hutteri, H P., 1986. Report on the Base/Precious Metal Potential of the La Peltrie Township Property. Ingamar Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 13 pages.

- GM 56816, Jeffery, B D., 1999. 1999 Report on Diamond Drilling, Martiniere D Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 125 pages, 10 plans.
- GM 53674, Jiang, X D., 1995. 1995 Gaudet C Diamond Drill Report. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 44 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 53923, Jiang, X D., 1996. Diamond Drill Report, 1996 Gaudet C. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 81 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 55564 , Kelly, D., 1996. Rapport de qualification, propriété Lac Gignac. Ressources Minières Radisson I, rapport statutaire; 33 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 50673, Kenwood, J., 1991. Report of HLEM and Total Field Magnetism Surveys, Gaudet "C" Property. Total Energold Corp, rapport statutaire; 13 pages, 11 plans.
- GM 50567, Kenwood, J., 1991. Report of HLEM and Total Field Magnetism Surveys, Gaudet-B Property. Total Energold Corp, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 50524, Kenwood, J., 1991. Report on HLEM and Total Field Magnetism Surveys, Gaudet A Property. Total Energold Corp, Minéraux Morrison Ltée, rapport statutaire; 11 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 44258 , Khobzi, A., Beauregard, A J., Boisvert, G J., Foy, S., 1986. Rapport de travaux réalisés en 1986 sur la propriété Massicotte. Exploration Eclair Inc, rapport statutaire; 78 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 45607, Khobzi, A., Foy, S., 1987. Rapport de travaux, projet Turgeon. Exploration Rambo Inc, rapport statutaire; 223 pages, 14 plans.
- GM 31955, Krause, B W., 1976. Magnetic and Electromagnetic Survey Report. Canadian Nickel Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 66347, Kwan, K., Legault, J., Prihodko, A., 2011. Report on a Helicopter-Borne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic (VTEM) and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey, Casault Property. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 55 pages, 10 plans.
- GM 54178, Lambert, G., 1995. Report on Ground Geophysical Investigation: Induced Polarization Surveys, Turgeon West Project. Placer Dome Inc, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 41 plans.
- GM 67617, Lambert, G., 2013. Levés de magnétométrie et de polarisation provoquée, projet Lac Casault, Grille Turgeon Centre. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 17 pages, 10 plans.
- GM 67738, Lambert, G., 2013. Levés de polarisation provoquée, projet Lac Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 16 pages, 12 plans.
- GM 45981, Lambert, G., Turcotte, R., 1987. Geophysical Survey, Harricana East 1437 Project. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 15 plans.
- GM 47615, Landry, A C., 1987. Diamond Drill Log, Harricana East (P-1437) Property. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 284 pages, 29 plans.
- GM 46175, Landry, A C., 1987. Diamond Drill Log, Harricana East (P-1437) Property. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 284 pages, 29 plans.
- GM 70013, Larivière, J F., Bourassa, S., Masson, M., 2016. Rapport des travaux de forage 2015-2016, propriété Casault. Soquem Inc, Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 1208 pages.

- GM 42421, Lavoie, C., 1985. Levés magnétique et de polarisation provoquée, projet Bug Lake Showing La Martiniere . Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 12 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 46076, Lavoie, C., 1987. Leves Geophysique Propriete de Exploration Noranda, Projet Martiniere , Canton Martiniere , rapport statutaire, 8p.
- LAVOIE, C., 1987. Levés géophysiques, projet La Martiniere . Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 7 pages, 3 plans."
- GM 46279, Lavoie, C., Plante, L., 1988. Levé de polarisation provoquée, projet La Martiniere . Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 15 pages, 16 plans.
- GM 64281, Le Grand, M., 2009. Rapport sur la Campagne de forage au diamant, propriété Martiniere . American Bonanza Gold Corporation, rapport statutaire; 268 pages, 14 plans.
- GM 38110 , Lee, H A., 1980. Photo-Geology of Detour-Turgeon Projects. Western Mines Ltd, Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 25 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 45979, Legault, M H., 1987. Diamond Drill Logs 1986-87 Programs P-1437 Harricana East Project. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 468 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 47623, Legault, M H., Landry, A C., 1988. Diamond Drill Log, La Peltrie (P-1428) Property. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 325 pages, 24 plans.
- GM 18183, Leigh, O., 1966. Diamond Drill Record. Kesagami Synd, rapport statutaire; 40 pages, 1 plan.
- GM18183 , Leigh, O., 1966. Diamond Drill Record. Kesagami Synd, rapport statutaire; 40 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 66346, Letourneau, O., PAUL, R., 2011. Helicopter-Borne Geomagnetic Survey, Data Acquisition Report, Casault Project. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 29 pages, 7 plans.
- GM 69063, Loader, T., Dubois, M., 2015. Levés de magnétométrie et de résistivité/polarisation provoquée Orevision®, projet Casault Grille Nord. Exploration Midland INC, rapport statutaire; 31 pages, 38 plans.
- GM 69064, Loader, T., Dubois, M., 2015. Levés Mag-GPS et PP-Orevision®, projet Casault, Grille Sud. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 45 pages, 32 plans.
- GM 46855, Lortie, P., 1988. Induced Polarization Survey, Harricana East Property, Project 1437. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 20 pages, 20 plans.
- GM 53651, Lortie, P., 1995. Geophysical Surveys, Jérémie A Project. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 9 pages, 23 plans.
- GM 53653, Lortie, P., 1995. Geophysical Surveys, Jérémie B And Jérémie C Projects. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 17 plans.
- GM 53652, Lortie, P., Boileau, P., 1995. Geophysical Surveys, Jérémie A Project. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 13 pages, 29 plans.
- GM 35999, Macisaac, N., 1976. Diamond Drill Core Log. Noranda Expl Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 32041, Mactavish, R O., 1976. Electromagnetic Survey of Group A, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.

- GM 32042, Mactavish, R O., 1976. Electromagnetic Survey of Group B, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 31958, Mactavish, R O., 1976. Electromagnetic Survey of Group D, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 31959, Mactavish, R O., 1976. Electromagnetic Survey of Group E, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 31960, Mactavish, R O., 1976. Electromagnetic Survey of Group F, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 32046, Mactavish, R O., 1976. Electromagnetic Survey of Group N, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 32047, Mactavish, R O., 1976. Electromagnetic Survey of Group O, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 37394 , Mactavish, R O., Sullivan, J A., 1981. Diamond Drill Log. Canadian Merrill Ltd, Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, Francana Oil & Gas Ltd, rapport statutaire; 13 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 55499, Masson, M W., 1997. 1997 Report on Diamond Drilling, La Peltre C Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 85 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 55490, Masson, M W., 1997. 1997 Report on Diamond Drilling, Martinier D Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 206 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 68987, Masson, M., 2015. Rapport des travaux de forage 2015, propriété Casault (Bloc Central). Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 63 pages.
- GM 69701, Masson, M., 2016. Rapport des travaux de forage 2016, propriété Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 102 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 66854, Masson, M., Bourassa, S., Gbaguidi, G., Kamta, G., 2012. Rapport des travaux de forage 2012, propriété Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 507 pages, 20 plans.
- GM 69778, Masson, M., Larivière, J F., Bourassa, S., 2016. Rapport des travaux de forage, propriété Casault. Exploration Midland INC, rapport statutaire; 429 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 53727, McCrae, M., Needham, B., 1995. 1995 Jérémie a Diamond Drill Report. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 77 pages, 13 plans.
- GM 43386, McCurdy, S E., 1986. A Report on Geophysical Surveys Conducted in Lanouiller Township. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 29 pages, 29 plans.
- GM 56041, McNichols, D., 1998. Rapport sur le programme de forages, hiver 1998, propriété Lac Gignac. Ressources Minières Radisson I, rapport statutaire; 503 pages, 18 plans.
- GM 54389, Mercier, D., De Corta, H., and Cabissole, B., 1997. Rapport d'une campagne de forage, propriété SG3, Les Métaux Billiton Canada Inc., rapport statutaire, 170 pages.
- GM 55270, Michaud, P., Taner, M F., 1997. Journaux de sondage. Les Métaux Billiton Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 82 pages, 12 plans
- GM 33366, Misiura, J D., 1977. Geological Report on La Martinier 2-74. Noranda Expl Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.

- GM 63647, Moreau, B., Moreau, A., 2008. Interprétation ttructurale, projet Massicotte. Ressources Minières Radisson Inc, rapport statutaire; 20 pages.
- GM 42169, Morissette, P., Andersen, E O., 1984. Rapport de levé géophysique, propriété Casault. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 42172, Morissette, P., Andersen, E O., 1984. Rapport de levé géophysique, propriété Du Doigt. Mines d'or Queenston Ltée, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 4 plans.
- GM 51785, Needham, B., 1993. Diamond Drilling Holes, La Peltrie Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 49 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 52083, Needham, B., 1993. Summary Report, La Peltrie Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 299 pages, 12 plans.
- GM 55537, Needham, B., Ben, M., Masson, M., 1997. 1997 Martigny a Prospecting and Diamond Drill Program. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 116 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 64141, Neron, P., Boulianne, D., Boivin, M., 2008. Rapport de compilation et travaux de 2008, propriété Massicotte. Ressources Minières Radisson Inc, rapport statutaire; 264 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 70591, Newton, A., Vervaeke, J., 2018. 2017 Drill Program Report, Detour East Property. BALMORAL RESOURCES LTD, rapport statutaire; 668 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 08217-B, Paudash Lake Uranium Mines Ltd, 1963. 1 Plan of Airborne Magnetic Survey. rapport statutaire; 1 plan.
- GM 24929 , Penarroya Canada Ltée, 1969. Journal de sondage, permis Wawagosic. rapport statutaire; 22 pages.
- GM 68187, Perk, N., Peat, C., Letourneau, M., 2014. 2013 Diamond Drilling Report, Doigt Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 74 pages.
- GM 67370 , Perk, N., Peat, C., Treat, R., Swanton, D., 2012. 2012 Diamond Drilling and Surface Geochemistry, Detour East Project. Ressources Minières Radisson Inc, rapport statutaire; 461 pages, 24 plans.
- GM 67654, Perk, N., Swanton, D., 2013. 2012 Surface Geochemistry Report, Doigt Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 70 pages.
- GM 67644, Perk, N., Swanton, D., 2013. 2013 Geophysical Survey Report, Harri Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 38 pages, 7 plans.
- GM 68182, Perk, N., Swanton, D., 2014. 2013 Geophysical Work Report, Doigt Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 32 pages, 20 plans.
- GM 67653, Perk, N., Swanton, D., Treat, R., Brennan, S., Peat, C., Booth, K., Mann, R., Voordouw, R., 2013. 2012 Drill Program Report, La Martiniere Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 2344 pages, 35 plans.
- GM 67745, Perk, N., Voordouw, R., Mckeown, M., 2013. 2013 Soil Sampling Program Report, Detour East, Doigt, Martiniere and Harri Properties. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 568 pages, 34 plans.
- GM 55989, Poitras, S., 1998. Rapport de la campagne de forage, hiver 1998, projet Bapst (# 1198), rapport statutaire, 50 pages.
- GM 58259, Poitras, S., 2000. Rapport de la campagne de forage, hiver 2000, projet Bapst (#1198), rapport statutaire, 52 pages.
- GM 54318, Poitras, S., and Verschelden, R., 1997. Rapport de la campagne de forages, projet B2-Lanoullier (#1177), rapport statutaire, 53 pages.

- GM 54647, Potvin, H., 1997. A Report on Geophysical Work (Induced Polarization and Magnetic Surveys) over the Martigny A Property. Cyprus Canada Inc, rapport statutaire; 15 pages, 24 plans.
- GM 54382, Pouliot, S., and Adam, D., 1996. Rapport d'une campagne de forage, propriété B11, Les Métaux Billiton Canada Inc., rapport statutaire, 40 pages.
- GM 46833, Pressacco, R., 1988. Report on the 1988 Drilling Program, La Martiniere Property – Project 1207. Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 79 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 54177, Pritchard, R A., 1995. Dighemv Survey, Turgeon River. Placer Dome Inc, rapport statutaire; 126 pages, 20 plans.
- GM 61228, Reford, S W., Kwan, K., 2002. Report on Interpretation of Airborne Magnetic Data from Harricana River Area. Globestar Mining Inc, Corporation Tgw, rapport statutaire; 21 pages.
- GM 10850, Remick, J H., 1960. Information Report. Monpre Mining Co Ltd, rapport statutaire soumis; 1 page.
- RP458, Remick, J H., 1961. Preliminary Report on Manthet – Jérémie – La Forest Area, Abitibi-West and Abitibi-East Counties and Abitibi Territory. MRN; RP 458(A), 25 pages, 3 plans.
- RP458, Remick, J H., 1961. Rapport préliminaire sur la région de Manthet – Jérémie – La Forest, Comtes d'Abitibi-Ouest et d'Abitibi-Est et Territoire d'Abitibi. MRN; RP 458, 31 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 39941, Robinson, D J., 1981. Assessment Report, Diamond Drilling. Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 10 pages.
- GM 38976, Robinson, D J., 1982. Assessment Report Geophysical Surveys and Diamond Drilling, Bertrand Project. Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 28 pages, 14 plans.
- GM 38109, Rockingham, C J., 1981. A Report on the Turgeon Gold Project, Geological Prospecting and Mapping and Diamond Drilling. Western Mines Ltd, Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 58 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 40106, Rockingham, C J., Nutter, G E., 1982. Diamond Drilling Report, Lac Combaluzier Claim Group. Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 10 pages.
- GM 26074, Roger, J.G., 1970, Compte-rendu de la campagne de sondages de l'hiver 1970, sous-zone Lac Pater, Lac du Campet Lanouiller, projet Wawagosic, rapport statutaire, 49 pages.
- GM 66345, Sasias, M., Masson, M., Bourassa, S., 2012. Rapport des travaux de forage 2011, propriété Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 83 pages.
- GM 09563, Seigel, H O., 1959. Report on Airborne Geophysical Surveys of Properties of Paudash Mines. Paudash Mines Ltd, rapport statutaire; 9 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 13018, Seigel, H O., 1959. Report on Magnetic, Electromagnetic and Gravimetric Surveys, Turgeon River Area. Paudash Mines Ltd, rapport statutaire; 11 pages, 82 plans.
- GM 36209, Selco Mining Corp LTD, 1979. Diamond Drill Record, Detour Project, GRID 10-100. rapport statutaire; 2 pages.

- GM 71473, Simard, J., 2019. Report on an Induced Polarization Survey Performed on the Casault Project. EXPLORATION MIDLAND INC, rapport statutaire; 29 pages, 12 plans.
- GM 24482, Sondages 1969, Zone du Lac Camp. Peñaroya Canada Limitée, rapport statutaire, 24 pages.
- GM 22497, Sondages, permis Wawagasic, 1967. Peñaroya Canada Limitée, rapport statutaire, 17 pages.
- GM 71352, St-Cyr, R D., 2019. Rapport des travaux de forage 2017, propriété Casault. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 692 pages.
- GM 64010, St-Hilaire, C., 2008. Heliborne High Resolution Aeromagnetic and Electromagnetic Survey, Jérémie Property. Claims Tremblay, Ressources Abitex Inc, Exploration Metauxdic, rapport statutaire; 22 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 68447, St-Hilaire, C., 2014. Rapport d'interprétation d'un levée magnétique et de polarisation provoquée, propriété Casault, Grille South et West. Exploration Midland Inc, rapport statutaire; 82 pages, 8 plans.
- GM 32805, Sullivan, J R., 1977. Diamond Drill Log, Harricana Project. Hudson Bay Expl & Dev Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 7 pages.
- GM 41074, Sullivan, P., Staszak, G H., 1983. Geological Report, Ste Helene Project, Jérémie 1-82. Explorations Noranda Ltée, rapport statutaire; 3 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 08704, Thoday, G P., 1959. Properties in Harricana River Area. Monpre Mining Co Ltd, rapport statutaire; 11 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 37930, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 33, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 20 pages.
- GM 37931, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 34, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 12 pages.
- GM 37932, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 35 and 36, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 21 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 37799, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 39. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 8 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 37935, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 42, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 10 pages.
- GM 37887, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 43, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 10 pages.
- GM 37936, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 44, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 10 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 37877, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 53, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 10 pages.
- GM 37880, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 58, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 8 pages.
- GM 37882, Thorsen, K., 1981. Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 61, Gaudet-Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 9 pages.
- GM 39422, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 33, Jérémie Township in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 3 plans.

- GM 39437, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 35 & 36, Jérémie & Gaudet Townships in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 39438, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 35 & 36, Jérémie & Gaudet Townships in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 6 plans.
- GM 39424, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 42, Gaudet Township in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 39425, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 43, Gaudet, Lanouillier & La Martiniere Townships In The Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 9 plans.
- GM 39426, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 46, Jérémie Township in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 5 pages, 9 plans.
- GM 39413, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 53, LaMartiniere Township in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 39439, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 61, Lanouillier & La Martiniere Township in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 39441, Thorsen, K., 1982. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB 71, Gaudet Township in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 7 pages.
- GM 40023, Thorsen, K., 1982. Diamond Drill Log. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 4 pages.
- GM 40018, Thorsen, K., 1983. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB-20 in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 12 pages.
- GM 40020, Thorsen, K., 1983. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB-75 in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 12 pages.
- GM 40021, Thorsen, K., 1983. Assessment Report on the Geophysical Surveys on Group GB-76 in the Gaudet Beschefer Area. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 12 pages.
- GM 41127, Thorsen, K., Goodwin, J R., Fox, J., Barnes, B., 1982. Diamond Drill Log. Teck Expls Ltd, rapport statutaire; 29 pages.
- GM 52046, Tremblay, D., 1993. Rapport sur la campagne de forage au diamant, projet Lac Bertrand. Soquem, Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 143 pages, 13 plans.
- GM 50997, Trudeau, J., Raymond, D., 1991. Levé géologique, été 1991, projet Lac Bertrand (1104). Ressources Westmin Ltée, rapport statutaire; 38 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 70683, Tucker, M J., Vervaeke, J., 2018. 2017 Drill Program Report, Martiniere Property. Balmoral Resources LTD, rapport statutaire; 3778 pages, 40 plans.

- GM 70894, Tucker, M J., Vervaeke, J., 2018. 2018 Drill Program Report, Detour east Property. Balmoral Resources LTD, rapport statutaire; 299 pages, 1 plan.
- GM 71308, Tucker, M J., Vervaeke, J., Myers, J M., Tempest, S R., Vaudrin, M R H., Albert, S C., 2019. 2018 Drill Program Report, Martiniere Property. Balmoral Resources LTD, rapport statutaire; 942 pages.
- GM 70895, Tucker, M J., Vervaeke, J., Tempest, S R., 2018. 2018 Drill Program Report, Harri Property. Balmoral Resources LTD, rapport statutaire; 122 pages.
- GM 71230, Tucker, M J., Vervaeke, J., Tempest, S R., Myers, J M., Vaudrin, M R H., 2019. 2018 Field Mapping Report, Martiniere Property. Balmoral Resources LTD, rapport statutaire; 187 pages.
- GM 68603, Venter, N., Mokubung, K., Eadie, T., Legault, J., Plastow, G., 2014. Report on a Helicopter-Borne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic (Vtempus) and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey, Lac Fleuri, Nantel, Grasset Gap, Grasset North, Jérémie-Fenelon and Nickel Test Survey Areas. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 684 pages, 48 plans.
- GM 53010, Vermette, D., 1995. Journaux de sondage, propriété Massicotte. Ressources Minières Radisson I, rapport statutaire; 13 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 56036, Verschelden, R., 1997. Rapport de la campagne de forage, projet B2-Lanouillier (#1177), Avril – Mai 1997, rapport statutaire, 94 pages.
- GM 57512, Verschelden, R., 1997. Rapport de la campagne de forage, projet B2 - Lanouillier (# 1177), Avril - Mai 1998, rapport statutaire, 154 pages.
- GM 56103, Verschelden, R., Fliszar, A., 1998. Rapport de la campagne de forage, projet La Peltrie. SOQUEM, rapport statutaire; 173 pages, 11 plans.
- GM 69210, Voordouw, R., Brennan, S., Perk, N., Letourneau, M., Doyon, V., Booth, K., Baker, D., Sharman, L., Mann, R., 2014. 2013 Drilling and Geophysics (Ip, Hlem) Report on the La Martiniere and Harri Properties. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 2947 pages, 63 plans.
- GM 69087, Voordouw, R., Mckeown, M., Perk, N., 2014. 2014 Drilling and Geophysics (Ip), Report on the La Martiniere and Harri Properties. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 1514 pages, 32 plans.
- GM 69163, Voordouw, R., Perk, N., 2015. 2015 Diamond Drilling Report on the Detour East Project. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 86 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 69310, Voordouw, R., Perk, N., 2016. 2015 Drilling Report on the La Martiniere Property. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 1145 pages, 29 plans.
- GM 66719, Wagner, D W., 2011. A Report on Induced Polarization Surveying, Detour East Property. Ressources Minières Radisson Inc, rapport statutaire; 27 pages, 99 plans.
- GM 66348, Wagner, D W., Peshkepia, A., Brown, W., Coutts, L., Mann, R., 2012. Drilling Report on the Detour East Property. Ressources Minières Radisson Inc, rapport statutaire; 140 pages, 5 plans.
- GM 68959, Wagner, D., Dufresne, R., Mann, R., Booth, K., 2015. 2014 MMI Soil Sampling Program Report, Detour East, Harri, Jérémie Properties. Balmoral Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 207 pages, 2 plans.
- GM 66026, Wagner, D., Mann, R., 2011. Assessment Report on the July-August 2011 Detour East Mapping Program, Detour East Property. Ressources Minières Radisson I, rapport statutaire; 42 pages.

- GM 45309, Webster, B., Hugues, N A., 1987. Report on Ground Geophysical Surveys, Xanaro Grid. Expl Min Golden Triangle Inc, rapport statutaire; 43 pages, 3 plans.
- GM 50596, Westhaver, B., 1991. 1991 Assessment Report, Diamond Drilling, Geology and Geophysics, La Peltrie "A". Total Energold Corp, rapport statutaire; 48 pages, 11 plans.
- GM 47447, Zeeman, M., 1988. Reverse Circulation Drilling Program. Glen Auden Resources Ltd, Royex Gold Mining Corp, Mineta Resources Ltd, rapport statutaire; 147 pages, 6 plans.

APPENDIX I – LIST OF MINING TITLES

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208453 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208454 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208455 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208456 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208457 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208458 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208459 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208460 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208461 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208462 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208463 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208464 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208465 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208466 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208467 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208468 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208469 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208470 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208471 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208472 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208473 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208474 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208475 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208476 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208477 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208478 | NTS 32E14 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208479 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208480 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208481 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208482 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208483 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208484 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208485 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208486 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208487 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208488 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208489 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208490 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208491 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208492 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208523 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208524 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208525 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208526 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208527 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208528 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208529 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208530 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208531 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208532 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208533 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208534 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208535 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208536 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208537 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208538 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208539 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208540 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208541 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208542 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208543 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208544 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208545 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208546 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208547 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208548 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208549 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208550 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208551 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208552 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208553 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208554 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208555 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208556 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208557 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208558 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208559 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208560 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208561 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208562 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208565 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208566 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208567 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208568 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208569 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208570 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208571 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2208572 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211287 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211288 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.31 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211289 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.31 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211290 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211291 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211292 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211293 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211294 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211295 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211296 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211297 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211298 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211299 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211300 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211301 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211302 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2211303 | NTS 32L03 | Mar. 28, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2214200 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 14, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2214201 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 14, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2214202 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 14, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2214203 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 14, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2214204 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 14, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2241673 | NTS 32L03 | Jul. 20, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247245 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.46 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247246 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.46 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247247 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.46 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247248 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.46 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247249 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.46 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247250 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.45 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247251 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.45 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247252 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.45 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247253 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.45 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247254 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.45 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247255 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.44 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247256 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.44 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247257 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.44 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247258 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.44 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247259 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.44 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247260 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247261 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247262 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247263 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247264 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247265 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247266 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247267 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247268 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247269 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247270 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247271 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247272 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247273 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247274 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247275 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247276 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247277 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247278 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247279 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247280 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247281 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247282 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247283 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2247284 | NTS 32E14 | Aug. 23, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2271264 | NTS 32E15 | Jan. 31, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2271265 | NTS 32E15 | Jan. 31, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273155 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273156 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273157 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273158 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273159 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273160 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273161 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273162 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273163 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273164 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273165 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273166 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2273167 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276124 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276125 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276126 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276127 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276128 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276129 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276130 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276131 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276132 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276133 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276134 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276135 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276136 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276137 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276138 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276139 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276140 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276141 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276142 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276143 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276144 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276145 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276146 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276147 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276148 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276149 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276150 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276151 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276152 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276153 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276154 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276155 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276156 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276157 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276158 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276159 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276160 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2276161 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2282141 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 30, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286321 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286322 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286323 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286324 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286325 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286326 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286327 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286328 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286329 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 50.06 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286330 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 52.90 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286331 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 52.61 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286332 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 14, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286777 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286778 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286779 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286780 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 54.18 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286781 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286782 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286783 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286784 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 27.81 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286785 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286786 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286787 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286788 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 50.19 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286790 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286791 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286792 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286793 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286794 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286795 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286796 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286797 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286798 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 51.57 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286799 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286800 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286801 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286802 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286803 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2286804 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 18, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 30.13 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2294127 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 42.74 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2294128 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2313433 | NTS 32E14 | Sep. 25, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 38.55 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2321964 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 31, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322789 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322790 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322791 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322792 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322793 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322794 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322795 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322796 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322797 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322798 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322799 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322800 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322801 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322802 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322803 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322804 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322805 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322806 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322807 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322808 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322809 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322810 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322811 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322812 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322813 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322814 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322815 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322816 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322817 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322818 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322819 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322820 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322821 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322822 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2322823 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2326101 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 1, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2326104 | NTS 32L02 | Dec. 1, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2326106 | NTS 32L02 | Dec. 1, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2384320 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 17, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2384321 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 17, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2384718 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 29, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2384719 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 29, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2384720 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 29, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2390766 | NTS 32L02 | Sep. 16, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2395089 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 1, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2395090 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 1, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2395091 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 1, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2395092 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 1, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| CASAULT | CDC | 2395093 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 1, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2395094 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 1, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2436774 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 4, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2436775 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 4, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2437713 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 3, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2437714 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 3, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2437715 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 3, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2437720 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 3, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2438023 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 13, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2438024 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 13, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2439224 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 4, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2457675 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 16, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2457677 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 16, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2457678 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 16, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2457679 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 16, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2457680 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 16, 2023 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2513528 | NTS 32E15 | Feb. 27, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2513529 | NTS 32E15 | Feb. 27, 2024 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2517469 | NTS 32E15 | May. 2, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2517470 | NTS 32E15 | May. 2, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2539505 | NTS 32E15 | May. 26, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2540266 | NTS 32E15 | Jun. 5, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2540267 | NTS 32E15 | Jun. 5, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2540268 | NTS 32E15 | Jun. 5, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2540269 | NTS 32E15 | Jun. 5, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT | CDC | 2540270 | NTS 32E15 | Jun. 5, 2025 | Midland | Option fr Midland, Soquem NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| CASAULT Sum | | | | | | | 17725.64 |
| | | | | | | | |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99096 | NTS 32E14 | Sep. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99097 | NTS 32E14 | Sep. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99568 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99569 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99570 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99571 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99572 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99742 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99743 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99744 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99745 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99746 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99747 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99748 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99749 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99750 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99751 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99752 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 99753 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 25, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104228 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104229 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104230 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104231 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104232 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104233 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104234 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104235 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104239 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104240 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104241 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104242 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104243 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104244 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104245 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104246 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104247 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104248 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104249 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104250 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 104251 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 22, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133019 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133020 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133021 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133022 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133023 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133024 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133025 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133026 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133027 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133028 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133029 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133030 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133031 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133032 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133033 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133034 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133035 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 1133036 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 10, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2%; Encana Corp. JV 39.3% int | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011745 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011746 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011751 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011752 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011753 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011762 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011763 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011764 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011765 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011766 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011767 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011768 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011769 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011770 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011774 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011783 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011784 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011785 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011786 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2011787 | NTS 32E14 | May. 22, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2012630 | NTS 32E14 | May. 23, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2012631 | NTS 32E14 | May. 23, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2012632 | NTS 32E14 | May. 23, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029533 | NTS 32E13 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029537 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029538 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029539 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029540 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029541 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029543 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029544 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029545 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029546 | NTS 32E14 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029547 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029548 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029549 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029550 | NTS 32E13 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 7.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029551 | NTS 32E13 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029552 | NTS 32E13 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 7.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029553 | NTS 32E13 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029554 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 7.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029555 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029556 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 7.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029557 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029558 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 7.34 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2029559 | NTS 32L04 | Oct. 16, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050848 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050849 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050850 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050851 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050852 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050853 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050854 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050855 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050856 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050860 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050872 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050891 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050892 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050893 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050894 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050895 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050896 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050897 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050898 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050899 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050900 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050901 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050902 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050903 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050904 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050905 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050906 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050917 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 0.01 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050931 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050932 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050933 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050942 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050943 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050944 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050945 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050946 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050947 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050948 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050949 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2050950 | NTS 32L03 | Jan. 24, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074183 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074184 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074185 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074186 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074187 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074188 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074189 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074190 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.46 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074191 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074192 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074193 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074194 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074195 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074196 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074197 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074198 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074199 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074200 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074201 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074202 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074203 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074204 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074205 | NTS 32E14 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074206 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074207 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074208 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074209 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074211 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074212 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074213 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074214 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074216 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074217 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2074218 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148342 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148343 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148344 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148345 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148346 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148347 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148348 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.45 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148349 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148350 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148351 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148352 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148353 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148354 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148355 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148356 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2148357 | NTS 32E14 | May. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157245 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157246 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157247 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157248 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157249 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157250 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157251 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157252 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157253 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157263 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157274 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157284 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157287 | NTS 32E13 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157304 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157305 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157306 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157307 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157308 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157309 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157310 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157311 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157312 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157313 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157314 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157315 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157316 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157317 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2157325 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159007 | NTS 32E13 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159008 | NTS 32E13 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159009 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159010 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159011 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159012 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159013 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159014 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159015 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159016 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159017 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159018 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159019 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159020 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.43 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159021 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159022 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159023 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159024 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159025 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159026 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.41 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159042 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159043 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159044 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159045 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159046 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159047 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159048 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159049 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159050 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.31 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159051 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159052 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2159053 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2164561 | NTS 32E14 | Jul. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.44 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2164562 | NTS 32E14 | Jul. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2180524 | NTS 32E13 | Jun. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 7.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2261175 | NTS 32E14 | Nov. 21, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361365 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361366 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361367 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361368 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361369 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361370 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361371 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361372 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361373 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361374 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361375 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361376 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361377 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361378 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361379 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361380 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361381 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361382 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361383 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361384 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361385 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361391 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361394 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2361418 | NTS 32L03 | Nov. 14, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2384638 | NTS 32E13 | Jun. 4, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 7.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2399544 | NTS 32L03 | Feb. 11, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2399545 | NTS 32L03 | Feb. 11, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2399546 | NTS 32L03 | Feb. 11, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2399547 | NTS 32L03 | Feb. 11, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2399548 | NTS 32L03 | Feb. 11, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.31 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2443973 | NTS 32L03 | May. 3, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2443974 | NTS 32L03 | May. 3, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2443975 | NTS 32L03 | May. 3, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2443976 | NTS 32L03 | May. 3, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2443977 | NTS 32L03 | May. 3, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2443986 | NTS 32L03 | May. 3, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547819 | NTS 32E13 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547820 | NTS 32E13 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547821 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547822 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547823 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547824 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547825 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547826 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547827 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547828 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547829 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547830 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547831 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547832 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547833 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547834 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547835 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547836 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547837 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547838 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547839 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547840 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547841 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547842 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547843 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547844 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547845 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547846 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547847 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547848 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547849 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547850 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547851 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547852 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547853 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547854 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547855 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547856 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547857 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547858 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547859 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547860 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547861 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547862 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547863 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547864 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547865 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547866 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547867 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.40 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547868 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547869 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547870 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547871 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547872 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547873 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547874 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.39 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547875 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547876 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547877 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2547878 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 8, 2023 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.38 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2548251 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2548252 | NTS 32E14 | Dec. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549767 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549768 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549769 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549770 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549771 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549772 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549773 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549774 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549775 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549776 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549777 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 8, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549778 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 8, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549779 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 8, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549780 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 21, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549781 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549782 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549783 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549784 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549785 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549786 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549787 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549788 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549789 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549790 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549791 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549792 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549793 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549794 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549795 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549796 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549797 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549798 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549799 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549800 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549801 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549802 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549803 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549804 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549805 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549806 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549807 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549808 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549809 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|--------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549810 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549811 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549812 | NTS 32E14 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549813 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549814 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549815 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549816 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549817 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549818 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549819 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549820 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549821 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549937 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549938 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549939 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2549940 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 20, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2550986 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 16, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2550987 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 16, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2550988 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 16, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2550989 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 16, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2550990 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 16, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2550991 | NTS 32E14 | Jan. 16, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2554920 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2554921 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST | CDC | 2554922 | NTS 32E14 | Feb. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | Option to Agnico; Radisson NSR 2% | 55.42 |
| DETOUR EAST Sum | | | | | | | 23090.07 |
| | | | | | | | |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282229 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282230 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282231 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282232 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282233 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282234 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282235 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282236 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282237 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282238 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282239 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282240 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282241 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282242 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282243 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282244 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282245 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282246 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282250 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282251 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282252 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282253 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282254 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282255 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282258 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.28 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282259 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.28 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282260 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.28 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282261 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.28 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282264 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.27 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282265 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.27 |
| DOIGT | CDC | 2282335 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| DOIGT Sum | | | | | | | 1714.20 |
| FENELON | BM | 864 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2027 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 53.36 |
| FENELON | BNE | 43954 | | Mar. 31, 2023 | Wallbridge | | |
| FENELON | BNE | 43987 | | Mar. 31, 2023 | Wallbridge | | |
| FENELON | BNE | 44600 | | Mar. 31, 2023 | Wallbridge | | |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182337 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182338 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182339 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182340 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182341 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182342 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.41 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182343 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.41 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| FENELON | CDC | 2182344 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 37.32 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182345 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 23.57 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182346 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 7.54 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182347 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 22.95 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182348 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 8.17 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182349 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 22.17 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182350 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 8.92 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182351 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 50.75 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182352 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182353 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182354 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182355 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182356 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182357 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182358 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182359 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182360 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182361 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182362 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182363 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182364 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182365 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182367 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 35.84 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182369 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 43.10 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182370 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182374 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182375 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182376 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182377 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182381 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182382 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| FENELON | CDC | 2182385 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2182388 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 15, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271644 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271645 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271646 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271647 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271648 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271649 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271650 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271651 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271652 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271653 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271654 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271655 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271656 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.37 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271662 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271663 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271664 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271665 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271666 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271667 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271668 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271669 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271670 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271671 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271676 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271677 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271678 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| FENELON | CDC | 2271679 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271680 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271681 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271682 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271683 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271686 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271687 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271688 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271689 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271690 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271691 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271692 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271697 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271698 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271699 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.33 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271705 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271706 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.32 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271708 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271709 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271710 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271711 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271712 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271713 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271714 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271715 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271716 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.40 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271717 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271718 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| FENELON | CDC | 2271719 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271720 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271721 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271722 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271723 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271724 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271725 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271726 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271727 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271728 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271729 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271730 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271731 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271732 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271733 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271734 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271735 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271736 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271737 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271738 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271739 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271740 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271741 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271742 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271743 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271744 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271745 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271746 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271747 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271748 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271749 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271751 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271752 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271753 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271754 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| FENELON | CDC | 2271755 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271756 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.38 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271758 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271759 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.39 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271783 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 55.36 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271784 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 42.90 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271785 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 47.74 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271789 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 53.85 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271790 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 27.44 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271791 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% ; 2176423 Ontario Ltd. NSR 1% ; Gold Royalty Corp. NSR 2% | 51.56 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271813 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 49.51 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2271814 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 5, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 39.02 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2335370 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 18.08 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2335371 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 24.28 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2335372 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 24.28 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2335373 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 24.31 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2335374 | NTS 32E15 | Mar. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 4.64 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2335383 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 19.53 |
| FENELON | CDC | 2335384 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 12.26 |
| FENELON Sum | | | | | | | 7566.03 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262763 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262764 | NTS 32E15 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262769 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262770 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262771 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262772 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262773 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262774 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262775 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262776 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262777 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262778 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262779 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262780 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262781 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262782 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262783 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262784 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262785 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262791 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262792 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262793 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262794 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262795 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262801 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262802 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262803 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2262804 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264061 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264062 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264063 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264064 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264065 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264066 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264067 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264068 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264069 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264070 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264071 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264072 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264073 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264074 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264075 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264076 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264077 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264078 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264079 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264080 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264081 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264082 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264083 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264084 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2264085 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306694 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306695 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306696 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306697 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306698 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306699 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306700 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306701 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306702 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306703 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306704 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306705 | NTS 32E15 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306706 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306707 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306708 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306832 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306833 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306834 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306837 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306838 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306839 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306840 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306841 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306842 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306843 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306844 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306845 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306846 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306847 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306848 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306849 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306850 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306851 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306852 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306853 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306854 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306855 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306856 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306857 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306858 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306859 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306860 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306861 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306862 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306863 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306864 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306865 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306866 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306867 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306868 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306869 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306870 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306871 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306872 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306873 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306874 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306875 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306876 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306877 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306878 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306879 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306880 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306881 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306882 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306884 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306885 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306886 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306887 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306888 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306889 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306890 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306891 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306892 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306893 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306894 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306896 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306897 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306898 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306899 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306900 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306901 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306902 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306905 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306906 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306907 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306908 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306909 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2306910 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 9, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307076 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307077 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307078 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.49 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307079 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.49 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307080 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.49 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307081 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.49 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307083 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307084 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307085 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307086 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307087 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307088 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307089 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307090 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307091 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307092 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307093 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307094 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307095 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307096 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307097 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307098 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307099 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307100 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307101 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307102 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307103 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307104 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307105 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307106 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307107 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307108 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307109 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307110 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307111 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307112 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307113 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307114 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307115 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307116 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307117 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307118 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307119 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307120 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307121 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307123 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307124 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307125 | NTS 32L01 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307179 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307180 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307181 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307182 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307183 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307184 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307185 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307186 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307187 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307188 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307189 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307190 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307191 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307192 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307193 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307194 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307195 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307196 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307197 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307198 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307199 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307200 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307201 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307202 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307203 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307204 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307205 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307206 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307207 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307208 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307209 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307210 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307211 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307212 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307213 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307270 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307271 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307272 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307273 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307274 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307275 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307276 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307277 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.47 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307278 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307279 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307280 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307281 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307282 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307283 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.46 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307285 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307286 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2307287 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395908 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395909 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395910 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395911 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395912 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395913 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395914 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395915 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395916 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395917 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395918 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395919 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395920 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395921 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395923 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2395924 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396232 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396233 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396234 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396235 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396236 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396237 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396238 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396587 | NTS 32L01 | Dec. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396588 | NTS 32L01 | Dec. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396589 | NTS 32L01 | Dec. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396590 | NTS 32L01 | Dec. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396591 | NTS 32L01 | Dec. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396592 | NTS 32L01 | Dec. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2396593 | NTS 32L01 | Dec. 26, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397007 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 7, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397008 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 7, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397439 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 13, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397714 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 14, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397982 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397983 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397984 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397985 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397986 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.45 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397987 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397988 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397989 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397990 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397991 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397992 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397993 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397994 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397995 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397996 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397997 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397998 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2397999 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398000 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398001 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398002 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398003 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398004 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398005 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398006 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398007 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------|
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398008 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398009 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398010 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398011 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398012 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398013 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398014 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398015 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398016 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398017 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398018 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398019 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2398020 | NTS 32E16 | Jan. 20, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399564 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.44 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399565 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399566 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399567 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399568 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399569 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399570 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2399571 | NTS 32E16 | Feb. 12, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.42 |
| GRASSET | CDC | 2432108 | NTS 32E16 | Aug. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.43 |
| GRASSET Sum | | | | | | | 17901.12 |
| | | | | | | | |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282270 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282271 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.41 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282272 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282273 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282275 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282276 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282277 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.40 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282283 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282284 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282285 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282286 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282287 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282288 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| HARRI | CDC | 2282289 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282290 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282291 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282292 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282293 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282294 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282295 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282296 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282297 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282298 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282299 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282300 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282301 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282302 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282303 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282304 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282305 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282306 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282307 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282308 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282309 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282310 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282311 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282312 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282313 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282314 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282315 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282316 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282317 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282318 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282319 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282320 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282321 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282322 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282323 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282324 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282325 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| HARRI | CDC | 2282326 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282327 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282328 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282329 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282330 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282331 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282332 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282333 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282334 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282445 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282446 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282447 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282448 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282449 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282450 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282451 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282452 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282453 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282454 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282455 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282456 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282457 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282458 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282459 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282460 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282461 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282462 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282463 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 1% | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282464 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282465 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282466 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282467 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282468 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282469 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282470 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282471 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282472 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| HARRI | CDC | 2282473 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282474 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282475 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282476 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282477 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282478 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282479 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282480 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282481 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282482 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282483 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282484 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282612 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282613 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282614 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282615 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282616 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282617 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282618 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282619 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282620 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282621 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282622 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282623 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282624 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282625 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282626 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282627 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282628 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282629 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282630 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282631 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282632 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282634 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282635 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282636 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282637 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| HARRI | CDC | 2282638 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282640 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282641 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282642 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282643 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2282644 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 3, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2286473 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 49.20 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2286474 | NTS 32E15 | Apr. 17, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 45.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2382143 | NTS 32L02 | Mar. 11, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2395083 | NTS 32E15 | Nov. 28, 2022 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2395084 | NTS 32E15 | Nov. 28, 2022 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2395085 | NTS 32E15 | Nov. 28, 2022 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2395086 | NTS 32E15 | Nov. 28, 2022 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2435832 | NTS 32L02 | Jan. 13, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2435833 | NTS 32L02 | Jan. 13, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.37 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2435834 | NTS 32L02 | Jan. 13, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2435835 | NTS 32L02 | Jan. 13, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.36 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2435836 | NTS 32L02 | Jan. 13, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.35 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2499810 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 13, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2499811 | NTS 32L02 | Aug. 13, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2511244 | NTS 32E15 | Jan. 31, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2511245 | NTS 32E15 | Jan. 31, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2511246 | NTS 32E15 | Jan. 31, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2511247 | NTS 32E15 | Jan. 31, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.38 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541238 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541239 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541240 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541241 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541242 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541243 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541244 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541245 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2023 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541246 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541247 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541248 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541249 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541250 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|
| HARRI | CDC | 2541251 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2541252 | NTS 32L02 | Jul. 1, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| HARRI | CDC | 2543126 | NTS 32E15 | Sep. 3, 2025 | Wallbridge | | 55.39 |
| HARRI Sum | | | | | | | 9060.64 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089671 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089674 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089675 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089676 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089677 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089678 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089679 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089680 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089681 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089682 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089683 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089684 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089685 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089686 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089687 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089688 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089689 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089690 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089691 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089692 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089693 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089694 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089695 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089696 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089697 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089698 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089699 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.28 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089700 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 4, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.27 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089883 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089884 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089885 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089887 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089892 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089893 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089895 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089897 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089898 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089899 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089900 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089901 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089902 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089903 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089904 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089905 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089906 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089907 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089908 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089909 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089910 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089911 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089912 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089913 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089914 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089915 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089916 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089917 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089918 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089919 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089920 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089921 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089924 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089925 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089928 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.28 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089929 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.28 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089930 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.28 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089934 | NTS 32L03 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.27 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089957 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2089958 | NTS 32L02 | Jun. 5, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2269086 | NTS 32L02 | Sep. 21, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|----------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2269087 | NTS 32L02 | Sep. 21, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.35 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2269088 | NTS 32L02 | Sep. 21, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2269089 | NTS 32L02 | Sep. 21, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2283991 | NTS 32L03 | May. 1, 2024 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.28 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284009 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284010 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284011 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284012 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284013 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284014 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284015 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284016 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284017 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284018 | NTS 32L02 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.29 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284019 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284020 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284021 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284022 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284023 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284024 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284025 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284026 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284027 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284028 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.32 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284029 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284030 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284031 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284032 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.31 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284033 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284034 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284035 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284036 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.34 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284037 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.33 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284038 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 55.30 |
| MARTINIERE | CDC | 2284049 | NTS 32L03 | Apr. 9, 2023 | Wallbridge | Fr. Nevada Corp. NSR 2% | 51.45 |
| MARTINIERE Sum | | | | | | | 5749.12 |
| | | | | | | | |

| Claim Block | Title Type | Title ID | NTS | Expiration Date | Recorded holder | Agreements & Other Interests | Ha |
|--------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| NANTEL | CDC | 2395337 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.49 |
| NANTEL | CDC | 2395338 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| NANTEL | CDC | 2395339 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| NANTEL | CDC | 2395340 | NTS 32E16 | Dec. 2, 2024 | Wallbridge | | 55.48 |
| NANTEL Sum | | | | | | | 221.93 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total | | | | | | | 83,082.11 |